

VIOLENCE PROFILE NO. 10
Trends in network television drama and viewer conceptions of social reality 1967-1978
by
George Gerbner, Larry Gross
Nancy Signorie11i, Michael Morgan
and Marilyn Jackson-Beeck

The Annenberg School of Communications University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia 19104

Apri1 1979

Copies of this report including all Tables are available for $\$ 17.50$ each (checks to be made payable to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania)

This research is a part of the Cultural Indicators study of trends in television content and effects conducted under grants from the American Medical
al Institute of Mental
d Larry Gross, ComPrin-
Signorielli, Research

## INTRODUCTION AND BIBLIOGRAPHY OF RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS

The Violence Profile is part of the Cultural Indicators Project, a broad study of television content and viewer conceptions of social reality.

Violence Profiles are cumulative. Each report summarizes the methodology and significant findings of the previous studies in this series and presents trends for all years studied. The most recent report supersedes previous Violence Profiles.

Violence Profile No. 10 reports trends in network television drama from 1967 through 1978. The content data are drawn from the Cultural Indicators archive of observations based on the analysis of 1548 programs and 4404 major dramatic characters. . The viewer response data are drawn from the Cultural Indicators archive of cultivation analysis responses.

Cultural Indicators is a data bank, research project, and service that relates televised images and messages to conceptions of social reality and to actions based on those conceptions. Cultural Indicators is designed to investigate television's contribution (by itself as well as in combination with other demographic and media use characteristics) to viewers' assumptions about and responses to a large number of issues and topics.

This research began in 1967-68 with a study for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence. It continued under the sponsorship of (the Surgeon General's Scientific Advisory Committee on Television and Social Behavior, the National Institute of Mental Health, the White House Office of Telecommunications Policy, the American Medical Association and other agencies. Although violence-related findings and indicators have been published most widely, the approach was broadly based from the beginning to collect observations on the role and functions of many aspects of life presented in television drama.

The research consists of two interrelated parts: (1) Message System Analysis monitoring of the world network television drama and (2) Cultivation Analysis determining the conceptions of social reality that television programming tends to cultivate in different groups of viewers. The analyses provide information about the geography, demography, character profiles, and action structure of the world of television, and focus these images and lessons upon specific issues, policies, and topics.

The annual Violence Index and Profile (7, 8, 10) has made an impact upon national policy in television programming. But the Cultural Indicators project is also generating an increasing variety of studies in other areas. Theoretical papers have presented and discussed methodological issues (3, 4, 5, 7). Others examined the importance of applying the Cultural Indicators paradigm to the study of television news (11) and to the assessment of televisions' impact upon children and adolescents (12, 13, 14). One study examined personal and social characteristics of the non-viewers of television
(15). Message Analysis data have been used to isolate the image of the elderly (25). Several analyses of cultivation data have revealed that heavy television viewing by school children is consistently and negatively related to $1 Q$ and school achievement scores, especially reading comprehension ( $22,23,24$ ). Cultural Indicators researchers have also investigated how children's conceptions of occupations are related to television portrayal of occupations $(18,19)$ and how television viewing is related to educational aspirations and sexist attitudes among adolescents (13, 24).

Several studies related television viewing to political interest, knowledge, and activity (14, 16) as well as conceptions of sex-roles (26) and aging (27).

Current plans call for extending the research in the areas of aging, health, family life, occupational choices, and education; for incorporating the analysis of news and commercials; for conducting the research cross-culturally; and for applying the method to other issues of governmental and corporate interest. In each case, the focus of the investigation is the contribution of television programming to viewer conceptions and actions.

Section $I$ of this report presents the highlights of the findings. Section II summarizes the methodologies and results of the Message System and Cultivation Analyses. Section III contains detailed tabulations of the findings.
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## SECTION 1

## HIGHLIGHTS OF VIOLENCE PROFILE NO. 10

Violence in weekend children's and late evening programming rose to near record levels in the fall of 1978. All three major networks programmed more violence during weekend-daytime (children's) hours than last year. The new findings also continue to show that young people who watch more television are more apprehensive about their own safety and are more likely to think that people are mean and selfish.

The Violence Profile is part of Cultural Indicators, a continuing research project, studying trends in network television drama and the conceptions of social reality that viewing tends to cultivate in the minds of viewers. The Profile is a set of multi-dimensional indicators consisting of the Violence Index which measures the prevalence and rate of violence in network television drama, and Cultivation Analysis, which isolates viewer conceptions by comparing the responses of light and heavy viewers in the same general population groups.

The tenth annual Violence Profile focuses upon fall 1978 network dramatic prime-time and weekend-daytime (children's) programming. The definition used in this research is that of unambiguous physical violence -hurting or killing a person or the credible threat of hurting and killing, in any context.

This update, incorporating the analysis of network dramatic programming from 1967 through 1978, shows an increase of violence over last season's weekend children's and late evening programming (9-11 p.m. EST), but a decrease in the prevalence and rate of violence in the former "family
hour" (8-9 p.m. EST).

New children's programs jumped 52 points over last year's Index for new children's shows, the largest increase in this analysis. Continuing children's programs became more violent by 31 points. By contrast, continuing prime-time programs remained at about the same level and new prime-time programs decreased in violence by 21 points.

The Violence Index is made up of specific components measuring the prevalence and rate of violent actions and violent characterizations.* The overall level of weekend-daytime (children's) programs containing violence climbed to nearly 100 percent. The rate of violent incidents in children's programs zoomed from 15.6 per hour in 1977 to a near record level of 25.0 per hour in 1978 (more than five times the prime-time rate). Both $A B C$ and $C B S$ boosted the violence saturation of children's programs to 26.3 and 26.8 incidents per hour, respectively -- a record high for both networks. NBC's rate went up to 20.6 , its third highest level. Characters involved in violence in children's programs climbed from nearly eight to almost nine out of ten.

The late evening (9-11 p.m. EST) increase in violence was due primarily to $N B C$ 's increase in violent programming, followed by $A B C$, but not CBS. However, NBC also led in reducing early evening prime-time (8-9 p.m. EST) violence by 116 Endex points, to its lowest level on record; CBS followed with a 30 -point reduction, also to its lowest level in that time period. Unlike the other networks, $A B C$ increased its violent

[^0]programming in the former "family hour," as well as the late evening and weekend-daytime hours.

As a scenario of social relationships and power, violence on television drama continues to demonstrate a pattern of unequal relative risks among different age, sex and social groups. For the past ten years certain groups of dramatic characters consistently suffer victimization more than inflict violence, compared to other groups in the fictional population. These include women of all ages, but especially young adult and elderly women, as well as young boys, non-whites, foreigners, and both lower and upper (but not middle) class members. In 1978, the relative risks of female victimization increased dramatically. In 1977 there were 1.05 male and 1.13 female victims for every male or female violent. In 1978, the male ratio of risk rose to 1.21 but the female to 2.14 . The highest rise of female victimization occurred in weekend-daytime (children's)
programing: from 1.09 in 1977 to 2.80 in 1978.
The findings of Cultivation Analysis continue to show strong and stable associations between patterns of network dramatic content and conceptions of social reality among children and adolescents. Previous Violence Profiles have reported that heavy viewers tend to respond to many questions more in terms of the world of television than do light viewers in the same demographic groups. We have found that television cultivates an exaggerated sense of danger and mistrust in heavy viewers compared to similar groups of light viewers. When asked about chances of encountering violence, about the percentage of men employed in law enforcement and crime detection, and about the percentage of crimes that are violent, significantly more heavy viewers than light viewers respond in terms more characteristic of the television world than of the real world. Mistrust is also reflected in
responses suggesting that heavy viewers believe that most people just look out for themselves, take advantage of others, and cannot be trusted.

Last year's results extended these findings in important new directions. When samples of junior high school students were asked, "how often is it all right to hit someone if you are mad at them?", a significantly higher: proportion of heavy than of light viewers answered, "almost always." Both child and adult heavy viewers also reported being more afraid to walk alone in the city at night than light viewers in the same groups.

The current results further extend and refine, as well as replicate, these findings. Two samples of schoolchildren reflect the fact that heavy viewing is associated with greater apprehension of walking alone at night in the city in general and even in their own neighborhoods. Heavy viewers greatly overestimate the proportion of people involved in violence, the danger of walking alone at night, and the number of criminals, compared to similar groups of light viewers. Schoolchildren who watch more television are also more likely to believe that the police frequently use force and that the average policeman will often use his gun as well as shoot fleeing suspects. Finally, children and adolescents who watch more television are more likely to mistrust people and believe that they "mostly just look out for themselves." These findings provide new support for previous conclusions of this research that one correlate of television viewing, possibly reflecting its violence-laden programing, is a heightened and unequal sense of danger and risk in a mean and se1fish world,

The Figures and Tables that follow immediately present these highlights in greater detail. Tables 1 through 4 present the components of the Violence Index for weekend-daytime and prime-time (including early and
late evening hours) programs; a comparison of these findings from 1977 and 1978 for the three networks; the yearly violence index; and rate of violent actions per hour for a number of different types of programs. Tables 5 through 7 present risk ratios for selected groups of characters; they present the total number of characters, the percent involved in violence, the violent-victim and killer-killed ratios.

Finally, Tables 8 through 19 present all relevant cultivation findings. These tables include the percentage of respondents who gave the "television answer," overall and within each demographic subgroup, and (in parentheses) the number of respondents in that cell; the percentages (and.cell N's) of those who gave "television answers," divided into groups of light and heavy viewers; the Cultivation Differential (CD) -- the percent of heavy viewers minus the percent of light viewers giving the "television answer" within that group; and the gamma (with statistical significance indicated by asterisks). Each row represents a different subgroup, and the last column gives the Total N , all respondents, on which the analysis for that group is based.

The full Technical Report of this research includes two additional sections. Section II contains a discussion of the methodology and a description of some of the findings. Section III contains all relevant Message System Analysis Tables.


FIGURE : GHANGES IN VIOLENCE INDEX BY NETWORK AND PROGRAM TIME 1977-1978

Violence measures for weekend dayfime (children's) programs


FIGURE 2: CHANGES IN VIOLENCE INDEX COMPONENTS BY NETWORK AND PROGRAM TIME 1977-1978


FIGURE 3: VIOLENCE INDEX IN CHILDREN'S AND PRIME TIME PROGRAMING, 1967-1978


FIGURE 4: VIOLENCE INDEX BY NETWORK AND PROGRAM TIME 1967-1978

|  | 67-68 ${ }^{1}$ | $69-70^{1}$ | 71-72 ${ }^{1}$ | 1973 | $74-75^{2}$ | 75-76 ${ }^{2}$ | 1976 | $1977^{3}$ | 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 67-78 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A11 Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 81.4 | 80.6 | 79.8 | 72.7 | 80.7 | 77.4 | 89.1 | 75.5 | 84.7 | 79.9 |
| Rate per program | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
| Rate per hour | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 69.5 | 65.1 | 58.8 | 55.7 | 64.6 | 64.2 | 74.8 | 60.9 | 64.8 | 64.0 |
| Violence Index | 190 | 178 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 177 | 204 | 266 | 183 | 178 |
| Weekend-Daytime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 93.5 | 97.2 | 88.9 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 97.9 | 93.7 |
| Rate per program | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 5.9 |
| Rate per hour | 22.3 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 25.0 | 17.7 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 84.3 | 89.7 | 73.5 | 77.2 | 71.7 | 81.1 | 85.6 | 77.2 | 86.0 | 80.3 |
| Violence Index | 242 | 253. | 208 | 212 | 201 | 211 | 247 | 209 | 249 | 223 |
| Prime-Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 75.2 | 66.4 | 73.8 | 59.7 | 72.2 | 68.7 | 80.3 | 69.8 | 74.6 | 71.0 |
| Rate per program | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Rate per hour | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 64.4 | 49.4 | 53.9 | 41.1 | 60.5 | 55.0 | 67.4 | 55.5 | 52.9 | 55.7 |
| Violence Index | 176 | 140 | 159 | 132 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 154 | 153 | 1.59 |
| 8-9 P.M.EST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 77.0 | 60.3 | 74.5 | 56.3 | 63.0 | 52.5 | 72.0 | 66.2 | 59.3 | 65.0 |
| Rate per program | 4.9 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Rate per hour. | 6.4 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 66.3 | 46.1 | 50.0 | 40.9 | 46.2 | 37.0 | 55.1 | 53.2 | 39.2 | 49.0 |
| Violence Index | 186 | 127 | 150 | 126 | 138 | 104 | 145 | 140 | 116 | 139 |
| 9-11 P.M. EST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 72.3 | 75.0 | 73.1 | 63.3 | 80.3 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 73.0 | 86.1 | 76.9 |
| Rate per program | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Rate per hour | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 61.5 | 54.2 | 57.1 | 41.3 | 72.8 | 68.4 | 75.7 | 57.1 | 62.5 | 61.8 |
| Violence Index | 162 | 158 | 167 | 137 | 205 | 203 | 209 | 165 | 180 | 178 |

[^1]Violence Index Components for 1977 and 1978 by Network

|  | All Networks |  | ABC |  | CBS |  | NBC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1977$ | 1978 | $1977^{1}$ | 1978 | $\underline{1977}^{1}$ | 1978 | $1977^{1}$ | 1978 |
| All Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 75.5 | 84.7 | 74.6 | 88.6 | 70.0 | 85.4 | 84.9 | 78.6 |
| Rate per program | 5.0 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| Rate per hour | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 60.9 | 64.8 | 55.8 | 66.3 | 58.0 | 63.9 | 70.7 | 64.3 |
| Violence index | 166 | 183 | 154 | 186 | 159 | 183 | 190 | 179 |

Weekend-Daytime

| \% Programs w/violence | 90.6 | 97.9 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 90.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate per program | 4.9 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 7.2 |
| Rate per hour | 15.6 | 25.0 | 16.0 | 26.3 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 15.7 | 20.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 77.2 | 86.0 | 79.2 | 81.5 | 80.8 | 86.0 | 71.1 | 91.3 |
| Violence Index | 209 | $249^{\circ}$ | 216 | 253 | 206 | 253 | 206 | 238 |
| Prime-Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% programs w/violance | 69.8 | 74.6 | 67.4 | 83.3 | 64.4 | 68.2 | 81.1 | 70.6 |
| Rate per prosram | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Rate per hour | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 55.5 | 52.9 | 48.3 | 60.0 | 31.2 | 44.6 | 70.6 | 54.1 |
| Violence Index | 154 | 153 | 136 | 165 | 146 | 136 | 188 | 159 |

8-9 P.M. EST

| \% Programs w/violence | 66.2 | 59.3 | 66.7 | 83.3 | 55.6 | 50.0 | 82.4 | 28.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate per program | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| Rate per hour | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 53.2 | 39.2 | 44.3 | 62.1 | 46.2 | 33.3 | 76.6 | 20.7 |
| Violence Index | 140 | 116 | 126 | 167 | 123 | 93 | 188 | 72 |
| 9-11. P. Mo ESt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 73.0 | 86.1 | 68.2 | 83.3 | 71.9 | 78.6 | 80.0 | 100.0 |
| Rate per program | 5.8 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Rate per hour | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 57.1 | 62.5 | 51.1 | 58.3 | 55.3 | 50.0 | 66.7 | 84.4 |
| Violence Index | 165 | 180 | 143 | 164 | 166 | 158 | 188 | 230 |

[^2]Table 3
Sumary of Violence Index
(1967-1978)

|  | 67-68 ${ }^{1}$ | 69-70 ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{71-72}^{1}$ | 1973 | 74-75 ${ }^{2}$ | $75-76^{2}$ | 1976 | $1977^{3}$ | 1978 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ 1977 \text { to } 1978 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Programs | 190 | 178 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 177 | 204 | 166 | 183 | +17 |
| Prime-Time | 1.76 | 140 | 159 | 132 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 154 | 153 | - 1 |
| Weekend-Morning | 242 | 253 | 208 | 212 | 202 | 211 | 247 | 209 | 249 | $+40$ |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs | 186 | 127 | 150 | 126 | 138 | 104 | 145 | 140 | 116 | -24 |
| 9-11 P.M. EST Programs | 162 | 158 | 167 | 137 | 205 | 203 | 209 | 165 | 180 | +15 |
| Cartoons | 246 | 254 | 224 | 218 | 207 | 228 | 273 | 228 | 252 | +24 |
| TV Plays | 173 | 137 | 140 | 122 | 157 | 149 | 185 | 137 | 137 | 0 |
| Movies | 211 | 198 | 226 | 186 | 258 | 252 | 220 | 265 | 248 | -17 |
| Comic Tone Programs | 144 | 183 | 144 | 149 | 171 | 162 | 227 | 151 | 203 | $+52$ |
| Prime-Time | 108 | 72 | 76 | 43 | 54 | 70 | 133 | 99 | 119 | +20 |
| Weekend A.M. | 222 | 265 | 202 | 225 | 226 | 229 | 270 | 241 | 274 | +33 |
| Serious Tone Programs | - | 187 | 208 | 197 | 211 | 206 | 216 | 203 | 192 | -11 |
| Prime-Time | - | 187 | 210 | 200 | 217 | 211 | 214 | 209 | 183 | -26 |
| Weekend A.M. | - | 207 | 167 | 178 | 168 | 183 | 228 | 181 | 230 | +49 |
| Continued Programs | 182 | 173 | 175 | 159 | 183 | 181 | 197 | 174 | 190 | $\div 16$ |
| Prime-Time | 171 | 149 | 155 | 135 | 170 | 168 | 180 | 166 | 169 | +3 |
| Weekend A.M. | 231 | 251 | 217 | 222 | 209 | 207 | 244 | 215 | 246 | +31 |
| New Programs | 201 | 188 | 172 | 163 | 181 | 168 | 216 | 154 | 165 | $+11$ |
| Prime-Time | 184 | 119 | 166 | 124 | 188 | 145 | 192 | 134 | 112 | -22 |
| Weekend A.M. | 253 | 256 | 192 | 202 | 169 | 221 | 250 | 203 | 255 | +52 |
| Action Programs | 236 | 226 | 220 | 212 | 224 | 213 | 231 | 214 | 207 | $-7$ |
| Prime-Time | 237 | 221 | 223 | 213 | 237 | 220 | 234 | 219 | 185 | -34 |
| Weekend A.M. | 256 | 254 | 225 | 218. | 201 | 206 | 230 | 209 | 239 | +30 |
| Adi Programs | 210 | 102 | 159 | 138 | 188 | 186 | 207 | 154 | 186 | $+32$ |
| CBS Programs | 159 | 173 | 170 | 174 | 173 | 153 | 182 | 159 | 183 | +24 |
| NBC Programs | 204 | 204 | 195 | 172 | 189 | 194 | 224 | 190 | 179 | -11 |
| Prime-Time Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 203 | 119 | 146 | 101 | 196 | 180 | 196 | 136 | 165 | $\div 29$ |
| CBS | 128 | 129 | 150 | 152 | 152 | 122 | 150 | 146 | 136 | -10 |
| NBC | 201 | 176 | 187 | 147 | 178 | 182 | 212 | 188 | 159 | -29 |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 200 | 105 | 140 | 120 | 181 | 129 | 197 | 126 | 167 | +41 |
| CBS | 157 | 123 | 132 | 127 | 112 | 46 | 102 | 123 | 93 | -30 |
| NBC | 201 | 161 | 175 | 136 | 119 | 133 | 139 | 188 | 72 | -116 |
| 9-11 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 209 | 146 | 150 | 79 | 210 | 222 | 196 | 143 | 164 | +21 |
| CBS | 92 | 137 | 161 | 174 | 187 | 171 | 175 | 166 | 158 | - 8 |
| NBC | 201 | 196 | 200 | 161 | 224 | 222 | 282 | 188 | 230 | $+42$ |
| Action Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 241 | 223 | 225 | 196 | 232 | 211 | 251 | 208 | 230 | $+22$ |
| CBS | 234 | 238 | 230 | 238 | 235 | 224 | 206 | 231 | 192 | -39 |
| NBC | 235 | 221 | 209 | 211 | 209 | 207 | 234 | 204 | 202 | - 2 |
| Weekend A.M. Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 242 | 239 | 192 | 208 | 178 | 200 | 237 | 216 | 253 | +37 |
| CBS | 257 | 250 | 210 | 238 | 213 | 210 | 239 | 206 | 253 | $+47$ |
| NBC | 229 | 2.78 | 220 | 202 | 213 | 227. | 264 | 206 | 238 | +32 |
| Cartoon Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 242 | 239 | 226 | 208 | 178 | 202 | 239 | 217 | 253 | +36 |
| CBS | 257 | 252 | 219 | 238 | 219 | 240 | 263 | 243 | 260 | +17 |
| NBC | 237 | 280 | 231 | 215 | 233 | 258 | 333 | 219 | 238 | $+19$ |

1 These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years.
2 These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.
${ }^{3}$ The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning of network dramatic programs.

|  | 67-68 ${ }^{1}$ | $69-70^{1}$ | $\underline{71-72^{1}}$ | 1973 | $74-75^{2}$ | 75-76 ${ }^{2}$ | 1976 | $\underline{1977}{ }^{3}$ | 1978 | Change <br> 1977 to 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Programs | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 | $+1.6$ |
| Prime-Time | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | -1.0 |
| Weekend-Morning | 22.3 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 25.0 | +9.4 |
| 8-9 Pond EST Programs | 6.4 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | -2. 3 |
| 9-11 PoM. EST Programs | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | -0.9 |
| Cartoons | 22.5 | 26.3 | 18.2 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 28.7 | 34.0 | 19.8 | 26.2 | +6.4 |
| TV Plays | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.7 | - 4.4 | 3.8 | -0.6 |
| Movfes | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 6.5 | -1.8 |
| Comic-Tone Programs | 6.3 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 20.3 | 9.1 | 17.9 | +8.8 |
| Prime-Time | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.3 | +2.0 |
| Weekend A.M. | 19.9 | 28.4 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 31.8 | 25.1 | 35.7 | +10.6 |
| Serious-Tone Programs | - | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 5.3 | -1.6 |
| Prime-Time | - | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 4.2 | -2.5 |
| Weekend A.M. | - | 17.4 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 12.2 | 9.2 | 14.4 | $\div 5.2$ |
| Continued Programs | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 8.5 | $+1.6$ |
| Prime-Time | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.2 | -0.8 |
| Weekend A.M. | 20.5 | 24.4 | 19.6 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 23.1 | 17.2 | 24.3 | $\div 7.1$ |
| New Programs | 7.8 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 7.9 | +1. 6 |
| Prime-Time | 5.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 2.2 | -2.3 |
| Weekend A.M. | 23.8 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 15.1 | 21.7 | 14.3 | 26.5 | $+12.2$ |
| Action Programs | 9.1 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.5 | $+1.1$ |
| Prime-Time | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 5.2 | -2.3 |
| Weekend A.M. | 24.4 | 27.1 | 18.7 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 19.4 | $\div 5.5$ |
| ABC Programs | 7.7 | 7,8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 8.1 | +2. 1 |
| CBS Frograms | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 9.8 | $\div 2.4$ |
| NBC Programs | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 6.9 | +0.5 |
| Prime-Time Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ABC}$ | 6.0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | $\div 0.1$ |
| - CBS | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.4 | -2.0 |
| NBC | 5.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.6 | -0.7 |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 7.5 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| CBS | 5.3 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 2.9 | -3.0 |
| NBC | 6.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | $+0.2$ |
| 9-11 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 5.4 | +0.8 |
| CBS | - 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 4.9 | -1.9 |
| NBC | 5.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | $-1.2$ |
| Action Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 8.7 | 11.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 9.0 | 11.8 | +2.8 |
| CBS | 10.8 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 7.5 | -2.0 |
| NBC | 8.5 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 10.2 | +3.1 |
| Weekend A.M. Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 21.3 | 24.6 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 15.0 | 26.3 | $+10.3$ |
| CBS | 24.2 | 22.6 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 19.2 | 15.2 | 26.8 | +11.6 |
| NBC | 21.2 | 31.6 | 15.6 | 11.5 | 14.1 | 18.0 | 29.4 | 15.7 | 20.6 | $+4.9$ |
| Cartoon Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 21.3 | 24.6 | 17.5 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 21.5 | 18.5 | 26.3 | +7.8 |
| CBS | 24.2 | 24.0 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 14.2 | 19.9 | 29.7 | 21.5 | 30.2 | +8.7 |
| NBC | 21.7 | 32.6 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 19.7 | 24.5 | 59.5 | 18.7 | 20.6 | +1.9 |

1 These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years.
2 These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.
3 The rall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning of network dramatic programs.

Table 5
RISK RATIOS
Major Charatters in All Programs
(1969-1978)

|  | Al1 Characters |  |  |  | Male Characters |  |  |  | Female Characters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tnvolved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Yiolence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | KillerKilled Ratio | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { yfolence } \end{aligned}$ | ViolentVictim Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Killed } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tnvolved } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | Vfolent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | KillerKilled Ratio |
| All Characters | 3949 | 63.3 | -1.20 | +1.90 | 2938 | 68.4 | -1.18 | +2.02 | 956 | 46.1 | -1.34 | $+1.20$ |
| Soctal Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children-Adolescents | 415 | 60.5 | -1.60 | +3.00 | 297 | 65.0 | -1.69 | +3.00 | 116 | 49.1 | -1. 33 | 0.00 |
| Young Adults | 813 | 64.5 | -1.36 | +2.00 | 539 | 69.6 | -1.23 | +2.17 | 270 | 53.7 | -1.82 | +1.33 |
| Settled Adults | 2212 | 59.8 | -1.12 | +2.07 | 1698 | 65.7 | -1.12 | +2.13 | 513 | 40.0 | -1.12 | +1.60 |
| Elderly | 106 | 47.2 | -1.15 | -1.75 | 80 | 50.0 | +1.07 | 1.00 | 26 | 38.5 | -3.33 | -0.00 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Married | 1873. | 65.6 | -1.23 | +1.90 | 1374 | 69.7 | -1.18 | +2.02 | 491 | 53.8 | -1.44 | +1.30 |
| Married | 987 | 45.5 | -1.27 | +1.67 | 626 | 52.9 | -1.27 | +1.82 | 361 | 32.7 | -1.25 | +1.11 |
| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C1early Upper | 269 | 59.5 | -1. 38 | +1.50 | 182 | 67.6 | -1.26 | +1.57 | 87 | 42.5 | -2.00 | +1.25 |
| Mixed | 3549 | 63.4 | -1.19 | +2.07 | 2650 | 68.3 | -1.17 | +2.20 | 844 | 46.3 | -1.29 | +1.20 |
| Clearly Lower | 131 | 69.5 | -1.25 | -1. 21 | 106 | 73.6 | -1.20 | -1.13 | 25 | 52.0 | -1.71 | 1.00 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3087 | 60.1 | -1.19 | +1.97 | 2235 | 65.1 | -1.16 | +2.11 | 852 | 46.9 | -1.31 | +1.26 |
| Other | 360 | 55.0 | -1.33 | +1.69 | 280 | 61.1 | -1.27 | +1.69 | 77 | 31.2 | -1.83 | 0.00 |
| Character Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Good" | 2304 | 58.4 | -1.29 | +2.93 | 1659 | 63.7 | -1. 24 | +3.85 | 622 | 43.2 | -1.51 | -1.60 |
| Mixed | 1093 | 61.4 | -1.22 | +1.33 | 807 | 65.8 | -1.21 | +1.27 | 262 | 44.7 | -1.31 | +1.50 |
| "Bad" | 550 | 88.0 | 1.00 | +1.84 | 471. | 89.4 | -1.01 | +1.86 | 71 | 77.5 | +1, 15 | +1.67 |
| Nattonality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 3100 | 58.1 | -1.20 | $+2.06$ | 2263 | 63.2 | -1.16 | $+2.23$ | 827 | 43.9 | -1. 38 | +1.18 |
| Ocher | 264 | 73.5 | -1.31 | +1.31 | 203 | 80.8 | -1.29 | +1. 27 | 61 | 49.2 | $-1.47$ | +2.00 |

[^3]Table 6
RISK RATIOS ${ }^{2}$
Major Characters in All Programs
1978

|  | Al1 Characters |  |  |  | Male Characters |  |  |  | Female Characters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | V1olent - <br> Victim <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { killed } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \text { violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Killed } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Xilled } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| All Characters | 298 | 64.8 | $-1.36$ | $+1.50$ | 198 | 67.2 | $-1.21$ | +1.50 | 91 | 56.0 | -2.14 | $+1.50$ |
| Soctal Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children-Adolescents | 29 | 62.1 | -1.78 | -0.00 | 21 | 66.7 | -1.63 | -0.00 | 7 | 42.9 | -0.00 | 0.00 |
| Young Adults | 56 | 57.1 | -1.88 | 0.00 | 27 | 59.3 | -1.36 | 0.00 | 29 | 55.2 | -3.00 | 0.00 |
| Settled Adults | 182 | 62.6 | -1.23 | +2.33 | 130 | 65.4 | -1.17 | +2.00 | 51 | 54.9 | -1.53 | +3.00 |
| Elderly | 7 | 71.4 | 1.00 | +2.00 | 6 | 66.7 | +1.50 | +0.00 | 1 | 100.0 | -0.00 | -0.00 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Married | 145 | 63.4 | -1.39 | $+1.50$ | 91. | 68.1 | -1.23 | 1.00 | 53 | 54.7 | -2.08 | +0.00 |
| Married | 72 | 51.4 | -1.65 | 1.00 | 44 | 52.3 | -1.33 | 1.00 | 28 | 50.0 | -2.60 | 1.00 |
| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearly Upper | 19 | 47.4 | -1.60 | 0.00 | 11 | 54.5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 37.5 | -0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mixed | 272 | 67.3 | -1.35 | $+1.50$ | 181 | 69.6 | -1.23 | $+1.50$ | 82 | 58.5 | -2.00 | $+1.50$ |
| Clearly Lower | 7 | 14.3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 6 | 16.7 | 1.00 | 0,00 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 241 | 61.0 | -1.35 | +1.80 | 157 | 63.7 | -1.16 | $+2.00$ | 84 | 56.0 | -2.05 | +1.50 |
| Other | 17 | 41.2 | -1.50 | -0.00 | 12 | 41.7 | -1.33 | -0,00 | 5 | 40.0 | -2.00 | 0.00 |
| Character Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Good" | 175 | 61.7 | -1.67 | -1.33 | 106 | 63.2 | -1.43 | 1.00 | 65 | 56.9 | -2.57 | -2.00 |
| Mixed | 90 | 63.3 | -1.26 | +1.50 | 63 | 65.1 | $-1.23$ | -2.00 | 23 | 52.2 | -1.60 | +0.00 |
| "Bad" | 33 | 84.8 | +1.29 | +0.00 | 29 | 86.2 | +1.26 | $+0.00$ | 3 | 66.7 | +2.00 | 0.00 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 252 | 60.3 | $-1.43$ | 1.00 | 16) | 63.6 | -1.20 | 1.00 | 87 | 54.0 | -2.05 | 1.00 |
| other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

[^4] violents or killers. A ratio of 0.00 means that there were no victims or killers or violents or killed. $A$ to. 00 rat io means that there were some violents or killers but no victims or killed; a -0.00 ratio mons that there were victims or killed but no violents or killers.

Table 7
EISK RATIOS ${ }^{1}$
Major Characters in All Programs
1977

|  | Al1 Characters |  |  |  | Male Characters |  |  |  | Female Characters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | Killer- <br> Killed <br> Ratio | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Killed } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | ```Involved in Vfolence``` | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Kllled } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| All Characters | 585 | 60.9 | -1.06 | +3.00 | 413 | 66.3 | -1.05 | +2.80 | 168 | 47.0 | -1.13 | $+0.00$ |
| Sociel Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children-Adolescents | 79 | 62.0 | -1.18 | +0.00 | 57 | 70.2 | -1.31 | +0.00 | 22 | 40.9 | $+1.40$ | 0.00 |
| Young Adults | 114 | 68.4 | -1.10 | +4.00 | 75 | 73.3 | -1.06 | +3.00 | 39 | 59.0 | -1.19 | +0.00 |
| Settled Adults | 345 | 56.2 | -1.03 | +2.25 | 242 | 62.0 | +1.02 | +2.25 | 103 | 42.7 | -1.20 | 0.00 |
| Elderly | 12 | 50.0 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 11 | 54.5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Married | 272 | 63.6 | -1.06 | +2.60 | 180 | 70.0 | -1.07 | +2.40 | 92 | 51.1 | -1.03 | +0.00 |
| Married | 123 | 40.7 | -1.11 | +8.00 | 72 | 47.2 | -1.08 | +7.00 | 51 | 31.4 | -1.18 | +0.00 |
| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glearly Upper | 23 | 60.9 | -1. 18 | $+2.00$ | 13 | 76.9 | 1.00 | +2.00 | 10 | 40.0 | -2.00 | 0.00 |
| Mixed | 543 | 59.9 | -1.05 | +3.25 | 385 | 64.9 | -1.04 | +3.13 | 154 | 46.8 | -1.10 | +0.00 |
| Clearly Lower | 19 | 89.5 | -1.13 | $\because 2.00$ | 15 | 93.3 | -1.17 | 1.00 | 4. | 75.0 | 1.00 | +0.00 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 463 | 60.3 | -1.04 | +2.70 | 322 | 65.8 | -1.02 | +2.50 | 141 | 47.5 | -1.10 | +0.00 |
| Other | 62 | 45.2 | $+1.11$ | +0.00 | 41. | 51.2 | +1.14 | +0.00 | 21 | 33.3 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Character Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Good" | 371 | 54.4 | -1.16 | $+7.00$ | 244 | 59.4 | -1.16 | $+7.00$ | 124 | 44.4 | -1.22 | 0.00 |
| Mixed | 151 | 61.6 | +1.12 | +5.00 | 115 | 67.0 | $+1.13$ | $+5.00$ | 35 | 42.9 | +1.09 | 0.00 |
| "Bad" | 63 | 96.8 | 1.00 | $+1.86$ | 54 | 96.3 | 1.00 | +1.57 | 9 | 100.0 | 1.00 | +0.00 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 464 | 56.5 | -1.01 | +2.70 | 319 | 61.8 | -1.01 | +2.60 | 145 | 44.8 | -1.04 | $+0.00$ |
| Other | 20 | 60.0 | 1.00 | +0.00 | 14 | 78.6 | $+1.13$ | $\pm 0.00$ | 6 | 16.7 | -0.00 | 0.00 |

[^5]Table 8

Percent of Schoolchildren Overestimating the Proportion of People Involved in Violence in Any Given Year


Table 9 Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the
Proportion of People Involved in Violence Every Week

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD } \\ \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ \text { \% Light) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | gamma | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ N \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | levisio | Vi | ewing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Light |  | Heavy |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | - |  |  |  |
| Overall | 68 | (291) | 62 | (114) | 73 | (177) | $+11$ | . $26 * *$ | 425 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 66 | (126) | 64 | ( 57) | 68 | (69) | $+4$ | . 10 | 190 |
| Female | 70 | (165) | 60 | ( 57) | 77 | (108) | +17 | .38** | 235 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | 75 | (150) | 68 | ( 52) | 30 | ( 98) | +12 | .29* | 199 |
| 8th | 62 | (141) | 57 | (62) | 67 | ( 79) | +10 | . 20 | 226 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 66 | ( 70 ) | 58 | ( 28) | 72 | (42) | +14 | . 30 | 106 |
| Non-Ethnic | 68 | (195) | 60 | ( 68) | 74 | (127) | +14 | . $30 \%$ | 285 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 65 | (106) | 57 | (47) | 73 | ( 59) | $+16$ | .33* | 163 |
| Sometimes | 71 | (184) | 66 | ( 66) | 74 | (118) | $+8$ | . 19 | 259 |
| Network News |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amost Daily | 69 | ( 68) | 53 | ( 20) | 79 | (48) | +26 | . $54 \times *$ | 99 |
| Once in a while | 69 | (128) | 67 | ( 56) | 71 | ( 72 ) | $+4$ | . 09 | 186 |
| Hardiy Ever | 68 | (92) | 61 | ( 36) | 74 | ( 56) | +13 | . 28 | 135 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 73 | (135) | 65 | ( 45) | 77 | ( 90) | $+12$ | . $28 *$ | 186 |
| Some College | 62 | (120) | 58 | ( 55) | 66 | (65) | +8 | . 16 | 194 |

[^6]Table 10
Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Number of Criminals ${ }^{1}$


[^7]Percent of Adolescents who Consider Walking Alone in the City at Night Dangerous


Table 12

> Percent of Adolescents who Said They Fear Walking Alone in the City at Night


[^8]Table 13
Percent of Schoolchildren who would Be Afraid to Walk Alone in a City at Night

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD } \\ \text { (\% Heary- } \\ \text { \% Light) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | gamana | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewing ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Light |  | lleavy |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |  |  |  |
| Qverall | 45. | (60) | 46 | (27) | 52 | (33) | + 6 | . 13 | 122 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34 | (22) | 41 | (13) | 28 | (9) | -13 | -. 27 | 64 |
| Female | 66 | (38) | 52 | (14) | 77 | (24) | +25 | .52* | 58 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 61 | (40) | 59 | (13) | 61 | (27) | $\div 2$ | . 05 | 66 |
| Grades 9-12 | 36 | (20) | 38 | (14) | 32 | ( 6) | -6 | -. 14 | 56 |
| Socio-Econamic Status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 38 | (15) | 29 | ( 7) | 53 | ( 8) | +24 | -. 47 | 39 |
| High | 42 | (14) | 62 | (10) | 24 | (4) | -38 | -.69\%* | 33 |
| Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 46 | (19) | 32 | ( 7) | 63 | (12) | +31 | .5゙* | 41. |
| Medium | 43 | (19) | 65 | (1i) | 36 | ( 8) | -23 | -.52* | 39. |
| High | So | (17) | 47 | ( 3) | 53 | ( 9) | + 6 | . 12 | 34 |
| Experience as victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 49 | (42) | 51 | (23) | 48 | (19) | - 3 | -. 07 | 85 |
| No | 47 | (17) | 23 | ( 3 ) | 61 | (14) | +38 | .68* | 36 |

[^9]Table 14
Percent of Schoolchilciren who are Afraid to Walk Alone in Their Own Neighborhood at Night


[^10]Table 15
Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Frequency with which Police Find it Necessary to Use Force


I Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Eight: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours or more

2
Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Anericans, etc.
${ }^{*}{ }_{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Question (POLVI3B): "When police arrive at a scene of violence, how much of the time do they have to use force and violence $=$ most of the time or some of the time? ${ }^{\text {" }}$

Table 16

Percent of Schoolchildren Overestimating the Number of Times a Policeman Has to Draw His Gun on an Average Day

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewing |  |  |  | CD |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | hit |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \text { \% Light) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | gamma |  |
|  | 7 | N | \% | N | \% | N |  |  | N |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 12 | (14) | 6 | (4) | 18 | (10) | +12 | . $52 *$ | 121 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5 | (3) | 0 | (0) | 10 | ( 3) | $+10$ | 1.00\% | 64 |
| Female | 19 | (11) | 13 | (4) | 26 | ( 7) | +13 | . 39 | 57 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 18 | (11) | 9 | (2) | 22 | (9) | $+13$ | . 51 | 63 |
| Grades 9-12 | 5 | (3) | 5. | (2) | 6 | ( 1) | $+1$ | .10 | 58 |
| Socio-Economic status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 13 | ( 5) | 8 | (2) | 23 | ( 3) | $+15$ | . 55 | 38 |
| High | 3 | ( 1) | 0 | (0) | 6 | ( 1) | $+6$ | 1.00 | 37 |
| Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 12 | (5) | 8 | (2) | 19 | (3) | +11 | . 43 | 40 |
| Medium | 10 | (4) | 0 | (0) | 19 | (4) | +19 | 1.304 | 40 |
| High | 12 | (4) | 6 | (1) | 20 | ( 3 ) | +14 | . 62 | 33 |
| Experience as Victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 11 | ( 9) | 6 | (3) | 16 | ( 6) | +10 | . 49 | 85 |
| No | 14 | ( 5) | 7 | (1) | 20 | ( 4) | +13 | . 56 | 35 |

I "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?". Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours

2 A median split measured by the Hollingshead scale of occupational and educational status for the father.
${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

Data Source: New York School
Interviê Date: June 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionaaire
Question (PULLGUN): "On an average day, how many times does a polfceman usually pull out his gun -- less than once a day or more than five times a day?"

Table 17
Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Frequency with which Policemen Shoot Fleeing Suspects

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD | gauma | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | levis | on V | iewing |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Light |  | Heavy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \text { \% Light) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | N | \% | N |  | N |  |  | N |
| Overal1 | 59 | (249) | 53 | (97) | 64 | (152) | +1.1 | . 22 \% | 423 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 61 | (116) | 59 | (53) | 64 | ( 63) | $+5$ | . 10 | 189 |
| Female | 57 | (133) | 47 | (44) | 64 | ( 89) | +17 | . $33 \div *$ | 234 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | 58 | (115) | 50 | (38) | 64 | ( 77) | $+14$ | .27* | 197 |
| 8 th | 59 | (134) | 55 | (59) | 64 | ( 75) | +9 | . 18 | 226 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 62 | ( 64) | 56 | (26) | 66 | ( 38) | $\div 10$ | . 19 | 104 |
| Non-Ethmic | 57 | (162) | 50 | (57) | 62 | (105) | +12 | . $24 *$. | 284 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 61 | ( 98$)$ | 56 | (46) | 66 | ( 52 ) | $+10$ | . 20 | 161 |
| Sometimes | 58 | (150) | 51 | (51) | 62 | (99) | +1. | . 23 * | 259 |
| TVEにWu:a Netws Watchins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 59 | ( 58 ) | 55 | (2.1) | 61 | ( 37) | $+6$ | . 11 | 99 |
| Once in a while | 58 | (107) | 51 | (42) | 65 | (65) | +14 | . $29 *$ | 183 |
| Haraly Ever | 50 | ( 81) | 55 | (33) | 63 | ( 48) | $+8$ | . 17 | 136 |
| Fether's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 66 | (124) | 65 | (46) | 67 | ( 78) | $+2$ | . 05 | 137 |
| Some College | 50 | ( 95) | 43 | (40) | 56 | ( 55) | +13 | . $26 *$ | 191 |

1
"Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, aftertion, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours or more

2 mbose who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, AFromAmericans, etc.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
$* \quad \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Ouestion (CPSHOT 3B): 'How often do you think policemen who shoot at ruaning persons actually hit them?"

Table 18
Percent of Adolescents Saying that "You Can't Be Too Careful in Dealing with People"


[^11]Table 19
Percent of Adolescents who Say that People in General are Nore Selfish than Helpful

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | gamma | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | $\frac{\text { Helevision Viewing }}{\text { Light }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \% \text { Light) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 7 | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N |  |  |  |
| Overal1 | 60 | (249) | 56 | (101) | 64 | (148) | $\div 8$ | .17* | 413 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63 | (117) | 62 | ( 54) | 64 | ( 63) | + 2 | . 05 | 185 |
| Female | 58 | (132) | 50 | (47) | 63 | ( 85) | +13 | . $27 \%$ | 228 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 th | 58 | (112) | 56 | ( 42 ) | 59 | ( 70$)$ | $+3$ | .06 | 194 |
| 8th | 63 | (137) | 56 | ( 59) | 69 | ( 78) | +13 | . 28 * | 219 |
| Ethmic Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 64 | ( 66) | 60 | ( 27) | 67 | ( 39) | $+7$ | . 16 | 103 |
| Non-Ethnic | 58 | (161) | 53 | ( 60) | 62 | (101) | $+9$ | .19 | 277 |
| Nerospaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 61 | (97) | 59 | (47) | 63 | ( 50) | $+4$ | . 10 | 159 |
| Sometimes | 61 | (152) | 54 | ( 54) | 64 | ( 98) | +10 | .20 | 251 |
| Nerwork News Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 57 | ( 55) | 57 | ( 21) | 57 | ( 34) | 0 | -. 00 | 97 |
| Once in a while | 60 | (106) | 57 | (46) | 62 | (60) | $+5$ | . 12 | 177 |
| Hardly Ever | 62 | ( 84) | 52 | ( 32) | 70 | ( 52) | +18 | .36* | 135 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 63 | (114) | 60 | (41) | 65 | ( 73) | $+5$ | .10 | 180 |
| Some College | 55 | (104) | 54. | ( 50) | 57 | ( 54) | $+3$ | . 06 | 188 |

[^12]SECTION II

## SUMMARY OF METHODS AND FINDINGS

The Violence Profile consists of indicators of (1) the program context in which dramatic violence occurs, (2) the prevalence, rate, and roles of violence that make up the Violence Index, (3) the structure of power in the world of television drama as indicated by the risks of violence and victimization for different groups of characters in the fictional population, and (4) the extent to which (and ways in which) television cultivates its own view of facts and aspects of social reality in the conceptions of its audiences.

The first three measures of the Violence Profile reflect trends in the content of network television drama. They come from Message System Analysis, our comprehensive and periodic study of that content. The fourth measure comes from Cultivation Analysis -- our study of viewer conceptions cultivated by that content. The methods and results of our Message System and Cultivation Analyses are summarized in this section. The detailed tabulations presenting the relevant findings of Message System Analysis and of Cultivation Analysis appear in Section III of this report.

## Message System Analysis

Television is the chief creator of synthetic cultural patterns (entertainment and information) for the most heterogenous mass publics in history, including large groups that have never before shared in any common public message systems. The repetitive pattern of television's massproduced messages and images forms the mainstream of the common symbolic environment that cultivates the most widely shared conceptions of reality. We live in terms of the stories we tell -- stories about what things exist, stories about how things work, and stories about what to do -- and television tells them all through news, drama, and.advertising to almost everybody most of the time.

Television drama is the heart of that process because it offers the most diverse audience of viewers a common and stable pattern of "facts" about life and the world. No member of society escapes the lessons of almost universally enjoyed entertainment, and many millions of viewers seek little other information.

Cultural Indicators research begins with Message System Analysis, a flexible tool for making orderly, reliable, and cumulative observations of programming content. The technique allows us to identify almost any aspect of the television world, so that we can then test its contribution to viewers' conceptions of the real wor $\ddagger$ d.

Large and representative aggregates of television output (rather than individual selections from it) are the system of messages to which total communities are exposed. Message System Analysis focuses on the gross, unambiguous, and commonly understood patterns of portrayal in such systems of messages. These are the patterns that can be expected to provide bases for interaction, and common assumptions and definitions (though not necessarily agreement), among large and heterogeneous mass publics.

## Definition of Violence

The purpose of the analysis is to provide systematic, cumulative, and reliable observations. The analysis identifies many different aspects of program content. The findings reported here focus primarily upon the portrayal of violence defined as the overt expression of physical force, with or without a weapon, against self or other, compelling action against one's will on pain of being hurt or killed, or actually killing or hurting.

A rigorous three- to four-week training period assures that coders isolate only clear, unambiguous, overt physical violence. To be recorded at all, a violent incident must be plausible and credible. It must be directed against human or human-like beings, and it must hurt or kill, or threaten to do so, as part of the script's plot. No idle threats, verbal abuse, or gestures without credible violent consequences are included. However, once an unmistakably violent incident is observed, it is recorded whether the script calls for murder, "natural" catastrophes, or "accidents." (Although accidents are very rare in fiction, they are neither "natural" nor "accidental." "Accidents" written into scripts victimize characters who fall prey to them, and the message of victimization is one significant aspect of exposure to violence.)

Violence in a realistic or "serious" context is recorded along with violence in a fantasy or "humorous" context (the tone of each incident is also coded so that trends can be examined both separately and together). Clear-cut violence in any context is coded because the social lessons of such violence can be demonstrated -- and learned -- in any context. There is evidence* to suggest, for example, that exposure to fantasy or "humorous" violence is effective in conveying some lessons of violence. Therefore, its exclusion, or that of "accidents" and "catastrophes" would be analytically

[^13]unacceptable.*
Of course, we recognize that not all violence is alike. Striking out against brutality and injustice is not the same as perpetrating them. But, this study deals with violence mostly as an industrial ingredient injected wholesale into formula plays. The overall patterns of violence as demonstrations of social power are little affected by exceptions to the rule and by subtle differences in "meaning." Victimization denotes vulnerability whether desired or not. Plots may add different "meanings" to standard fates assigned to different social types, but these do no change the calculus of risks implicit in these fates.

## Units of Analysis

Observations are recorded in three types of units: the program as a whole, each specific violent action (if any) in the program, and each dramatic character appearing in the program.

Program means a single fictional story presented in dramatic form. This may be a play produced for television, a feature film telecast during the sample period, or a cartoon story (of which there may be one or more in a single program). Each of these is analyzed separately and recorded as a "program." All such programs telecast during the study periods were analyzed whether or not they contained violence.

A violent episode as a unit of analysis means a scene of some violence confined to the same participants. If a scene is interrupted by flashback or shifts to another scene, but continues in "real time," it is still the same episode. Any change in the cast of characters -- such as a new agent of violence entering the scene -- starts another episode.

Characters analyzed in all programs are of two types - major characters are the principal roles essential to the story; minor characters include all other speaking roles and are subject to less detailed analysis. The findings summarized in this report include the analysis of major characters only and include data collected from 1964 through 1978. The character portion of the recording instrument underwent extensive changes and additions prior to collection of 1969 data. Therefore, when focusing upon attributes of characterization, it is more parsimonious to exclude data collected in 1967 and 1968.

## Samples of programming

Because nationally distributed programs provide the most broadly shared television fare, network dramatic programs transmitted in evening

[^14]prime-time ( 8 p.m. to 11 p.m. each day), and network children's dramatic programs transmitted weekend mornings (Saturday and Sunday between 8 a.m. and 2 p.m.) comprise the analytical source material.*

Our sample of programs is videotaped and consists of all dramatic programs broadcast during one week, usually in the fall, of each year. ${ }^{* *}$ When an episode of a regularly scheduled program is pre-empted by a nondramatic special during the selected week, the next available episode of that series is videotaped. If the special is dramatic, it is included in the sample. This replacement procedure is also used for those tare occasions when video-recorder failure results in the loss of a program during the scheduled sample week.

Although the sheer numbers involved prohibit estimation of sampling error for all of the dimensions in the recording instrument, the solid-week sample is at least as generalizable to a year's programming as larger randomly drawn samples for the four basic sample dimensions -- network, program format (TV play, cartoon, feature film), type (action, etc.), and tone (humorous, serious). In a sampling experiment executed in connection with the 1967-68 study, a sample of 365 programs was constructed according to the parameters of the 1967-68 project's sample, except that it was drawn according to a one-program-per-day random selection procedure, for a calendar year that approximately bridged the interval between the 1967 and 1968 one-week samples.*** There was no significant difference between the experimental and solid-week samples in the distribution of programs by network, format, type and tone (as defined for the 1967-68 project).

Two further sampling experiments were conducted in the spring of 1975 and 1976. First, a week's sample from each spring's programming was analyzed and compared with the fall samples for differences in the violence measures and indices. Few differences were found and these did not seem to warrant continuing the spring sampling. Another test of our sample, using a sevenweek period as its base, was conducted in 1977. The test focused only upon violence-related content items and found no significant differences for the

[^15]items that are used to calculate the measures included in the Violence Profile.*

The 1977 sample included an additional week of prime-time programs so as to continue our sampling study. Thus, it consisted of two weeks of network dramatic programs broadcast during prime-time (8-11 p.m. EST, Monday - Saturday and 7-11 p.m. EST, Sunday) and one weekend morning (8 a.m. - 2 p.m. EST Saturday and Sunday) of network dramatic children's programs. The present sample, 1978, reverts back to a one week sample defined by the time parameters described above.

The analysis conducted for this report combines some of the yearly samples to simplify the presentation of a large amount of information. Data from the 1967 and 1968 fall seasons are combined, as are data from the fall of 1969 and 1970, and the fall of 1971 and 1972. Data from the fall of 1973 are reported separately. The fall 1974 and spring 1975 samples are combined to reflect findings for the $1974-75$ television season, and similarly, data from fall 1975 and spring 1976 are presented together and represent the $1975-76$ season. Data from the fall of 1976 , 1977 and 1978 are reported separately.

## Coding and training procedures

For the analysis of a full week sample of programs, a staff of between 12 and 16 coders is recruited. The entire training period requires about four weeks of instruction and testing. Several introductory sessions are devoted to item-by-item discussion of the recording instrument. The trainee group is subsequently split into randomly assigned coding teams of two each, and all pairs then view and code three selected programs that have previously been coded by the entire Message System Analysis staff. Each coder-pair works independently of all other pairs, and returns one joint coding for each program. In the next general meeting, the entire staff discusses difficulties encountered in the three-program exercise. When these problems have been resolved, the coder-pairs return to code seven additional programs (previously coded by the staff) selected from the video-tape archive for this training purpose. Coder-pairs also meet with staff members throughout training to discuss and resolve their specific coding problems.

The data generated by the coder-pairs on the ten training programs are keypunched and subjected to computerized agreement analysis. On the basis of these results, instructions are further discussed and perhaps revised, and idiosyncratic coder pairs are dismissed. Coder-pairs who survive this testing process proceed to analyze the season's videotaped program sample.

[^16]During both the training and data-collection phases, coder pairs monitor their assigned videotaped programs as often as necessary, re-screening portions as needed. All programs in the sample are recorded independently by two separate coder-pairs to provide double-coded reliability comparisons. (For budgetary reasons, only 30 percent of the programs in the 1967-1968 analysis were coded a second time.)

A final data set for subsequent analysis is compiled from the full data base by randomly selecting one of the two codings for each program. As a last check against deviant coding, reliability measures are computed for each pair before the final selection. This procedure identifies problem coders who may not have been screened out in the training and pretest phase. In such an instance, the data recorded by the questionable pair would be excluded from the selection, and the alternative coding used. (Over the course of this study, only two such cases have been encountered.)

## Assessment of reliability

The purpose of reliability measures in content analysis is to ascertain the degree to which the recorded data are consistently representative of the material being studied, rather than a reflection of observer bias or instrument ambiguity. Theoretically both types of contamination can be corrected by refining the instrument and/or by intensifying coder training, or, as a last resort, by eliminating the unsalvageable variable or dismissing the incorrigible coders. Thus, measures of reliability may serve two functions: (1) as diagnostic tools in the confirmation of the recording instrument, and (2) as arbiters of the replicability of the procedure, assuring confidence in the final data. In this project, they serve both: during the preliminary period of instrument revision and coder training, they identify problem areas in the recording process; the final measures computed on the study's entire corpus of double-coded data determine the acceptability of information for analysis, and provide guidelines for its interpretation.

Agreement due merely to chance gives no indication that the data truly reflect the phenomena under observation. Simple percent-agreement measures are, therefore, inadequate indicators of reliability, since they fail to account for the amount of agreement expected by chance. Reliability measures in the form of agreement coefficients, however, indicate the degree to which agreement among independent observers is above chance. In general then,

$$
\text { Coefficient of Agreement }=1-\frac{\text { observed disagreement }}{\text { expected disagreement }}
$$

Values for coefficients of this form will range from plus one when agreement is perfect, to zero when agreement is purely accidental (or perfectly random), to negative values when agreement is less than that expected due to chance. These coefficients will generally give more conservative estimates of reliability than will simple percent-agreement measures.

Table I

## Reliability Coefficients

|  | 1969-1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Items |  |  |  |
| Number of Violent Actions | . 746 (I) | . 860 (I) | .857 (I) |
| Program Tone (comic-serious) | .831 (0) | . 876 (0) | . 840 (0) |
| Place of Major Action | . 717 (N) | .638 (N) | . 796 (N) |
| Date of Major Action | .686 (N) | . 659 (N) | . 785 (N) |
| Setting of Major Action | .574 (N) | . 658 (N) | .656 (N) |
| Violence-Significance | . 781 (0) | .740 (0) | . 813 (0) |
| Violence-Seriousness | . 798 (0) | .784 (0) | . 803 (0) |
| Characterization Items |  |  |  |
| Sex | . 930 (N) | . 912 (N) | . 922 (N) |
| Social Age | .640 (N) | . 720 (N) | . 612 (N) |
| Race | . 888 (N) | . 936 (N) | . 965 (N) |
| Nationality | . 728 (N) | .737 (N) | . 734 (N) |
| Socio-Economic Status | . 567 (0) | . 525 (0) | . 651 (0) |
| Marital Status | . 694 (N) | . 712 (N) | . 716 (N) |
| Type of character |  |  |  |
| ("good". - "bad") | . 773 (0) | .791 (0) | . 688 (0) |
| Committing Violence | . 704 (N) | . 734 (N) | . 657 (N) |
| Victimization | .673 (N) | .691 (N) | . 767 (N) |

Five computational formulas are available for calculating the agreement coefficient.* The variations are distinguished by different formulations of the disagreement function -- depending on whether the variable is considered to constitute a nominal, ordinal, interval, bipolar or ratio scale. The project's double-coded sample of data is analyzed for agreement via these coefficients, with the aid of a computer program.** The results of the reliability analyses govern the reporting of the findings. Table I presents reliability coefficients for the content items included in this report for 1969-76, 1977 and 1978 samples. Items such as network program format duration, time of broadcast, etc. are administratively coded items and are not subject to reliability analysis.

## Violence Indicators

Message System Analysis contributes three types of information to the Violence Profile. The first is the program context of which any dramatic element, such as violence, is an integral part. The second consists of the specific indicators of violence in various program categories, and the composite Violence Index. The third type of information is in the form of risk ratios and scores which show how the pattern of violence and victimization works for different kinds of people that populate the world of television drama.

The Violence Index is composed of three sets of direct observational data. They show the extent to which violenceoccurred at all in the program samples, the frequency and rate of violent episodes, and the number of roles calling for characterization as violents, victims, or both. These data sets are called prevalence, rate, and role, respectively.

Prevalence is the percent of programs containing any violence in a particular program sample. Prevalence is calculated both as percent of programs (\%P) and as percent of program hours containing violence. Only $\%$ is part of the Index.

Rate expresses the frequency of these acts in units of programming and in units of time. The acts themselves are called "violent episodes." The number of such episodes divided by the total number of programs (violent or not) yields the rate per program ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{P}$ ). The rate per hour ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{H}$ ) is the number of episodes divided by the number of program hours in the sample. The latter measures the concentration or saturation of violence in time, and compensates for the difference in rates between a long program unit, such as a movie, and a short one, such as a 10 -minute cartoon.

Role is defined as the portrayal of characters as violents (committing violence) or victims (subjected to violence), or both, and yeilds several measures. They are: percent of violents out of all characters in a

[^17]sample; percent of victims out of all characters in a sample; all those involved as violents or as victims or both (\%V); percent killers (those committing fatal violence); percent of killed (victims of lethal violence); and all those involved in killing, either as killers, killed, or both ( $\mathrm{K} \%$ ) .

Findings from these data are combined to form an Index. The Index itself is not a statistical finding but serves to illustrate trends and to facilitate gross comparisons.

Prevalence (\%P), rate per program (R/P), and rate per hour ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{H}$ ) are reflected in the program score (PS) which is computed as follows: $P S=(\% P)+2(R / P)+2(R / H)$.

Roles involving characters in any violent act, weighted by roles involved in killing, are expressed in the character score (CS). The formula $C S=(\%)+(\%$ ) represents the percent of all leading characters committing violence, suffering violence, or both (\%V), with added weight given to the percent of those involved in killing as killers, as fatal victims, or both (\%K).

The Violence Index is obtained by adding the program score to the character score. Prevalence, rate, and role are thus reflected in the Index, giving it a multidimensional quality sensitive to a variety of measures of violent portrayals and lending it a certain stability not easily altered or manipulated by superficial script changes.

Message System Findings

The analysis of the Fall 1978 sample of prime-time and weekenddaytime network dramatic programing indicates that violence increased in both weekend-daytime (children's) and late evening ( $9-11 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{EST}$ ) programming, but decreased in early evening broadcasting ( $8-9$ p.m. EST; see Table 2). The increase in children's programming is especially important and noticeable because violence in this genre of programming is at the highest level it has ever been. Moreover, violence in children's programming has increased considerably from 1977. The percent of weekenddaytime programs with violence went from 90.6 percent in 1977 to 97.9 percent in 1978. The rate of violent actions increased from 4.9 per program and 15.6 per hour to the extremely high rates of 7.5 per program and 25.0 per hour. The percent of characters involved in violence also increased considerably -- from 77.2 percent in 1977 to 86.0 percent in 1978. Finally, the Violence Index is at its second highest level (249). Overall, violence in children's programming has reverted to the extremely high levels isolated in 1969, 1970 and 1976.

Violence in the late evening hours ( $9-11 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. EST) has also increased but not in all measures. The percent of programs with violence increased from 73.0 percent in 1977 to 86.1 percent in 1978; but the two measures of violence saturation -- rate per program and rate per hour -- have decreased. The rate per program dropped slightly from 5.8 in 1977 to 5.6 in 1978, and the rate per hour went from 5.7 to 4.8 . The percent of characters involved
in violence increased from 57.1 percent in 1977 to 62.5 percent in 1978. The overall Index increased 15 points -- from 165 in 1977 to the present level of 180 . The overall level remains at about the 12 -year norm for late evening programs.

The only major group of programs in which violence declined was in programs broadcast in the early evening hours. In this case, the Violence Index components show an across-the-board drop. Violence in early evening programs is at its second lowest level -- the only time it was lower was in the 1975-76 season when the networks, especially CBS, were publicly discussing the concept of a "Family Viewing" hour.

Because of the differences between the amount of violence in early and late evening programming, the overall level of violence in prime-time programs is about the same as it was in 1977. If anything, the pattern is similar to that discussed for late evening programming -- the percent of programs with violence is up and the rates are down. The basic difference is that there are slightly fewer characters involved in violence and the overall Violence Index is down by one point -- from 154 to 153.

For the most part, findings presented in Table 3 reveal that the increase in violence is an industry-wide phenomenon. All three networks show increases in the amount of violence in children's programming. Although NBC has the lowest level of violence overall, even its Index increased from 206 in 1977 to 238 in 1978. $A B C$ and CBS have similar scores of 253; $A B C$ is up from 216 in 1977 and CBS gained 47 points (206 to 253).

Children's programming on all three networks is also heavily saturated with violence. For each network the rates of violent actions per program and per hour are some of the highest we have encountered in our 12 years of conducting this research.

When we examine all prime-time programming, only $A B C$ exhibits an increase, due primarily to an increase in the percent of programs with violence and in the percent of characters who are involved in violence. When we further divide the prime-time sample into programs broadcast in the early evening ( $8-9$ p.m. EST) and late evening ( $9-11$ p.m. EST), we find differences between the networks. ABC increased the amount of violence in early and late evening programming over 1977, while CBS reduced violence in both time slots. NBC considerably reduced early evening violence (the Violence Index for NBC went from 188 in 1977 to 72 in 1978), but this network sharply increased violence in late evening hours (from 188 to 230).

Table 4 presents a 12 year summary of the Violence Index for a large number of different types of programs. The analysis also includes separate scores for different types of programming broadcast by each individual network and by the three networks combined (i.e., the entire sample). The last column shows the increase ( + ) or decrease ( - ) in the Violence Index from 1977 to 1978.

The largest increases were found for all comic tone programs and new weekend-daytime, serious weekend-daytime and CBS weekend-daytime programs. NBC's late evening line-up and ABC's early evening programs also increased by more than 40 points. The five program types having the largest reductions were CBS action programs, all prime-time programs, CBS early evening programs, NBC early evening programs and serious primetime programs.

In the 1978 sample the least violent programs were NBC and CBS early evening programs; new prime-time programs, all family-hour programs and prime-time comic-tone programs. All but one of the ten most violent types of programs were children's programs, including cartoon and comictone programs. Only movies are as violent as most programs aired specifically for children. It is especially noticeable that every type of weekend-daytime programming described in this analysis has a Violence Index of 230 or greater.

Similar findings are revealed in Table 5, a summary table presenting a measure of violence saturation -- the rate of violent actions per hour of programming. The change column also reveals that a large number of the different types of programs -- almost two thirds -- have increases in this measure. Moreover, the amount of increase is substantial in many cases. Cartoon and weekend-daytime programs (ABC and CBS in particular) have extremely large increases. In the 1978 sample, comic-tone programs, broadcast during weekend-daytime are especially saturated with violence 35.7 violent actions per hour of programming. CBS cartoons are also extremely high, at the rate of 30.2 acts per hour of programming.

Portrayal of Violence

Violence is not a simple one-dimensional act. Its frequency alone is not very illuminating. Violence is a complex social scenario involving victims as well as violents, and as such, continues to demonstrate patterns of unequal risks among characters in different groups.

As discussed above, we measure violence in terms of characters' involvement in violence and their risk of being hurt or killed.

Involvement occurs in a scene of overt physical force, and an "involved" character may commit or suffer violence, or both. For example, a victimized character is clearly hurt, killed, or compelled to act under a credible threat of pain or death. A violent perpetrates these actions, and both characters' choices are written into the script as part of the plot and characterization.

Hurting and killing represent different symbolic (and, we might add, human) functions. Hurting controls behavior (typically against the injured party's will); killing terminates the role. Therefore, while hurting usually signifies contest, killing typically signals fate. Dramatic contest and fate both demonstrate the encounter of different human types and the causes they embody.

In the world of television, "involvement" means entry into the arena of power. Involvement in any violence places characters in the ring, while involvement in killing thrusts them into the inner circle.

Risk ratio, on the other hand, signifies a character's chances for positive or negative outcome once involved in violence. It indicates the burden of risk with which each dramatic and social type enters the arena. The violent-victim ratio denotes chances for deing a violent or a victim. The killer-killed ratio marks the risk of killing or being killed. Both ratios are calculated within each dramatic and social category.

Involvement in violence and killing may range from 0 to 100 percent of a particular group. Risk ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of these two roles by the less numerous within each group. A plus sign indicates more violents and killers, a minus sign more victims and killed. A ratio of 1.00 means that they are even; a ratio of 0.00 means that there are none. When there are only violents or only killers shown, the ratio will read to.00. Conversely, when there are only victims or only killed, the ratio will read -0.00 . The number of characters, the percent of characters involved in violence and killing, and risk ratios for characters in weekend-daytime and prim-time programs are presented in Tables 6 and 7.

Involvement in violence -- either comnitting violence, being victimized, or both -- is an important aspect of characterization in weekend-daytime programs. Table 6 reveals that 79.9 percent of major characters ( 81.5 percent of the males and 68.6 percent of the females) are involved in violence. In prime-time programming involvement in violence is slightly less pervasive. In this case, 54.5 percent of these major characters
( 60.4 percent of the men and 39.9 percent of the women) either commit violence, are victimized, or both.

In both prime-time and weekend-daytime programs the outcome of involvement is usually negative. That is, characters who are victims of violence usually outnumber those who commit violence, meaning that the violent-victim ratio is negative.

In weekend-daytime programs the violent-victim ratio for our ten vear study (1969-1978) is -1.34 , meaning that 1.34 characters are hurt for every character who hurts other characters. .-. The ratio for male characters is similar ( -1.33 ) but the ratio for females is larger. The -1.52 ratio for women means that for each woman who commits violence 1. 52 are victimized.

The violent-victim ratios are somewhat smaller in prime-time programs. In this case, victims outnumber violents by a ratio of -1.11 ; for every major character who commits violence, there are 1.11 major characters who are victimized. The violent-victim ratio for male characters is slightly smaller ( -1.07 ) while the ratio for females is slightly larger ( -1.27 ). That is, 1.27 women are victimized for each woman who commits violence.

While almost any character can (and most do) get hurt in the world of television, the risks of getting killed vary according to whether programs are broadcast during weekend-daytime or prime-time hours.

Our ten year analysis reveals (Table 6) that the basic killer-killed ratio is -1.11 . For every killer, 1.11 characters are killed. Male characters who are killed also outnumber the males who kill (killer-killed ratio $=$ -1.14). However, an equal number of female characters are killers and are killed; the ratio is 1.00 . The portrayal of killing in children's programming may, however, be changing. Our analysis of programs broadcast in the past two seasons has revealed that no major characters in weekenddaytime programs are involved in killing -- there are no killers nor any characters who are killed (see Table 47, Section III).

Finally, the risks of getting killed in prime-time programing are suite different from those in weekend-daytime programing-- killers outnumber those who are killed by a ratio of +1.98 . Thus for every major character who is killed there are two characters who kill. Male characters are more likely than female characters to be portrayed as killers -- there are 2.10 male killers for every male who is killed and 1.22 female killers for every female who suffers 1ethal violence.

$$
\underbrace{67-68^{1}} 69-70^{1} \quad 71-72^{1} 1973 \quad 74-75^{2} \quad 75-76^{2} \text { 1976 } 1977^{3} \text { 1978 } \begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& 67-78
\end{aligned}
$$

A11 Programs

| \% Programs w/violence | $\because 1.4$ | 80.6 | 79.8 | 72.7 | 80.7 | 77.4 | 89.1 | 75.5 | 84.7 | 79.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate per program | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
| Rate per hour | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
| \% Characters involved | 69.5 | 65.1 | 58.8 | 55.7 | 64.6 | 64.2 | 74.8 | 60.9 | 64.8 | 64.0 |
| $\quad$ in violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Weekend-Daytime

| \% Programs w/violence | 93.5 | 97.2 | 88.9 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 97.9 | 93.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate per program | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 5.9 |
| Rate per hour | 22.3 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 25.0 | 17.7 |
| \% Characters involved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Prime-Time

| \% Programs w/violence | 75.2 | 66.4 | 73.8 | 59.7 | 72.2 | 68.7 | 80.3 | 69.8 | 74.6 | 71.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate per program | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Rate par えown | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 64.4 | 49.4 | 53.9 | 41.1 | 60.5 | 55.0 | 67.4 | 55.5 | 52.9 | 55.7 |
| Violence Index | 176 | 140 | 159 | 132 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 154 | 153 | 159 |

8-9 FoM $_{0}$ EST

| \% Programs w/violence | 77.0 | 60.3 | 74.5 | 56.3 | 63.0 | 52.5 | 72.0 | 66.2 | 59.3 | 65.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate per program | 4.9 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Rate per hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

9-II P.M.EST

| \% Programs w/violence | 72.3 | 75.0 | 73.1 | 63.3 | 80.3 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 73.0 | 86.1 | 76.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate per program | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Rate per hour | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| \% Characters involved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^18]Table 3
Violence Index Components for 1977 and 1978 by Network

|  | A11. Networks |  | $A B C$ |  | CBS |  | NBC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1977^{1}$ | 1978 | $1977$ | 1978 | $1977$ | 1978 | $1977$ | 1978 |
| All Progrems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 75.5 | 84.7 | 74.6 | 88.6 | 70.0 | 85.4 | 84.9 | 78.6 |
| Rate per program | 5.0 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| Rate per hour | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| \% Characters irivolved in violence | 60.9 | 64.8 | 55.8 | 66.3 | 58.0 | 63.9 | 70.7 | 64.3 |
| Violence Trdex | 166 | 183 | 154 | 186 | 1.59 | 183 | 190 | 179 |
| Weekend-Daytime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 90.6 | 97.9 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 90.9 |
| Rate per program | 4.9 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 7.2 |
| Rate per hour | 15.6 | 25.0 | 16.0 | 26.3 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 15.7 | 20.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 77.2 | 86.0 | 79.2 | 81.5 | 80.8 | 85.0 | 71.1 | 91.3 |
| Violence Index | 209 | 249 | 216 | 253 | 206 | - 253 | 206 | 238 |
| Prime-Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 69.8 | 74.6 | 67.4 | 83.3 | 64.4 | 68.2 | 81.1 | 70.6 |
| kate per progran | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 5.2 | $\bigcirc$ | 6.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate per hour | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 6.6 | $\div 4$ | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 55.5 | 52.9 | 48.3 | 60.0 | 51.2 | 4.46 | 70.6 | 54.1 |
| Violence tndex | 154 | 153 | 136 | 165 | 146 | 136 | 188 | 159 |
| 8-9 P.M. ESP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Prograns w/violence | 66.2 | 59.3 | 66.7 | 83.3 | 55.6 | 50.0 | 82.4 | 28.5 |
| Rate per program | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| Rate per hour | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| \% Characters involved in violence | 53.2 | 39.2 | 44.3 | 62.1 | 46.2 | 33.3 | 76.6 | 20.7 |
| Violence Index | 140 | 116 | 126 | 167 | 123 | 93 | 188 | 72 |
| 9-11 P.M. EST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Programs w/violence | 73.0 | 88.1 | 68.2 | 83.3 | 71.9 | 73.6 | 80.0 | 100.0 |
| Rate per program | 5.8 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 5.6 . | 6.2 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Rate per hour | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
| \% Characters involved in yiolence | 57.1 | 62.5 | 51.1 | 58.3 | 55.3 | 50.0 | 66.7 | 84.4 |
| Violence Index | 165 | 180 | 143 | 164 | 166 | 158 | 188 | 230 |

Table 4
Summary of Violence Index
(1967-1978)

|  | $67-68^{1}$ | $6^{69-70^{1}}$ | $71-72^{1}$ | 1973 | $7^{74-75}$ | $75 \cdot 76^{2}$ | 1976 | $\underline{1977}^{3}$ | 1978 | Change $1977 \text { to } 1978$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A11 Programs | 190 | 178 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 177 | 204 | 166 | 183 | +17 |
| Prime-Time | 176 | 140 | 159 | 132 | 174 | 160 | 183 | 154 | 153 | - I |
| Weekend-Morning | 242 | 253 | 208 | 212 | 202 | 211 | 247 | 209 | 249 | $+40$ |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs | 186 | 127 | 150 | 126 | 138 | 104 | 145 | 140 | 116 | -24. |
| 9-11 P.M. EST Programs | 162 | 158 | 167 | 137 | 205 | 203 | 209 | 165 | 180 | +15 |
| Cartoons | 246 | 254 | 224 | 218 | 207 | 22.8 | 273 | 228 | 252 | $+24$ |
| TV Plays | 173 | 137 | 140 | 122 | 157 | 149 | 185 | 137 | 137 | 0 |
| Movies | 211 | 198 | 226 | 186 | 258 | 252 | 220 | 265 | 248 | -17 |
| Comic Tone Programs | 144 | 183 | 144 | 149 | 171 | 162 | 227 | 151 | 203 | +52 |
| Prime-Time | 108 | 72 | 76 | 43 | 54 | 70 | 133 | 99 | 119 | $+20$ |
| Weekend A.M. | 222 | 26.5 | 202 | 225 | 226 | 229 | 270 | 241 | 274 | +33 |
| Serious Tone Programs | - | 187 | 208 | 197 | 211 | 206 | 216 | 203 | 192 | -11 |
| Prime-Time | - | 187 | 210 | 200 | 217 | 211 | 214 | 209 | 183 | -26 |
| Weekend A.M. | - | 207 | 167 | 178 | 168 | 183 | 228 | 181 | 230 | +49 |
| Continued Programs | 182 | 173 | 175 | 159 | 183 | 181 | 197 | 174 | 190 | +16 |
| Prime-Time | 171 | 149 | 155 | 135 | 170 | 168 | 180 | 166 | 169 | $+3$ |
| Weekend A.M. | 231 | 251 | 217 | 222 | 209 | 207 | 244 | 215 | 246 | +31 |
| New Programs | 201. | 188 | 172 | 163 | 181 | 168 | 216 | 154 | 165 | +11 |
| Prime-Time | 184 | 119 | 166 | 124 | 188 | 145 | 192 | 134 | 112 | -22 |
| Weekend A.M. | 253 | 256 | 192 | 202 | 169 | 221 | 250 | 203 | 255 | +52 |
| Action Programs | 236 | 226 | 220 | 212 | 224 | 213 | 231 | 214 | 207 | - 7 |
| Prime-Time | 237 | 221 | 223 | 213 | 237 | 220. | 234 | 219 | 185 | -34 |
| Weekend Anit. | 256 | 25 | 225 | 218 | 202 | 206 | 230 | 205 | 259 | $+30$ |
| ABC Programs | 210 | 162 | 159 | 138 | 188 | 186 | 207 | 154 | 186 | $+32$ |
| CBS Programs | 159 | 173 | 170 | 174 | 173 | 153 | 182 | 159 | 183 | $+24$ |
| NBC Programs | 204 | 204 | 195 | 172 | 189 | 194 | 224 | 190 | 179 | -11 |
| Prime-Time Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 203 | 119 | 146 | 101 | 1.96 | 180 | 196 | 136 | 165 | +29 |
| CBS | 128 | 129 | 150 | 152 | 152 | 122 | 150 | 146 | 136 | -10 |
| - NBC | 201 | 176 | 187 | 147 | 178 | 182 | 212 | 188 | 159 | -29 |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 200 | 105 | 140 | 120 | 181 | 129 | 197 | 126 | 167 | $+41$ |
| CBS | 157 | 123 | 132 | 127 | 112 | 46 | 102 | 123 | 93 | -30 |
| NBC | 201 | 161 | 175 | 136 | 119 | 133 | 139 | 188 | 72 | -116 |
| 9-1.1 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 209 | 146 | 150 | 79 | 210 | 222 | 196 | 143 | 164 | $+21$ |
| CBS | 92 | 1.37 | 161 | 174 | 187 | 171 | 175 | 166 | 158 | - 8 |
| NBC | 201 | 196 | 200 | 161 | 224 | 222 | 282 | 1.88 | 230 | 44 |
| Action Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 241 | 223 | 225 | 196 | 232 | 211 | 251 | 208 | 230 | $+22$ |
| CBS | 234 | 238 | 230 | 238 | 235 | 224 | 206 | 231 | 192 | -39 |
| NBC | 235 | 221 | 209 | 211 | 209 | 207 | 234 | 204 | 202 | - 2 |
| Weekend A.M. Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 242 | 239 | 192 | 208 | 178 | 200 | 237 | 216 | 253 | $+37$ |
| - CBS | 257. | 250 | 210 | 238 | 213 | 210 | 239 | 206 | 253 | 447 |
| NBC | 229 | 278 | 220 | 202 | 213 | 227 | 264 | 206 | 238 | $+32$ |
| Cartoon Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 242 | 239 | 226 | 208 | 178 | 202 | 239 | 217 | 253 | +36 |
| CBS | 257 | 252 | 219 | 238 | 219 | 240 | 263 | 243 | 260 | +17 |
| NBC | 237 | 280 | 231 | 215 | 233 | 258 | 333 | 219 | 238 | $+19$ |

[^19]|  | 67-68 ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{-69-70 ~}^{1}$ | $71-72^{1}$ | 1973 | $74-75^{2}$ | $75-76^{2}$ | 1076 | $1977^{3}$ | 1978 | Change $1977 \text { to } 1978$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Programs | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 | +1.6 |
| Prime-Time | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | -1.0 |
| Weekend-Morning | 22.3 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 1.5 .6 | 25.0 | +9.4 |
| 8-9 PoM. EST Programs | 6.4 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | -1.3 |
| 9-11 P.M.EST Programs | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | -0.9 |
| Cartoons | 22.5 | 26.3 | 18.2 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 18.7 | 34.0 | 19.8 | 26.2 | +6.4 |
| TV Plays | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 3.8 | -0.6 |
| Movies | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 6.5 | -1.8 |
| Comic-Tone Programs | 5.3 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 20.3 | 9.1 | 17.9 | $+8.8$ |
| Prime-Time | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.3 | $+2.0$ |
| Weekend A.M. | 19.9 | 28.4 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 31.8 | 25.1 | 35.7 | +10.6 |
| Serious-Tone Programs | - | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 5.3 | -1. 6 |
| Prime-Time | - | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.2 | -2.5 |
| Weekend A.M. | - | 17.4 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 12.2 | 9.2 | 14.4 | +5.2 |
| Continued Programs | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 8.5 | $\dagger 3.6$ |
| Prime-Time | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.2 | -0.8 |
| Weekend A.M. | 20.5 | 24.4 | 19.6 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 23.1 | 17.2 | 24.3 | $+7.1$ |
| New Programs | 7.8 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 7.9 | +1.6 |
| Prime-Time | 5.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 2.2 | -2.3 |
| Weekend A.M. | 23.8 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 15.1 | 21.7 | 14.3 | 26.5 | +12.2 |
| Action Programs | 9.1. | 11.1 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.5 | +1. 1 |
| Frime-Time | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 5.2 | $-2.3$ |
| , weekend A.M. | 24.4 | 27.1 | 18.7. | 14.0 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 19.4 | 45.5 |
| ABC Programs | 7.7 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 8.1 | +2.1 |
| CBS Programs | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 9.8 | $\div 2.4$ |
| NBC Programs | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 6.9 | +0.5 |
| Prime-Time Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A B C$ | 6.0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | +0.1 |
| CBS | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.4 | $-2.0$ |
| NBC | 5.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.6 | -0.7 |
| 8-9 P.M. EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 7.5 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| CBS | 5.3 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 2.9 | -3.0 |
| NBC | 6.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.6 | $+0.2$ |
| 9-11 P.M.EST Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 5.4 | +0.8 |
| CBS | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 4.9 | -1.9 |
| NBC | 5.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | -1.2 |
| Action Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 8.7 | 11.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 9.0 | 11.8 | +2.8 |
| CBS | 10.8 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 7.5 | -2.0 |
| NBC | 8.5 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 10.2 | +3.1 |
| Weekend A.M. Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 21.3 | 24.6 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 26.3 | $+10.3$ |
| CBS | 24.2 | 22.6 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 19.2 | 15.2 | 26.8 | $+11.6$ |
| NBC | 21.2 | 31.6 | 15.6 | 11.5 | 14.1 | 18.0 | 29.4 | 15.7 | 20.6 | +4.9 |
| Cartoon Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC | 21.3 | 24.6 | 17.5 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 21.5 | 18.5 | 26.3 | +7.8 |
| CBS | 24.2 | 24.0 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 14.2 | 19.9 | 29.7 | 21.5 | 30.2 | +8.7 |
| NBC | 21.7 | 32.6 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 19.7 | 24.5 | 59.5 | 18.7 | 20,6 | +1. 9 |

[^20]Table 6
RISK RAITOS ${ }^{1}$
Major Characters in Weekend-Daytime Programs
(1.969-1978)

|  | Al1 Chamacters |  |  |  | Male Chmactexs |  |  |  | Fenale Chaxacters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Violent- } \\ & \text { Victim } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer } \\ & \text { Kılled } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Violent } \\ & \text { Victim } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer- } \\ & \text { Kliled } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent Victim Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killer } \\ & \text { Killed } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| A11. Characters | 1370 | 79.9 | -1.34 | -1.11 | 2110 | 81.5 | -1.33 | -1.14 | 207 | 68.6 | -1. 52 | 1.00 |
| Social Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children-Adolescents | 244 | 70.9 | -1.76 | 0.00 | 183 | 73.8 | -1.87 | 0.00 | 59 | 62.7 | -1.44 | 0.00 |
| Young Adults | 247 | 78.1 | -1.73 | -0.00 | 179 | 79.3 | -1.57 | -0.00 | 64 | 73.4 | -2.39 | -0.00 |
| Settled Adults | 501 | 79.0 | -1.30 | +1.67 | 441 | 81.0 | -1.30 | $+1.50$ | 59 | 64.4 | -1.36 | $+2.00$ |
| Elderly | 25 | 68.0 | -1.23 | 0.00 | 19 | 63.2 | -1.10 | 0.00 | 6 | 83.3 | -1.67 | 0.00 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Married | 691 | 76.4 | -1.42 | 1.00 | 537 | 78.6 | -1.39 | -2.00 | 146 | 67.8 | -1.59 | +0.00 |
| Married | 97 | 61.9 | -1.66 | 1.00 | 73 | 65.8 | -1.76 | +0.00 | 24 | 50.0 | -1.29 | -0.00 |
| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearly Upper | 48 | 72.9 | -1.57 | 0.00 | 35 | 74.3 | -1.73 | 0.00 | 13 | 69.2 | -1.17 | 0.00 |
| Mixed | 1293 | 80.0 | -1.33 | -1.11 | 1047 | 81.5 | -1.32 | -1.14 | 193 | 68.9 | -1.55 | 1.00 |
| Clearly Lower | 29 | 89.7 | -1.25 | 0.00 | 28 | 92.9 | -1.25 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 797 | 74.4 | -1.44 | 1.00 | 625 | 76.0 | -1.43 | -1.33 | 172 | 68.6 | -1.48 | +2.00 |
| Other | 102 | 79.4 | -1.71 | 0.00 | 84 | 79.8 | -1.68 | 0.00 | 15 | 73.3 | -2.00 | 0.90 |
| Character Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Good" | 805 | 74.0 | -1. 54 | +0.00 | 626 | 76.0 | -1. 53 | +0.00 | 156 | 64.7 | -1.69 | $+0.00$ |
| Mixed | 322 | 84.8 | -1.26 | -0.00 | 273 | 85.0 | -1.27 | -0.00 | 27 | 74.1 | -1.67 | -0.00 |
| "Bad" | 242 | 93.0 | $-1.06$ | -1.67 | 210 | 93.3 | -1.07 | $-1.33$ | 24 | 87.5 | 1.00 | -0.00 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 725 | 73.7 | -1.50 | +1.33 | 578. | 75.3 | -1.46 | 1.00 | 137 | 66.4 | -1.79 | +2.00 |
| other | 125 | 80.0 | -1.40 | -0.00 | 105 | 83.8 | -1.36 | $-0.00$ | 20 | 60.0 | $-1.83$ | 0.00 |

[^21]Table 7
RISK RAT COS ${ }^{1}$
Major Characters in Prfmexime Programs
(1969-1.978)

|  | All Characters |  |  |  | Male Chaxacters |  |  |  | Female Characters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Violence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Vict:m <br> Ratio | Kil1er- <br> Killed <br> Ratio | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tnvolved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { violence } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Violent- } \\ & \text { Victim } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Killer- <br> Killed <br> Ratio | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Involved } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { yiolence } \end{aligned}$ | Violent- <br> Victim <br> Ratio | Killer" <br> Killed <br> Ratio |
| All Characters | 2579 | 54.5 | -1.11 | +1.98 | 1828 | 60.4 | -1.07 | +2.10 | 749 | 39.9 | -1.27 | +1.22 |
| Social Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children-Adolescents | 171 | 45.6 | -1.33 | +3.00 | 114 | 50.9 | -1.38 | +3.00 | 57 | 35.1 | -1.17 | 0.00 |
| Young Adults | 566 | 58.5 | -1.21 | +2.23 | 360 | 64.7 | -1.09 | +2.38 | 206 | 47.6 | -1.62 | $+1.60$ |
| Settled Adults | 1711 | 54.1 | -1.05 | +2.08 | 1257 | 60.4 | -1.05 | +2.15 | 454 | 36.8 | -1.07 | +1.56 |
| E1derly | 81 | 40.7 | -1.10 | -1.75 | 61. | 45.9 | +1.17 | 1.00 | 20 | 25.0 | -0.00 | -0.00 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Married | 1182 | 59.3 | -1.11 | +1.96 | 837 | 64.0 | -1.05 | +2.15 | 345 | 47.8 | -1. 36 | +1.10 |
| Married. | 890 | 43.7 | -1.22 | +1.68 | 553 | 51.2 | -1.21 | +1.79 | 337 | 31.5 | -1.25 | +1.25 |
| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearly Upper | 221 | 56.6 | -1.33 | +1.50 | 147 | 66.0 | -2.16 | +1.57 | 74 | 37.8 | -2.50 | +1.25 |
| Mixed | 2256 | 53.9 | -1.08 | +2.19 | 1603 | 59.6 | -1.06 | +2.32 | 651 | 39.6 | -1.18 | +1.23 |
| clearly Lower | 1.02 | 63.7 | -1.26 | -1.11 | 78 | 66.7 | -1.17 | -1.13 | 24 | 54.2 | $-1.71$ | 1.00 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 2290 | 55.2 | -1.10 | +2.02 | 1610 | 60.9 | -1.06 | +2.17 | 680 | 41.5 | -1.26 | +1.22 |
| Other | 258 | 45.3 | -1.13 | +1.69 | 196 | 53.1 | -1.09 | +1.69 | 62 | 21.0 | -1.71 | 0.00 |
| Character Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Good" | 1499 | 50.0 | -1.13 | +2.71 | 1032 | 56.2 | -1.07 | +3.65 | 466 | 36.1 | -1.43 | -2.67 |
| Mixed | 771 | 51.6 | -1.19 | +1.50 | 534 | 56.0 | -1.17 | +1.42 | 235 | 41.3 | -1.26 | +1.80 |
| "Bad" | 308 | 84.1 | +1.05 | +1.95 | 261 | 86.2 | +1.03 | +1.94 | 47 | 72.3 | +1. 26 | +2.00 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 2375 | 53.4 | -1.10 | +2.09 | 1685 | 59.1 | -1.06 | $+2.26$ | 690 | 39.4 | -1.28 | $+1.13$ |
| Other | 139 | 67.6 | -1.23 | +1.40 | 98 | 77.6 | -1.22 | +1.36 | 41 | 43.9 | -1.27 | +2.00 |
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## Cultivation Analysis

Cultivation Analysis is the study of what is usually called effects or impact. We consider the latter terms inappropriate to the study of broad cultural influences. The "effects" of a pervasive medium upon the composition and structure of the symbolic environment are subtle, complex and mingled with other influences. Also, the concept of causation, borrowed from simpler experimental studies in the physical and biological sciences, is not fully applicable to the steady flow of images and messages that make up much of contemporary popular culture.

People are born into a culture that cultivates their needs as well as their satisfactions. Culture affects assumptions about facts as well as responses to facts. In modern cultures demand is manufactured, as well as supply. Social and psychological characteristics draw individuals to select certain types of content which, in turn, nourish and cultivate those characteristics. Innumerable facts (and values) outside of personal experience can only be learned -- and related values derived -- from the mass media; or from others who have learned them from the mass media. Increasingly, media-cultivated facts and values become standards by which we judge personal experience and family and community behavior. The general stability of, rather than any specific change in, these patterns is the principal contribution of mass media to the ideas, behavior and values of a society. The persistent exposure to messages that cultivate perspectives need not result in a major "shift" in personal attitude and behavior to have profound consequences. A barely perceptible change of a few degrees average temperature can lead to an ice age or make the desert bloom. A slight but pervasive tilt in the cultural climate can have major social and public policy implications. The closer a vote, a decision, or a public policy issue, the smaller the shift needed for change, and the more rigid the forces of stability might be. This is one reason why we prefer to speak of the contribution of television to the cultivation of common perspectives rather than in terms of achieving any specific or preconceived goals, impact, or effects.

Cultivation Analysis begins with the patterns found in the "world" of television drama. The message system composing that world presents coherent images of life and society. How are these images reflected in the assumptions and values held by audiences? How are the "lessons" of symbolic behavior which are presented in fictional forms applied to conceptions about real life?

The problem of studying television's "effects" is compounded by the fact that nearly everyone "lives" to some extent in the world of television.* Without control groups of non-viewers it is difficult to isolate television's impact. Experiments do not solve the problem, for they are not comparable to people's day-to-day television viewing, either in content or context. Our approach reflects the hypothesis that heavier viewers of television, those more exposed than lighter viewers to its messages, are more likely

[^23]to understand social reality in terms of the "facts of life" they see on television. To investigate this idea we partition the population and our samples according to television exposure. By contrasting light and heavy viewers, some of the "difference" television makes in people's conception of social reality can be examined. Of course, factors other than television viewing account for some of these differences. We, and others, have found that both heavy television viewing and certain outlooks are part and parcel of a complex syndrome which also includes lower education, lower mobility, lower aspirations, higher anxieties, and other class-, age-, and sex- related characteristics. Accordingly, analyses are designed with statistical controls for these and other demographic and descriptive variables. These characteristics are held constant by comparing responses of heavy and light viewers within relatively homogeneous groups. For example, college-educated respondents may answer differently than non-college respondents. Therefore, we examine heavy and light viewing respondents within the college and non-college groups as well as between them.

## Development of Questions

The investigation of television's effects upon conceptions of social reality begins with systematic analysis of the world of television drama. Message System Analysis reveals how certain "facts" and aspects of social reality are presented in television drama; these "facts" are then compared with other conceptions of the same "facts" and aspects derived from direct and independent observations, such as U.S. Census figures. For example, in prime-time television drama aired from 1969-76, 64 percent of major characters and 30 percent of all characters (major and minor*) were involved in violence as either perpetrators or victims or both. According to the 1970 Census, there were only . 32 violent crimes per 100 persons.** In the world of television, therefore, one has between a 30 and 64 percent chance of being involved in violence, but, in the real world, only a onethird of one percent chance.**

Next, we determine what heavy and light viewers (both children and adults) believe to be the facts. To the extent that patterns of life presented in dramatic television programs cultivate distinct conceptions of social reality, heavy viewers are expected to be more likely than light viewers to choose answers that reflect television perspectives. Our research strategy, instrumentation, and samples are designed to establish the extent to which and the ways in which television cultivates such patterned responses.

[^24]Once the "television view" and the "real world" or some other view of selected facts and aspects of social reality have been determined, we construct questions dealing with these facts and aspects of life. Each question has an inferred or objectively determined "television response" reflecting the "television view" of the fact as well as a "non-television answer." For example, one cultivation question asks: "During any given week, what are your chances of being involved in some kind of violence? About one in ten? About one in a hundred?" The first answer -- "about one in ten" -- more closely reflects the world of television and is used as the "television answer," while the "one in a hundred" more closely matches U.S. Census data and reflects the real-life curcumstances of most Americans.

## Samples of Respondents

To test our hypothesis we continually gather data reflecting television viewers' beliefs and behaviors. These data have been collected from samples diverse in characteristics such as age, location, and institutional affiliation.* Within each sample, television viewers' responses are further analyzed in terms of age, education, sex, and other social and personal characteristics.

The present analyses focus on data collected from two samples of adolescents - the third wave of respondents in a New Jersey rural-suburban school and respondents in a New York City private school. These two samples are described in Figure 5.

The NEW JERSEY SCHOOL sample represents a cross-sectional sample from our three-year study which includes six questionnaires and a personal interview with students, and questionnaires completed by their parents. The 447 children from a suburban-rural school district were in the seventh and eighth grades in 1976-77 when the questionnaire items reported here were administered. Questionnaires were completed at the school under group administration conditions. The New Jersey sample is mostly white and includes slightly more girls than boys. About half the respondents' fathers attended college. Less than a quarter of the students report watching as little as two hours of television on the average day, while almost 40 percent claim to watch television for six or more hours daily. Most students reported reading a newspaper, at least occasionally.

The NEW YORK SCHOOL sample is a cross-sectional data base of 140 fifth through twelfth graders attending a private school in New York City. This sample offers an opportunity to study middle and upperclass adolescents who are considered either extremely light viewers or too sophisticated and intelligent to be "affected".by television. Questionnaires were administered in classrooms in 1977. Students are mostly white and are almost evenly divided between boys and girls. These students, however, report watching much less television; about half watch up to two hours a day and only ten percent watch over six. Most of the respondents' fathers attended college or
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## New Jersey School Children

## Date

Location

Sampling

Number of
respondents
Collecting organization

Method of collection

Demographic

## characteristics

Sex

Grade in
School
Age
Perceived Ethnicity

Parents' Education

Dec. 76;-May 77
Rural/suburban New Jersey

Student population of a public middle school

447

Cultural Indicators

Self-administered questionnaire

New York School Children
June 77
New York City

Population of
year olds at a New York private school

140

Cultural Indicators

Self-administered questionnaire

| boys | 51.4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| girls |  | 48.6 |
| $5-8$ | 51.4 |  |
| $9-12$ | 49.6 |  |
| $\bar{X}=14.1$ |  |  |

$\bar{X}=14.1$

| Amevican | 69.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Italian | 0.7 |
| Black, Afro | 8.8 |
| Jewish | 6.6 |
| German | 1.5 |
| Irish | 0.7 |
| Other | 12.6 |

Neither went to college $\quad 10.8$
Father or both
went to college 89.2

| 2 hrs/day <br> or less | 51.5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Over $2 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{day}$ | 49.5 |

Over $2 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day 49.5

Newspaper reading
light
medium
heavy

| almost never | 15.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| once in a while | 46.1 |
| almost daily | 38.9 |


| almost never | 14.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| once in a while | 46.4 |
| almost daily | 39.3 |

graduate school. The distribution for newspaper reading is almost identical in both samples.

Dimensions of Analysis

The proportion of respondents who give the television answer to cultivation questions are tabulated on the basis of repeated daily television exposure, controlling for personal and social characteristics. Our analysis divides respondents into "heavy" and "light" television viewers, and then compares groups of viewers. The comparison is made in terms of gamma and what we call the "Cultivation Differential" (CD). The "Cultivation Differential" is the difference between the percent of heavy viewers who give television answers and the percent of light viewers who give these answers. A positive CD in our view expresses the difference heavy viewing makes with respect to a particular concept.

The tables include the following information. The first two columns report the percentage of respondents who gave the "television answer" (the answer reflecting the television view of the world), overall and within each each demographic subgroup, and (in parentheses) the number of respondents in that cell. The next four columns present the percentages (and cell N's) of those who gave "television answers", divided into groups of light and heavy viewers. The next two columns provide the Cultivation Differential (CD), (with statistical significance indicated by asterisks). Each row represents a different subgroup, and the final column gives the total N (all respondents), on which the analysis for that group is based.

The present report focusses on the two aspects of perceived social reality among adolescent televiewers: (1) perceived danger and (2) mistrust and alienation. Perceived danger is tapped by the following items (TV Answer underscored) constructed by the Cultural Indicators staff:

Think about the number of pwople who are involved in violence each week. Do you think that one person out of every 100 is involved in some kind of violence in any given week, or is it closer to 10 people out of every 100?

About what percent of all people commit serious crimes -is it closer to 3 percent or 12 percent?

We also examined conceptions of danger and violence by asking about the activities of the police.

When police arrive at the scene of violence, how much of the time do they have to use force and violence -- most of the time or some of the time?

On an average day, how many times does a policeman usually pull out his gun -- less than once a day or more than five times a day?

How often do you think policemen who shoot at running persons actually hit them?" Almost always, most of the time, or not too often.

Other implications of violence-laden programming have been studied by examining adolescents' fear of walking alone, at night. The two most general versions of this question are:

Would you be afraid to walk alone in a city at night? Yes. No.
Are you afraid to walk alone in your own neighborhood at night? Yes. No

The dimension of "mistrust and alienation" is measured by existing indicators that have been tested and constructed by other researchers. New Jersey respondents were asked two of Rosenberg's (1957* "faith in people" index items:

Can most people be trusted, or do you think that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

## Cultivation Analysis Findings

The findings of Cultivation Analysis continue to show strong and stable associations between patterns of viewing and conceptions of social reality among children and adolescents. Previous reports of this research ** have demonstrated that heavy viewers respond to many questions more in terms of the world of television than do light viewers in the same demographic groups. We have found that television cultivates an exaggerated sense of danger and mistrust among heavy viewers compared to similar groups of light viewers. When asked about chances of encountering violence, about the percentage of men employed in law enforcement and crime detection, and about the percentage of crimes that are violent, significantly more heavy viewers than light viewers respond in terms more characteristic of the television world than of the real world. Mistrust is also reflected in responses suggesting that heavy viewers believe that most people just look out for themselves, take advantage of others, and cannot be trusted.

[^26]Last year's results extended these findings in important new directions. When samples of junior high school students were asked, "how often is it all right to hit someone if you are mad at them?", a significantly higher proportion of heavy than of light viewers answered, "almost always." Both child and adult heavy viewers also reported being more afraid to walk alone in the city at night than light viewers in the same groups.

The current results further extend and refine, as well as replicate, these findings.

Heavy viewers in the New York (Table 8) and New Jersey (Table 9) school samples were more likely than light viewers to overestimate the number of people involved in violence and the proportion of people who commit serious crimes. In the New York School sample, the finding is especially strong for boys, those of lower socio-economic status, those who have not had a personal or family experience as a victim and those with middle or low achievement test scores. In the New Jersey sample, the relationship is stronger among girls, frequent newspaper readers and heavy TV-news viewers, as well as those whose fathers did not attend college. Despite these variations, the association remains consistently positive for each comparison group; heavy viewers in every case are more likely than are light viewers to believe that a greater number of people are regularly involved in violence.

Similarly, heavy viewers in the New Jersey sample are generally more likely to overestimate how many people commit serious crimes (table 10). The relationship is the strongest among females and occasional newspaper readers.

Most New Jersey students (about $80 \%$ ) feel that it is dangerous to walk alone in a city at night (Table 11). Yet, within every comparison group, heavy viewers are more likely than are light viewers to express this opinion. This pattern is most evident among girls, occasional newspaper readers, and infrequent viewers of network news.

Although most consider it dangerous, there is a fair degree of variation in acknowledging personal fearfulness of walking alone in a city at night. Interestingly, the New Jersey students are more afraid than the New York students (Table 13); in both samples and again, especially in New York, females are considerably more afraid. Yet among New Jersey Students, heavy viewers are always more likely than are light viewers to express this fear. The association is strongest for boys, infrequent newspaper readers, and students whose fathers attended college.

This pattern is not as consistent in the New York sample, although it persists notably for females, those of lower SES, low achievers, and those who have not been victims of crime. Despite this difference, there is a strong and consistent relationship between amount of viewing and the tendency to report being afraid to walk alone at night in the respondents' own neighborhoods (Table 14). Females and younger students are more afraid overall, they also manifest the strongest relationships between amount of television viewing and the expression of fear in their own neighborhoods.

Television viewing also seems to make a contribution to students' images and assumptions about law enforcement procedures and activities. For example, in New Jersey, more heavy than light viewers in every subgroup believe that police must often use force and violence at a scene of violence (Table 15).

In New York, there is a consistent positive relationship between amount of viewing and the perception of how many times a day a policeman usually pulls out his gun (Table 16). Finally, adolescents in New Jersey show a positive relationship across-the-board between amount of viewing and the tendency to believe that policemen who shoot at running persons actually hit them (Table 17).

Thus adolescent heavy viewers see the world as more violent and fearful than do light viewers, from a variety of perspectives ranging from the number of people involved in violence, to perceived danger, to assumptions about the use of violence by the police.

Adolescent heavy viewers also tend to express mistrust in people and the belief that people are selfish (Tablel7). Although the differences are not as pronounced as they were for the previous questions, the patterns are stable across most groups. Those who watch more television remain more likely to say that people "are mostly just looking out for themselves" (rather than trying to be helpful) and that one "can't be too careful in dealing with people" (rather than that they can be trusted). (Table 18).

These findings provide considerable support for the conclusion that heavy viewers perceive social reality differently from light viewers even with other factors held constant. There is considerable variation between groups in the scope and magnitude of these patterns: the extent of television's contribution is mediated, enhanced, or diminished by powerful social, personal, and cultural variables, as well as other information sources. Yet, most importantly, the relationships remain positive in almost every single case. Amount of viewing makes a consistent difference among these adolescents, even for the "more sophisticates," "less impressionable" New Yorkers; heavy viewers are more likely than are light viewers to report expressions of fear and interpersonal mistrust, assumptions about the chances of encountering violence, and images of police activities that can be traced to television portrayals.

Table 8

Percent of Schoolchildren Overestimating the Proportion of people Involved in Violence in Any Given Year ${ }^{1}$

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | gamma |  |
|  | Total |  |  | ght |  | vy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \% \text { Light) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N |  |  |  |
| Overall | 72 | (89) | 62 | (39) | 83 | (50) | +21 | . $51 * *$ | 123 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 72 | (46) | 58 | (19) | 87 | (27) | +29 | .67\%-4 | 64 |
| Female | 73 | (43) | 67 | (20) | 79 | (23) | +12 | . 31 | 59 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 81 | (52) | 71 | (17) | 88 | (35) | +17 | .48* | 64 |
| Grades 9-12 | 63 | (37) | 56 | (22) | 75 | (15) | +19 | . 40 | 59 |
| Socio-Economic Status ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 80 | (31) | 71 | (17) | 93 | (14) | +22 | . $70 \%$ | 39 |
| High | 54 | (19) | 47 | (9) | 62 | (10) | +15 | . 30 | 35 |
| Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 79 | (33) | 67 | (16) | 94 | (17) | +27 | .79* | 42 |
| Medium | 64 | (25) | 50 | (9) | 76 | (16) | +26 | . $52 *$ | 39 |
| High | 69 | (24) | 61 | (1i) | 76 | (13) | +15 | . 35 | 35 |
| Experience as Victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 78 | (68) | 70 | (33) | 88 | (35) | +18 | . $50 \%$ | 87 |
| No | 56 | (19) | 29 | (4) | 75 | (15) | +46 | . $76 \%$ \% | 34 |

1. 

Thirty percent of all prime-time television characters (1969-76) were involved in violence; in real life, 41 violent crimes occur per fundred people (Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1974).

2 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watehing TV -. including morning, afternoon; and evening?" Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours
${ }^{3}$ A median split measured by the Hollingsixead scale of occupational and educational status for the Eather
${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
** $\mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New York School
Interview Date: June 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Question (CHCSVIOL): 'Think about the number of people who are involved in some kind of violence each year. Do you think that 3 percent of all people are involved in some kind of violence in any given year, or is it closer to 10 percent?"

Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Percent of Adolescents overestimating the
Proportion of People Involved in Violence Every Week

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | al | $\frac{\mathrm{Te}}{\mathrm{Li}}$ | levisi | Vi | $\frac{\text { wing }}{}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD } \\ \% \text { Heavy } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | \% | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heavy- } \\ & \text { Light) } \end{aligned}$ | gamma | N |
| Overa11 | 68 | (291) | 62 | (114) | 73 | (177) | +11 | . $26 * *$ | 425 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 66 | (126) | 64 | ( 57) | 68 | (69) | $+4$ | . 10 | 190 |
| Female | 70 | (165) | 60 | ( 57) | 77 | (108) | +17 | . $38 \div$ | 235 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 th | 75 | (150) | 68 | ( 52) | 80 | ( 98) | $+12$ | . $29 \%$ | 199 |
| 8 th | 62 | (141) | 57 | ( 62) | 67. | ( 79) | +10 | . 20 | 226 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 66 | ( 70) | 58 | ( 28) | 72 | ( 42) | +14 | . 30 | 106 |
| Non-Ethnic | 68 | (195) | 60 | (68) | 74 | (127) | +14 | . $30 \div$ | 285 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 65 | (106) | 57 | (47) | 73 | ( 59) | $+16$ | . $33 \%$ | 163 |
| Somet imes | 71 | (184) | 66 | ( 66) | 74 | (118) | + 8 | . 19 | 259 |
| Network News Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airacost Daily | 69 | ( 68 ) | 53 | ( 20) | 7 | (48) | $+20$ | . $54 *=$ | yy |
| Once in a While | 69 | (128) | 67 | ( 56) | 71 | ( 72) | $+4$ | . 09 | 186 |
| Hardly Ever | 68 | ( 92) | 61 | ( 36) | 74 | ( 56) | +13 | . 28 | 135 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 73 | (135) | 65 | ( 45) | 77 | ( 90) | $\div 12$ | . $28 \pm$ | 186 |
| Some College | 62 | (120) | 58. | ( 55) | 66 | ( 65) | $+8$ | . 16 | 194 |

1 Thirty percent of all prime-time television characters (1969-76) were involved in violence; in real life, .41 violent crimes occur per hundred people (Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1974).

2 " "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching ty -- including morning, a fternoon, and evening?"

Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

3 Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.

* $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Ouestionnaire
Question (CHCSVI3B): "Think about the number of people who are involved in some kind of violence each week. Do you think that one person out of every 100 is involved in some kind of violence in any given week, or is it closer to 10 people out of every 100?"

Tabie 10
Percent of Adolescents overestimating the Number of Criminals ${ }^{1}$

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } 1 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | levisio | Vi | Television viewing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | gamma |  |
|  | Total |  |  | ht | Hea |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \% \text { Light) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N |  |  |  |
| Overall | 82 | (332) | 77 | (136) | 85 | (196) | $+8$ | . $26 *$ | 406 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 77 | (143) | 76 | ( 66) | 78 | ( 77) | + 2 | . 05 | 186 |
| Female | 86 | (189) |  | ( 70) | 91 | (119) | $+12$ | . $46 \% *$ | 220 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | 82 | (155) | 81 | ( 60) | 83 | ( 95) | + 2 | . 08 | 188 |
| 8 th | 81 | (177) |  | ( 76 ) | 87 | (101) | +13 | .39** | 218 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 81 | ( 82) | 72 | ( 33) | 89 | (49) | $+17$ | . $53 * *$ | 101 |
| Non-Ethnic | 82 | (222) | 80 | ( 86) | 83 | (136) | + 3 | . 11 | 272 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 80 | (129) | 79 | ( 64) | 81 | (65) | $+2$ | . 07 | 161 |
| Sometines | 83 | (201) | 75 | ( 70) | 87 | (131) | +12 | .39** | 243 |
| Network News |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 85 | ( 82) | 78 | ( 29) | 90 | ( 53) | +12 | . 42 | 96 |
| Once in a mile | 79 | (142) | 77 | (63) | 81 | ( 79) | $+4$ | . 14 | 179 |
| Hardly Ever | 82 | (104) | 76 | ( 42 ) | 86 | ( 62) | $+10$ | . 31 | 127 |
| Fathex's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College. | 83 | (148) | 84 | ( 57) | 83 | ( 91) | - 1 | -. 04 | 178 |
| Some College | 80 | (147) | 75 | ( 67) | 84 | ( 80) | $+9$ | . 27 | 184 |

1 In prime-time television programs (1969-1978); 17.5 percent of all major characters committed a criminal act (a crime is an action recognized as such in the program or a gross criminal act such as murder, rape and kidnapping); in real life, about one percent of the population commits serious crimes (like murder, rape, burglary, etc.) (Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1974).

2 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

3 Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
*p $\leq .05$ (tau)
** $p \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-idministered Questiomaire
Question CRINLZA): "About what percent of all people comait serious crimes -- is it closer to 3 percent or 12 percent?"

Table 11
Percent of Adolescents who Consider Walking Alone in the City at Night Dangerous


Table 12

Percant of Adolescents who Said They Fear Walking Alone in the City at Night

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewins |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD } \\ (\% \text { Heavy- } \\ \% \text { Light } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | gamua | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total |  |  | git |  | avy |  |  |  |
|  | \% | N | $\%$ | - | \% | $\underline{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |  |  |
| Overall | 70 | (289) | 64 | (116) | 74 | (173) | $+10$ | . $24 \times \%$ | 414 |
| centrolling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 53. | ( 96) | 45 | ( 38) | 60 | ( 58) | +15 | .31* | 181 |
| Female | 83 | (193) | 81 | ( 78 ) | 84 | (115) | $+3$ | . 09 | 233 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 th | 69 | (135) | 59 | ( 44) | 76 | (91) | +17 | .38** | 195 |
| 3th | 70 | (154) |  | ( 72) | 73 | ( 82) | +5 | . 11 | 219 |
| Ethaic Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 70 | ( 73) | 65 | ( 31) | 74 | ( 42) | + 9 | . 21 | 105 |
| Non-Ethaic | 70 | (191) |  | ( 68) | 74 | (123) | +12 | .28* | 274 |
| Newse:2c= neasian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 65 | (102) | 61 | (48) | 68 | ( 54 ) | $\pm 7$ | . 16 | 158 |
| Sometimes | 73 | (185) | 66 | ( 66) | 7.8 | (119) | +12 | .29* | 253 |
| Network News <br> Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 72 | ( 68) | 65 | ( 24) | 75 | (44) | +11 | . 26 | 95 |
| Once in a while | 66 | (118) | 60 | ( 49) | 70 | (69) | +10 | . 22 | 179. |
| Hardly Ever | 75 | (101) |  | (41) | 80 | ( 60) | $+1.2$ | . 30 | 135 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 67 | (121) | 60 | ( 40) | 71 | ( 81) | +11 | . 25 | 181 |
| Some College | 71 | (132) | 64 | ( 59) | 77 | ( 73) | +13 | .30* | 187 |

1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and wore
${ }^{2}$ Those who perceive themseives as mambers of a special group of Americans -- such as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
${ }^{*}{ }_{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
${ }^{* *} \underset{p}{ } \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey Schaol
Intexview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questiounaire
Ouestion (FBARWK3B): 'Would you be afraid to walk alone in a city at night?"

Table 13
Percent of Schoolchildren tho Would
Be Afraid to Walk Alone in a City at Night

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD } \\ & \text { (\% Heavy- } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | gamma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \mathrm{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ision |  | $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Light |  | Heavy |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |  |  |  |
| Overa 11 | 49 | (60) | 46 | (27) | 52 | (33) | $+6$ | .13 | 122 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34 | (22) | 41 | (13) | 28 | (9) | -13 | -. 27 | 64 |
| Female | 66 | (38) | 52 | (14) | 77 | (24) | +25 | . $52 \%$ | 58 |
| Grade in school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 61 | (40) | 59 | (13) | 61. | (27) | $+2$ | . 05 | 66 |
| Grades 9-12 | 36 | (20) | 38 | (14) | 32 | ( 6) | - 6 | -. 14 | 56 |
| Socio-Economic status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 38 | (15) | 29 | ( 7) | 53 | (8) | +24 | -. 47 | 39 |
| High | 42 | (14) | 6.2 | (10) | 24 | ( 4) | -38 | $-.69 \% *$ | 33 |
| Achievenent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOw | 46 | (19) | 32 | ( 7) | 63 | (12) | $+31$ | . $57 \%$ | 41 |
| Medium | 49 | (19) | 65 | (11) | 36 | ( 8) | -29 | -. $52 \%$ | 39 |
| High | 50 | (17) | 47 | ( 8 ) | 53 | ( 9) | $+6$ | . 12 | 34 |
| Experience as Victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 49 | (42) | 51 | (23) | 48 | (19) | - 3 | -. 07 | 85 |
| No | 47 | (17) | 23 | ( 3) | 61 | (14) | $\div 38$ | .68* | 36 |

[^27]Table 14
Percent of Schoolchildren who are Afraid to Walk Alone in Their own Neighborhood at Night

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD | gama | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { i } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | che |  | avy | (\% Heavy-\% Light) |  |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | $\underline{N}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Overal1 | 22 | (29) | 13 | (9) | 32 | (20) | +19 | . $50 \% *$ | 130 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9 | ( 6) | 6 | (2) | 12 | (4) | + 6 | . 36 | 66 |
| Female | 36 | (23) | 21 | (7) |  | (16) | +32 | . $63 * *$ | 64 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 29 | (20) | 16 | (4) | 36. | (16) | +20 | .49* | 70 |
| Grades 9-12 | 15 | (9) | 12 | (5) | 22 | (4) | $+10$ | . 36 | 60 |
| Socio-Economic Status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 17 | ( 7 ) | 11 | (3) |  | (4) | +18 | . 52 | 41 |
| High | 13 | (5) | 20 | (4) | 6 |  | -14 | -. 62 | 38 |
| Achicvoment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0\%: | 17 | ( 7 ) | 4 | (1) |  | ( E) | $\because 28$ | . $32 \div \%$ | 42 |
| Niedium | 20 | (8) | 16 | (3) |  | (5) | $+7$ | . 22 | 41 |
| High | 26 | (10) | 14 | (3) |  | ( 7) | +27 | . $62 \%$ | 38 |
| Experience as Victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 21 | (19) | 14 | (7) | 31 | (12) | +17 | .47* | 90. |
| No | 24 | (9) | 7 | (1) | 33 | ( 8) | $\div 26$ | . $73 \%$ | 38. |

[^28]Table 15

Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Frequency with which Police Find it Necessary to Use Force

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1al | $\frac{\text { Iight }}{}$ |  |  |  |  | $C D$ |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N |  | Light) | gamma | N |
| Overal1 | 51 | (214) | 45 | (82) | 56 | (132) |  | $+11$ | .21\% | 419 |
| controling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 46 | ( 87) | 44 | (38) | 49 | ( 49) |  | $+5$ | .11 | 187 |
| Female | 55 | (127) | 46 | (44) | 61 | ( 83) |  | $+15$ | . $28 \%$ | 232 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | 54 | (106) | 45 | (33) | 60 | ( 73) |  | $+15$ | . 31* | 195 |
| 8 th | 48 | (108) | 45 | (49) | 51 | ( 59) |  | $+6$ | . 11 | 224 |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ettuic | 56 | ( 58) | 52 | (25) | 60 | ( 33) |  | $+8$ | . 16 | 103 |
| Non-Ethnic | 49 | (138) | 42 | (46) | 54 | ( 92) |  | +12 | .24* | 281 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everjday | 47 | ( 75) | 42 | (34) | 51 | (41) |  | +9 | . 17 | 160 |
| Somet imes | 54 | (138) | 47 | (47) | 58 | (91) |  | +11 | -22\% | 256 |
| Network News Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 57 | ( 55) | 47 | (17) | 63 | ( 38) |  | $+16$ | - 32 | 96 |
| Once in a hrile | 46 | ( 85) | 43 | (36) | 48 | ( 49) |  | $+5$ | . 10 | 184 |
| Hardly Ever | 52 | ( 70) | 43 | (26) | 60 | ( 44) |  | +17 | . $31 \%$ | 134 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 57 | (105) | 47. | (32) | 64 | ( 73) |  | $\pm 17$ | . $32 *$ | 183 |
| Some College | 44 | ( 83) | 41 | (38) | 46 | ( 45) |  | $+5$ | . 09 | 190 |

1
"Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV .- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours or more

2
Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans .- such as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Question (POLVI3B): "When police arrive at a scene of violence, how much of the time do they have to use force and violence -- most of the time or some of the time?"

Table 16
Percent of Schoolchildren Overestimating the Number of Times a Policeman Has to Draw His Gun on an Average Day

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD | ganma | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ -\quad \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Television Viewing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | ght |  | avy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Heavy } \\ & \% \text { Lisight) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | \% | N |  | N | \% | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  |  |  |
| Overali | 12 | (14) | 6 | (4) | 18 | (10) | $+12$ | . $52 \%$ | 121 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5 | (3) | 0 | (0) | 10 | ( 3 ) | $+10$ | 1.00\% | 64 |
| Female | 19 | (1i) |  | (4) | 26 | ( 7) | $+13$ | . 39 | 57 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 5-8 | 18 | (11) | 9 | (2) | 22 | (9) | $+13$ | . 51 | 63 |
| Grades 9-12 | 5 | (3) |  | (2) | 6 | ( 1) | $+1$ | . 10 | 58 |
| Socio-Economic Status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 13 | (5) | 8 | (2) | 23 | (3) | +15 | . 55 | 38 |
| Hígh | 3 | ( 1 ) |  |  | 6 | ( 1) | + 6 | 1.00 | 37 |
| Achinvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 12 | ( 5) | 8 | (2) | 19 | ( 3) | +11 | . 43 | 40 |
| Medium | 10 | (4) |  | (0) | 19 | (4) | $+19$ | 1.00\% | 40 |
| High | 12 | (4) |  | (I) | 20 | ( 3) | +14 | . 62 | 33 |
| Experience as Victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 11. | (9) |  | (3) | 16 | (6) | $+10$ | . 49 | 85 |
| No | 14 | (5) |  | (1) | 20 | (4) | +13 | . 56 | 35 |

[^29]Table 17

Percent of Adolescents Overestimating the Frequency with which Policemen Shoot Fleeing Suspects


Table 18
percent of Adolescents Saying that
"You Can't Be Too Careful in Dealing with People"

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  | CD |  | ganma | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Television Viewing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jight |  | Heavy |  | (\% Heavy\% Light) |  |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 58 | (243) | 52 | (97) | 62 | (146) |  | $+10$ | . 21 \% | 420 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 61 | (116) | 56 | (51) | 66 | (65) |  | $+10$ | . 21 | 189 |
| Female | 55 | (127) | 48 | (46) | 60 | ( 81) |  | +12 | . $22 *$ | 231 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 th | 59 | (117) | 49 | (38) | 65 | ( 79) |  | +16 | . $32 \%$ | 198 |
| - 8th | 57. | (126) | 54 | (59) | 59 | ( 67) |  | $+5$ | .10 | 222 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 61 | ( 64) | 62 | (29) | 60 | ( 35) |  | - 2 | -. 03 | 105 |
| Non-Ethnic | 57 | (159) | 48 | (56) | 62 | (1.03) |  | $+14$ | . $28 * *$ | 281 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 56 | ( 92) | 49 | (41) | 65 | (51) |  | +16 | .31\% | 163 |
| Sometimes | 50 | (149) | 55 | (55) | 61 | ( 94) |  | $+6$ | . 12 : | 254 |
| Network News <br> Watching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 60 | ( 58) | 53 | (20) | 66 | ( 38) |  | $+13$ | .26 | 96 |
| Once in a While | 57 | (205) | 53 | (45) | 61 | ( 60) |  | $+8$ | . 16 | 184 |
| Hardly Ever | 56 | ( 75) | 48 | (29) | 61 | ( 46) |  | $+13$ | . 26 | 135 |
| Father ${ }^{\text {'s }}$ Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College | 63 | (117) | 56 | (40) | 68 | ( 77) |  | +12 | . 23 | 185 |
| Some College | 52 | (99) | 48 | (45) | 56 | ( 54) |  | $+8$ | . 17 | 190 |

1
"Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy; 4 hcurs and ware

2
Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.

* $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Question (CAREFL3B): "Can most people be trusted, or do you think that you can't be too careful in dealfng with people?"

Table 19

Percent of Adolescents who Say that People in General are More Selfish than Helpful

|  | Giving Television Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | gama | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a1 | Light Heavy |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { CD } \\ \text { (\% Heavy } \\ \% & \text { Jight) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N |  |  | N. |
| Overe 11 | 60 | (249) | 56 | (101) | 64 | (148) | $\pm 8$ | . $17^{\circ}$ | 413 |
| controlling for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63 | (117) | 62 | ( 54) | 64 | ( 63) | $\div 2$ | .05 | 185 |
| Female | 58 | (132) | 50 | ( 47) | 63 | ( 85) | +13 | . $27 *$ | 228 |
| Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | 58 | (112) | 56 | ( 42) | 59 | ( 70) | $+3$ | . 06 | 194 |
| 8th | 63 | (137) | 56 | ( 59) | 69 | ( 78) | $+13$ | . $28 \%$ | 219 |
| Ethnic Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic | 64 | (66) | 60 | ( 27) | 67 | ( 39) | $+7$ | . 16 | 103 |
| Non-Ethnic | 58 | (161) | 53 | ( 60) | 62 | (101) | $\div 9$ | .19 | 277 |
| Newspaper Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 61 | ( 97) | 59 | ( 47) | 63 | ( 50 ) | $+4$ | . 10. | 159 |
| Sometimes | 61 | (152) | 54 | ( 54) | 64 | (98) | $+10$ | . 20 | 251 |
| Network News Watching |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Almost Daily | 57 | ( 55) | 57 | ( 21) | 57 | ( 34) | 0 | -. 00 | 97 |
| Once in a while | 60 | (106) | 57 | ( 46) | 62 | (60) | $+5$ | . 12 | 177 |
| Haxdly Ever | 62 | ( 84) | 52 | ( 32) | 70 | ( 52) | +18 | . $36 *$ | 135 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No College. | 63 | (114) | 60 | ( 41) |  | ( 73) | $+5$ | .10 | 180 |
| Some College | 55 | (104) | 54 | ( 50) | 57 | ( 54) | +3 | . 06 | 188 |

[^30]Data Source: New Jersey School
Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
Question (HETPYU3B): 'Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?"

## SECTION III

MESSAGE SYSTEM ANALYSIS
TABULATIONS

Table Title

A

Network of Program
Time of Broadcast
New or Old Program
Format of Program
Tone of Program
Type of Program
Date of Program
Place of Program
Setting of Program

All Programs, All Networks
Prime-Time Programs
Programs Aired 8-9 p.m. E.S.T.
Programs Aired 9-11 p.m. E.S.T.
Weekend Morning Programs
Television Plays
Movies (Feature and For-TV)
Cartoons
A11 Action Programs
Prime-Time Action Programs
Weekend Morning Action Programs
A11 Comic Tone Programs
Prime-Time Comic Tone Programs
Weekend Morning Comic Tone Programs
All Serious Programs
Prime-Time Serious Tone Programs
Weekend Morning Serious Tone Programs
All Programs Continued from the Previous Year
All New Programs
Prime-Time Programs Continued from the Previous Year New Prime-Time Programs
Weekend Morning Programs Continued from the Previous Year
New Weekend Morning Programs
A11 ABC Programs
ABC Prime-Time Programs
ABC Programs Aired 8-9 p.m. E.S.T.
ABC Programs Aired 9-11 p.m. E.S.T.
ABC Weekend Morning Programs
ABC Cartoon Programs
$A B C$ Action Programs
A11 CBS Programs
CBS Prime-Time Programs
CBS Programs Aired 8-9 p.m. E.S.T.

Section III (continued) :

34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42

CBS Programs Aired 9-11 p,m. E,S.T.
CBS Weekend Morning Programs
CBS Cartoon Programs
CBS Action Programs
All NBC Programs
NBC Prime-Time Programs
NBC Programs Aired 8-9 p.m. E.S.T.
NBC Programs Aired 9-11 p.m. E.S.T.
NBC Weekend Morning Programs
NBC Cartoon Programs
NBC Action Programs

Risk Ratios - Characters in A11 Programs
Risk Ratios - Characters in Prime-Time Programs
Risk Ratios - Characters in Weekend Morning Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Age - Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Age - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Age - Women in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Marital Status - All Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Marital Status - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Marital Status - Women in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Class - All Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Class - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Social Class - Women in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Race - All Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Race - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Race - Women in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Type - All Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Type - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Type - Women in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Nationality - All Characters in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Nationality - Men in All Programs
Risk Ratios - Nationality - Women in All Programs

## TABLE A: NETWORK OF PROGRAM

|  |  | YEAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCUNT | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CCL PCT | 167-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-7.5 | 75-76 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | ROW |
|  |  | 111 | 12 | 1 - 3 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 1 - | 19 | 1 total |
| NETWGRK |  | 1-...--- |  | 1--.---- | 1------- | 1-....- | 1------- | 1->--... | 1 ------ | 1------ |  |
|  | 1 | 57 | 180 | 1.64 | 136 | 64 | 77 | 32 | 59 | 135 | I 504 |
| ABC |  | 131.1 | 134.5 | 131.5 | 136.4 | I 33.3 | 134.1 | 129.1 | 130.7 | 131.5 | 132.6 |
|  | 2 | 167 | 185 | 178 | 129 | 166 | 80 | 141 | 80 | $1 \quad 48$ | 1574 |
| CBS |  | 136.6 | 130.6 | 138.4 | 129.3 | 134.4 | 135.4 | 137.3 | 141.7 | 143.2 | 37.1 |
|  | 3 | 1.59 | 67 | 161 | 34 | $1 \quad 62$ | 169 | 137 | 153 | 28 | I. 470 |
| NBC |  | 132.2 | I. 28.9 | 130.0 | 134.3 | 132.3 | 130.5 | 133.6 | 127.6 | 125.2 | I 30.4 |
|  | column | 183 | 232 | 203 | 99 | 192 | 226 | 110 | 192 | 111 | 1548 |
|  | total | 11.8 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 12.4 | 14.6 | 7.1 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 100.0 |

TABLE B: TIME OF BROADCAST


TABLE C: NEW OR OLD PROGRAM


TABLE D: FORMAT OF PROGRAM


TABLE E: TONE OF PROGRAM


TABLE F: TYPE OF PROGRAM


TABLE $G:$ DATE OF PROGRAM


TABLE H: PLACE OF PROGRAM


TABLE I: SETTTNG OF PROGRAM


TABLE 1: ALL PROGRAMS. ALL NETWORKS

## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
leading characters analyzed
67-68 69-70 71-72 1973 74-75* 75-76* 1976 1977** 1978 TOTAL

PREVALENCE
(\%) Programs containing violence
Prograin hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEAOING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%V) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal viotence) Killed (victims of tethal violence)
(\% ) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\cdots$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 183 | 232 | 203 |
| 120.5 | 138.9 | 142.3 |
| 455 | 573 | 552 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 226 | 110 | 192 | 111 | 1548 |
| 153.0 | 71.6 | 143.7 | 77.3 | 1073.0 |
| 664 | 290 | 585 | 298 | 4404 |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81.4 | 80.6 | 79.8 | 72.7 | 80.7 | 77.4 | 89.1 | 75.5 | 84.7 | 79.9 |
| 85.1 | 80.8 | 85.7 | 79.7 | 84.4 | 81.8 | 89.5 | 79.7 | 85.6 | 83.3 |


| 80.8 | 85.7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |

N

| 872 | 1128 | 1022 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 |
|  |  |  |
| - | - |  |


| 524 | 1038 | 1185 | 680 | 959 | 643 | 8051 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
| 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
|  |  | 7.6 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 2.8 |
| 3.2 |  |  |  |  | 27.2 |  |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52.7 | 49.7 | 42.4 | 34.5 | 43.3 | 43.8 | 60.7 | 47.2 | 42.3 | 46.0 |
| 60.4 | 58.1 | 50.2 | 48.2 | 55.7 | 54.1 | 64.8 | 49.9 | 57.4 | 54.9 |
| 69.5 | 65.1 | 59.8 | 55.7 | 64.6 | 64.2 | 74.8 | 60.9 | 64.8 | 64.0 |
| 11.6 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| 5.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| 15.4 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 9.2 |
| -1.15 | -1.17 | -1.18 | -1.40 | -1.29 | -1.23 | -1.07 | -1.06 | 1.36 | -1.19 |
| +2.12 | +1.59 | +2.05 | +1.75 | +1.85 | +1.72 | +2.11 | +3.00 | 1.50 | +1.94 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed
67-68 69-70 71-72 $1973 \quad 74-75 * \quad 75-76 * \quad 1976 \quad 1977 * * \quad 1978 \quad$ TOTAL

| PREVAL | ENCE | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 75.2 | 66.4 | 73.8 | 59.7 | 72.2 | 68.7 | 80.3 | 69.8 | 74.6 | 71.0 |
|  | Program hours containing violence | 84.0 | 77.0 | 84.4 | 74.8 | 82.2 | 80.0 | 86.7 | 78.4 | 82.5 | 81.0 |
| RATE |  | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
|  | Number of violent episodes | 549 | 434 | 533 | 277 | 642 | 716 | 342 | 701 | 285 | 4479 |
| (R/P) | Rate per all programs (plays) | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| (R/H) | Rate per all hours | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes ( hrs ) | -- | -- | -- | 2.2 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 19.8 |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (committing violence) | 47.6 | 36.6 | 39.1 | 30.8 | 46.1 | 40.1 | 56.4 | 44.8 | 33.5 | 41.8 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 53.8 | 42.9 | 43.5 | 35.0 | 52.4 | 45.5 | 54.7 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 46.4 |
| (\%V) | Any involvement in violence | 64.4 | 49.4 | 53.9 | 41.1 | 60.5 | 55.0 | 67.4 | 55.5 | 52.9 | 55.7 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 14.1 | 6.9 | 11.4 | 9.3 | 15.4 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 10.1 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 5.6 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 17.4 | 9.4 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 19.5 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 12.9 |
|  | Violents : V,ctims Ratio | $-1.13$ | $-1.17$ | $-1.11$ | $-1.14$ | $-1.14$ | -1.13 | $+1.03$ | $+1.01$ | $-1.33$ | 1.11 |
|  | Killers : Killed Ratio | $+2.53$ | + 1.71 | +2.20 | +1.67 | $+1.91$ | +1.87 | $+2.13$ | $+3.00$ | +1.50 | +2.06 |

## andicatops of VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

| $67-62$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * *$ | 1978 | TOTAL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N$ | $\ddots$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |

## preval.ence

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
$(R / P)$ Ramber of violent episodes
$(R / H)$ Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

| 77.0 | 60.3 | 74.5 | 56.3 | 63.0 | 52.5 | 72.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85.1 | 70.9 | 85.6 | 70.7 | 73.0 | 60.3 | 77.5 |

66.2
74.8
$N$

| 59.3 | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 63.4 | 74.6 |

N

1756

| 363 | 208 | 232 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 47 | 52 | 67 | 30 |
| 49.0 | 58.5 | 63.3 | 27.5 |
| 135 | 144 | 210 | 104 |


| $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * *$ | 1978 | TOTAL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 61 | 73 | 36 | 74 | 36 | 476 |
| 68.0 | 79.8 | 36.5 | 75.7 | 42.5 | 500.7 |
| 213 | 247 | 103 | 254 | 112 | 1522 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72.3 | 75.0 | 73.1 | 63.3 | 80.3 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 73.0 | 86.1 | 76.9 |

$N \quad N \quad N \quad N$
$186 \quad 226 \quad 301$
449
82.2
. N

| 186 | 226 | 301 | 130 | 449 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 7.4 |
| 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.6 |
|  | - | - |  |  |
| - | - | - | 1.3 | 4.1 |

$552 \quad 248$


N
2723
\%

| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 45.9 | 38.9 | 40.5 | 32.7 | 58.2 | 54.3 | 66.0 | 48.4 | 41.1 | 48.1 |
| 48.1 | 46.5 | 46.7 | 36.5 | 63.8 | 59.1 | 62.1 | 44.9 | 51.8 | 51.6 |
| 61.5 | 54.2 | 57.1 | 41.3 | 72.8 | 68.4 | 75.7 | 57.1 | 62.5 | 61.8 |
| 10.4 | 9.0 | 15.7 | 12.5 | 19.2 | 16.6 | 15.5 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 13.3 |
| 4.4 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 6.9 |
| 12.6 | 12.5 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 24.4 | 23.1 | 19.4 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 17.1 |
| -1.05 | -1.20 | -1.15 | -1.12 | -1.10 | -1.09 | +1.06 | +1.08 | 1.26 | -1.07 |
| +2.33 | +1.44 | +2.20 | +1.86 | +1.86 | +1.78 | +2.00 | +2.89 | 1.00 | +1.93 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 5: WEEKEND MORNING PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence

RATE

## (R/P) Rate per all programs (plays) <br> $(R / H)$ Rate per all hours

Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
(\%v) Any involvement in violence

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 7t-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | $\therefore 1977 * *$ | 1978 | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N | N | N |
| 62 | 107 | 81 | 37 | 77 | 92 | 49 | 53 | 48 | 606 |
| 14.5 | 27.2 | 30.5 | 18.7 | 32.5 | 32.9 | 15, 1 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 202.3 |
| 115 | 223 | 166 | 145 | 233 | 233 | 118 | 145 | 107 | 1485 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 93.5 | 97.2 | 88.9 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 97.9 | 93.7 |
| 93.1 | 96.6 | 90.4 | 94.6 | 92.3 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 89.9 | 98.8 | 93.1 |
| N | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ |
| 323 | 694 | 489 | 247 | 396 | 469 | 338 | 258 | 358 | 3572 |
| 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 5.9 |
| 22.3 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 25.0 | 17.7 |
| -- | -- | $\because$-- | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 7.3 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 67.8 | 70.4 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 38.6 | 50.6 | 66.9 | 54.5 | 57.9 | 54.1 |
| 80.0 | 82.1 | 65.7 | 67.5 | 61.4 | 70.0 | 79.7 | 66.2 | 80.4 | 71.6 |
| 84.3 | 89.7 | 73.5 | 77.2 | 71.7 | 81.1 | 85.6 | 77.2 | 86.0 | 80.3 |
| 4.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| 9.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| -1.18 -1.20 | 1.17 1.00 | -1.31 -2.00 | -1.69 +0.00 | -1.59 1.00 | -1.38 -0.00 | -1.19 +2.00 | - 1.22 -0.00 | 1.39 $-\quad 0.00$ | -1.32 -1.14 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples $-=$ one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


TABLE 7: MOVIES (FEATURE AND FOR-TV)

| SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ ) |  | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Programs (plays) analyzed | 13 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 21 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 130 |
|  | Program Hours Analyzed | 27.5 | 29.0 | 38.8 | 22.0 | 38.5 | 26.8 | 17.0 | 26.8 | 20.0 | 246.3 |
|  | Leading characters analyzed | 42 | 43 | 85 | 55 | 82 | 52 | 32 | 57 | 34 | 482 |
| PREVALENCE |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 92.3 | 92.9 | 95.7 | 92.3 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.2 |
|  | Program hours containing violence | 92.7 | 92.2 | 94.8 | 93.2 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.2 |
| RATE |  | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N^{\prime}$ | N | $N$ |
|  | Number of violent episodes | 93 | 99 | 195 | 116 | 251 | 194 | 105 | 221 | 131 | 1405 |
| $\begin{aligned} & (R / P) \\ & (R / H) \end{aligned}$ | Rate per all programs (plays) | 7.2 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 10.8 |
|  | Rate per all hours | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 5.7 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs) | -- | -- | -- | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 7.2 |
| ROLES ( | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (committing violence) | 61.9 | 51.2 | 51.8 | 34.5 | 68.3 | 73.1 | 53.1 | 71.9 | 55.9 | 58.5 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 59.5 | 62.8 | 64.7 | 41.8 | 78.0 | 65.4 | 59.4 | 75.4 | 85.3 | 66.2 |
| (\%V) | Any involvement in violence | 78.6 | 69.8 | 75.3 | 49.1 | 84.1 | 84.6 | 59.4 | 82.5 | 91.2 | 75.5 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 16.7 | 9.3 | 21.2 | 14.5 | 30.5 | 21.2 | 18.8 | 28.1 | 5.9 | 20.1 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 7.1 | 7.0 | 14.1 | 9.1 | 22.0 | 9.6 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 12.4 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 19.0 | 14.0 | 28.2 | 16.4 | 41.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 31.6 | 17.6 | 26.1 |
|  | Violents : V'ctims Ratio | $+1.04$ | $-1.23$ | $-1.25$ | $-1.21$ | $-1.14$ | $+1.12$ | $-1.12$ | $-1.05$ | $-1.53$ | $-1.13$ |
|  | Killers : Killea Ratio | $+2.33$ | +1.33 | $+1.50$ | $+1.60$ | +1.39 | $+2.20$ | $+1.50$ | $+2.67$ | -2.00 | $+1.62$ |
| INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Score: $P S=(\% \mathrm{P})+2(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{P})+2(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{H})$ | 113.4 | 113.8 | 122.7 | 120.7 | 132.2 | 142.2 | 135.7 | 150.5 | 139.3 | 129.2 |
|  | Character V-Score: $C 5=(\% \mathrm{~V})+(\% \mathrm{~K})$ | 97.6 | 83.7 | 103.5 | 65.5 | 125.6 | 109.6 | 84.4 | 114.0 | 108.8 | 101.7 |
|  | Violence Index: VI $=P S+C S$ | 211.0 | 197.5 | 226.2 | 186.2 | 257.8 | 251.8 | 220. 1 | 264.6 | 248.1 | 230.8 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -m one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one veekend morning network dramatic programs.

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

| SAMPLES | $(100 \%)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Programs (plays) analyzed |
|  | Program Hours Analyzed |
|  | Leading characters analyzed |

## prevalence

| (\%P) | Programs containing violence Program hours containing violence |
| :---: | :---: |
| RATE |  |
|  | Number of violent episodes |
| (R/P) | Rate per all programs (plays) |
| (R/H) | Rate per all hours |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs) |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) |
|  | Violents (committing violence) |
| (\%V) | Victims (subjected to violence) Any involvement in violence |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence) |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing |
|  | Violents : Victims Fatio |
|  | Killers : Killed Ratio |


| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N |
| 118 | 125 | 105 | 51 | 111 | - 122 | 42 | 84 | 44 | 802 |
| 83.4 | 73.5 | 86.6 | 46.1 | 105.5 | 101.7 | 37.7 | 75.3 | 32.0 | 641.7 |
| 299 | 328 | 296 | 208 | 388 | 375 | 133 | 282 | 133 | 2442 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 96.6 | 96.8 | 95.2 | 96.1 | 94.6 | 92.6 | 95.2 | 91.7 | 95.5 | 94.9 |
| 96.5 | 97.2 | 98.0 | 94.6 | 95.3 | 94.1 | 94.7 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 95.5 |
| $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | N |
| 760 | 819 | 757 | 418 | 790 | 831 | 347 | 633 | 305 | 5060 |
| 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| 9.1 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 8.8 |
| -- | -- | -- | 2.6 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 21.4 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 69.6 | 65.5 | 59.1 | 43.3 | 57.2 | 56.0 | 71.4 | 65.2 | 48.1 | 59.9 |
| 77.3 | 76.8 | 66.9 | 63.5 | 68.6 | 63.5 | 72.2 | 67.4 | 64.7 | 69.2 |
| 86.0 | 84.8 | 77.7 | 69.2 | 80.2 | 75.2 | 85.0 | 78.0 | 72.2 | 79.1 |
| 17.4 | 6.7 | 13.2 | 9.6 | 16.0 | 11.2 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 12.0 |
| 7.7 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| 22.7 | 9.5 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 20.1 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 15.1 |
| $-1.11$ | $-1.17$ | $-1.13$ | $-1.47$ | - 1.20 | $-1.13$ | -1.01 | $-1.03$ | $-1.34$ | $-1.15$ |
| $+2.26$ | + 1.83 | $+2.44$ | $+1.67$ | + 1.94 | $+1.91$ | $+2.43$ | $+3.00$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.18$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 10; PRIME-TIME ACTION PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Prognam Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * * \quad 1978 \quad$ TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

PREVALENCE
(\%) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHAARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
(\%v) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in kilifing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killens : Killed Ratio

| N | N | N | N | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | 49 | 59 | 28 | 72 | 74 | 28 | 54 | 20 | 453 |
| 72.0 | 55.3 | 68.8 | 34.0 | 88.5 | 82.0 | 31.5 | 64.7 | 22.3 | 518.9 |
| 206 | 142 | 200 | 109 | 260 | 254 | 92 | 195 | 70 | 1528 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 97.1 | 95.9 | 98.3 | 92.9 | 94.4 | 94.6 | 92.9 | 90.7 | 90.0 | 94.7 |
| 96.5 | 97.3 | 99.3 | 92.6 | 95.5 | 95.7 | 93.7 | 93.8 | 91.0 | 95.7 |
| N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ |
| 481 | 323 | 424 | 249 | 608 | 593 | 256 | 486 | 116 | 3536 |
| 7.0 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 6.8 |
| - | -- | -- | 2.0 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 17.0 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 67.0 | 64.1 | 59.5 | 49.5 | 65.8 | 57.5 | 78.3 | 69.2 | 44.3 | 62.6 |
| 73.8 | 70.4 | 62.5 | 57.8 | 71.9 | 59.8 | 70.7 | 67.2 | 52.9 | 66.2 |
| 85.0 | 80.3 | 76.0 | 65.1 | 82.7 | 72.4 | 87.0 | 77.9 | 61.4 | 77.6 |
| 22.8 | 14.8 | 19.0 | 17.4 | 23.5 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 15.4 | 11.4 | 18.4 |
| 8.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| 27.7 | 19.7 | 22.0 | 22.9 | 29.6 | 22.0 | 19.6 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 22.7 |
| $-1.10$ | $-1.10$ | $-1.05$ | $-1.17$ | $-1.09$ | $-1.04$ | $+1.11$ | $+1.03$ | - 1.19 | - 1.06 |
| $+2.76$ | $+2.10$ | +2.53 | $+1.58$ | + +.91 | +2.00 | $+2.50$ | $+3.00$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.28$ |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 11 : WEEKEND MORNING ACTION PROGRAMS

SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
( $R / P$ ) Rate per all programs (plays)
$(R / H)$ Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%V)
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 49 | 76 | 46 | 23 | 39 | 48 | 14 | 30 | 24 | 349 |
| 11.4 | 18.3 | $\bigcirc 17.8$ | 12.1 | 17.0 | 19.7 | 6.2 | 10.6 | 9.8 | 122.8 |
| 93 | 186 | - 96 | 99 | 128 | 121 | 41 | 87 | 63 | 91.4 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | . \% | \% |


| 279 | 496 | 333 | 169 | 182 | 238 | 91 | 147 | 189 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 7.9 |
| 24.4 | 27.1 | 18.7 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 19.4 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1.9 | 1.2 |  |  | 17.3 |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75.3 | 66.7 | 58.3 | 36.4 | 39.8 | 52.9 | 56.1 | 56.3 | 52.4 | 55.4 |
| 84.9 | 81.7 | 76.0 | 69.7 | 61.7 | 71.1 | 75.6 | 67.8 | 77.8 | 74.1 |
| 88.2 | 88.2 | 81.3 | 73.7 | 75.0 | 81.0 | 80.5 | 78.2 | 84.1 | 81.5 |
| 5.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 6.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 11.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1.13 | -1.23 | -1.30 | -1.92 | -1.55 | -1.34 | -1.35 | -1.20 | -1.48 | -1.34 |
| -1.20 | -2.00 | 1.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | -0.00 | +2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TASLE 12: ALL COMIC TONE PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * *$ | 1978 | TOTAL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 86 | 120 | 88 | 46 | 61 | 94 | 43 | 68 | 46 | 652 |
| 40.5 | 43.1 | 34.2 | 20.9 | 24.6 | 33.9 | 13.2 | 28.2 | 16.5 | 255.2 |
| 189 | 258 | 196 | 159 | 165 | 247 | 96 | 173 | 98 | 1581 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violenc

RATE
Number of violent episodes
$(R / P)$ Rate per all programs (plays)
$(R / H)$ Rate per all hours

| 66.3 | 79.2 | 69.3 | 65.2 | 73.8 | 69.1 | 90.7 | 70.6 | 82.6 | 73.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61.1 | 67.7 | 67.1 | 64.2 | 67.5 | 58.3 | 84.9 | 62.7 | 74.7 | 65.8 |
| N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N |
| 255 | 584 | 333 | 19.4 | 281 | 374 | 268 | 25.7 | 295 | 2841 |
| 3.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 4.4 |
| 6.3 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 20.3 | 9.1 | 17.9 | 11.1 |
| - - | -- | -- | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.5 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 37.6 | 52.7 | 31.1 | 32.7 | 36.4 | 39.3 | 68.7 | 38.2 | 50.0 | 41.6 |
| 45.0 | 59.7 | 38.3 | 46.5 | 53.3 | 52.2 | 72.9 | 42.8 | 65.3 | 51.4 |
| 54.0 | 65.5 | 46.4 | 56.6 | 62.4 | 61.9 | 83.3 | 54.9 | 71.4 | 60.3 |
| 4.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 4.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |



INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the falt and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 13: PRIME-TIME COMIC TONE PROGRAMS

SAMPLES (100\%)
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING: CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence)
Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K)
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N |
| 51 | 41 | 35 | 20 | 19 | 37 | 11 | 39 | 16 | 269 |
| 33.0 | 24.3 | 16.9 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 5.5 | 21.7 | 10.0 | 149.1. |
| 131 | 104 | 100 | 60 | 46 | 100 | 21 | 100 | 38 | 700 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 49.0 | 43.9 | 45.7 | 25.0 | 26.3 | 32.4 | 63.6 | 53.8 | 56.3 | 43.9 |
| 54.5 | 45.4 | 47.8 | 24.3 | 36.4 | 28.6 | 63.6 | 53.8 | 60.0 | 46.6 |
| N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | N |
| 106 | 48 | 35 | 10 | 35 | 55 | 22 | 94 | 63 | 468 |
| 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 3.1 |
| - | -- | -- | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 29.8 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 47.6 | 21.0 | 26.3 | 18.1 |
| 32.8 | 18.3 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 24.0 | 42.9 | 21.0 | 34.2 | 22.3 |
| 42.7 | 22.1 | 23.0 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 28.0 | 57.1 | 32.0 | 42.1 | 29.6 |
| 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1.10 \\ +6.00 \end{array}$ | -1.46 -0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.36 \\ \hline-10 \end{array}$ | 1.17 +0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} -1.20 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | -2.18 0.00 | 1.11 0.00 | 1.00 0.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.30 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | -1.23 +3.50 |
| $+6.00$ | $\bigcirc 0.00$ | . 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $+3.50$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program | Score: | PS | $)+2($ | /P) |  | ( $/ \mathrm{H}$ ) | 59.6 | 50.2 | 51.9 | 28.2 | 36.4 | 41.7 | 75.6 | 67.3 | 76.7 | 53.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characte | , V-Scor | re: | $C S=$ | (\%V) |  | (\%K) | 48.1 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 28.0 | 57.1 | 32.0 | 42.1 | 30.7 |
| Violence | Index | V | $=P S$ | $+\mathrm{CS}$ |  |  | 107.7 | 72.3 | 75.9 | 43.2 | 53.8 | 69.7 | 132.8 | 99.3 | 118.8 | 84.3 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consisits of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 14: WEEKEND MORNING COMIC TONE PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N |
| 35 | 79 | 53 | 26 | 42 | 57 | 32 | 29 | 30 | 383 |
| 7.5 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 106.1 |
| 58 | 154 | 96 | 99 | 119 | 147 | 75 | 73 | 60 | 881 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 91.4 | 97.5 | 84.9 | 96.2 | 95.2 | 93.0 | 100.0 | 93.1 | 96.7 | 94.0 |
| 90.0 | 96.5 | 85.9 | 95.7 | 92.6 | 89.9 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 97.4 | 92.8 |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N |
| 149 | 536 | 298 | 184 | 246 | 319 | 246 | 163 | 232 | 2373 |
| 4.3 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 6.2 |
| 19.9 | 28.4 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 31.8 | 25.1 | 35.7 | 22.4 |
| -- | -- | -- | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 4.0 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 55.2 | 79.9 | 52.1 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 58.5 | 74.7 | 61.6 | 65.0 | 60.3 |
| 72.4 | 87.7 | 62.5 | 68.7 | 68.9 | 71.4 | 81.3 | 72.6 | 85.0 | 74.6 |
| 79.3 | 94.8 | 70.8 | 81.8 | 79.8 | 85.0 | 90.7 | 86.3 | 90.0 | 84.7 |
| 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| - 1.31 | $-1.10$ | - 1.20 | $-1.51$ | $-1.49$ | - 1.22 | $-1.09$ | $-1.18$ | - 1.31 | $-1.24$ |
| $+0.00$ | 1.00 | -0.00 | $+0.00$ | 1.00 | -0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program Score: $P S=(\% P)+2(R / P)+2(R / H)$ | 139.7 | 167.9 | 130.6 | 141.8 | 143.2. | 143.0 | 179.0 | 154.5 | 183.5 | 151.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Character V-Scone: $C S=(\% V)+(\% K)$ | 82.8 | 96.8 | 71.9 | 82.8 | 93.2 | 86.4 | 90.7 | 86.3 | 90.0 | 86.2 |
| Violence Index: $V I=P S+C S$ | 222.4 | 264.6 | 202.4 | 224.6 | 226.4 | 229.4 | 269.7 | 240.8 | 273.5 | 237.3 |

[^31]
## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed


## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 16: PRIME-TIME SERIOUS TONE PROGRAMS


* These figures are based upon two samples -r gne from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 17: WEEKEND MORNING SERIDUS TONE PROGRAMS


* These figures are based upon two samples-- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 18: ALL PROGRAMS CONTINUED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * *$ | 1978 | TOTAL |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| 103 | 132 | 131 | 64 | 146 | 156 | 69 | 112 | 77 | 990 |  |
| 66.5 | 92.7 | 87.7 | 52.2 | 115.0 | 105.6 | 48.0 | 92.5 | 58.4 | 718.6 |  |
| 261 | 326 | 349 | 223 | 485 | 448 | 185 | 342 | 214 | 2833 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |


| Preval | LENCE | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 77.7 | 78.8 | 76.3 | 75.0 | 80.8 | 77.6 | 87.0 | 76.8 | 89.6 | 79.4 |
|  | Program hours containing vioience | 80.2 | 82.4 | 82.5 | 83. 2 | 83.9 | 81.4 | 89.6 | 83.5 | 89.7 | 83.6 |
| RATE |  | N | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ |
|  | Number of violent episodes | 450 | 614 | 708 | 341 | 797 | 854 | 436 | 637 | 494 | 5331 |
| ( $R / P$ ) | Rate per all programs (plays) | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 5.4 |
| ( $R / H$ ) | Rate per all hours | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (nrs) | -- | -- | -- | 2.1 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 20.0 |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (committing violence) | 50.6 | 48.5 | 45.6 | 34.5 | 44.5 | 45.3 | 55.7 | 51.5 | 43.9 | 46.5 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 58.2 | 55.8 | 53.0 | 44.4 | 56.7 | 58.7 | 62.2 | 52.9 | 58.4 | 55.7 |
| (\%V) | Any invplvement in violence | 67.4 | 62.6 | 61.6 | 52.5 | 65.8 | 65.8 | 71.4 | 62.9 | 65.9 | 64.0 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 11.9 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 7.4 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.0 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 14.6 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 9.8 |
|  | Violents : Victims Ratio | $-1.15$ | $-1.15$ | -1.16 | - 1.29 | -1.27 | - 1.30 | -1.12 | $-1.03$ | $-1.33$ | $-1.20$ |
|  | Killers : Killed Ratio | $+3.10$ | +1.67 | $+1.75$ | $+1.75$ | $+1.52$ | + 1.74 | $+1.43$ | $+3.00$ | - 1.20 | $+1.83$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekerd morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 19: ALL NEW PROGRAMS

SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE

## (R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)

(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%V) Any involvement in violence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Killers (committing fatal violence) } \\
& \text { Killed (victims of lethal violence) }
\end{aligned}
$$

(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ |
| 80 | 100 | 72 | 35 | 46 | 70 | 41 | 80 | 34 | 558 |
| 54.0 | 46.3 | 54.6 | 23.0 | 35.5 | 47.4 | 23.6 | 51.1 | 18.9 | 354.4 |
| 194 | 247 | 203 | 136 | 143 | 216 | 105 | 243 | 84 | 1571 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 86.2 | 83.0 | 86.1 | 68.6 | 80.4 | 77.1 | 92.7 | 73.7 | 73.5 | 80.8 |
| 91.0 | 77.7 | 90.8 | 71.7 | 85.9 | 82.8 | 89.4 | 72.8 | 72.7 | 82.7 |
| N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | N | $N$ |
| 422 | 514 | - 314 | 183 | 241 | 331 | 244 | 322 | 149 | 2720 |
| 5.3 | 5.1 | . 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| 7.8 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| -- | -- | -- | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 7.2 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 55.7 | 51.4 | 36.9 | 34.6 | 39.2 | 40.7 | 69.5 | 41.2 | 38.1 | 44.9 |
| 63.4 | 61.1 | 45.3 | 54.4 | 52.4 | 44.4 | 69.5 | 45.7 | 54.8 | 53.5 |
| 72.2 | 68.4 | 56.7 | 61.0 | 60.8 | 60.6 | 81.0 | 58.0 | 61.9 | 63.8 |
| 11.3 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| 7.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| 16.5 | 3.6 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 16.1 | 6.5 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 8.0 |
| $-1.14$ | - 1.19 | - 1.23 | - 1.57 | $-1.34$ | - 1.09 | 1.00 | $-1.11$ | - 1.44 | $-1.19$ |
| $+1.47$ | $+1.40$ | + 2.83 | $+1.75$ | $+3.14$ | + 1.67 | $+4.50$ | $+3.00$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.20$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primentime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 20: PRIME-TIME PROGRAMS CONTINUED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR


[^32]TABLE 21: NEW PRIME-TIME PROGRAMS

| SAMPLES | $(100 \%)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Programs (plays) analyzed |
| Program Hours Analyzed |  |

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all prograns (plays)
$(R / H)$ Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)
ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
(\%v) Any involvement in violence

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ |
| 47 | 40 | 49 | 17 | 31 | 44 | 18 | 52 | 18 | 316 |
| 46.0 | 30.8 | 43.0 | 13.5 | 29.5 | 39.3 | 16.5 | 42.0 | 14.5 | 275.0 |
| 135 | 106 | 150 | 58 | 100 | 145 | 49 | 164 | 51 | 958 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\%$ |
| 80.9 | 60.0 | 83.7 | 47.1 | 77.4 | 68.2 | 83.3 | 65.4 | 55.6 | 70.9 |
| 90.2 | 67.5 | 90.7 | 59.3 | 86.4 | 80.9 | 84.8 | 69.0 | 65.5 | 79.6 |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ |
| 232 | 105 | 196 | 61 | 190 | 208 | 91 | 191 | 32 | 1306 |
| 4.9 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 4.1 |
| 5.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| -- | : -- | -- | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 4.8 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 48.9 | 27.4 | 38.7 | 34.5 | 44.0 | 34.5 | 65.3 | 38.4 | 27.5 | 39.2 |
| 54.8 | 37.7 | 40.0 | 39.7 | 53.0 | 33.8 | 57.1 | 37.8 | 33.3 | 42.4 |
| 66.7 | 41.5 | 52.7 | 43.1 | 62.0 | 47.6 | 73.5 | 49.4 | 41.2 | 52.9 |
| 14.1 | 4.7 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 9.7 |
| 6.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| 17.0 | 5.7 | 12.0 | 17.2 | 23.0 | 9.0 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 11.3 |
| $-1.12$ | $-1.38$ | $-1.03$ | $-1.15$ | $-1.20$ | $+1.02$ | $+1.14$ | $+1.02$ | - 1.21 | $-1.08$ |
| $+2.11$ | $+1.67$ | $+3.20$ | $+1.75$ | $+3.14$ | + 2.00 | $+7.00$ | $+3.00$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.66$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -m one from the fall and one from the spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TASLE 22: WEEKEND MORNING PROGRAMS CONTINUED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR


* These figures are based upon two samples -r one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TASLE 23: NEW WEEKEND MORNING PRQGRAMS


* These figures are based upon two samples mone from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%v) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 57 | 80 | 64 | 36 | 64 | 77 | 32 | 59 | 35 | 504 |
| 39.5 | 43.7 | 46.4 | 26.3 | 51.0 | 50.5 | 21.2 | 42.1 | 24.5 | 345.2 |
| 149 | 203 | 192 | 126 | 200 | 225 | 97 | 197 | 92 | 1481 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 89.5 | 75.0 | 73.4 | 63.9 | 82.8 | 79.2 | 93.8 | 74.6 | 88.6 | 79.4 |
| 92.4 | 69.1 | 84.0 | 70.6 | 86.3 | 82.5 | 92.9 | 78.0 | 89.8 | 82.4 |
| N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ |
| 306 | 341 | 318 | 161 | 360 | 426 | 189 | 253 | 199 | 2553 |
| 5.4 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.1 |
| 7.7 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 7,4 |
| -- | -- | -- | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1. 4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 9.4 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 59.7 | 43.8 | 34.4 | 34.1 | 45.0 | 47.1 | 61.9 | 41.1 | 43.5 | 44.8 |
| 65.8 | 50.2 | 42.7 | 40.5 | 62.5 | 59.1 | 64.9 | 45.2 | 59.8 | 53.9 |
| 75.8 | 58.1 | 51.0 | 49.2 | 64.5 | 71.1 | 76.3 | 55.8 | 66.3 | 62.5 |
| 13.4 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 13.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 6.3 |
| 5.4 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| 18.1 | 4.9 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 8.5 |
| - 1,10 | - 1.15 | - 1.24 | - 1.19 | - 1.39 | - 1.25 | $-1.05$ | $-1.10$ | - 1.38 | $-1.20$ |
| $+2.50$ | $+1.75$ | $+1.55$ | $+1.50$ | $+2.17$ | $+1.25$ | $+1.33$ | $+1.33$ | $+0.00$ | $+1.84$ |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TA3LE 25: ABC PRYME-TIME PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | N |
| 40 | 42 | 43 | 23 | 38 | 41 | 19 | 43 | 24 | 313 |
| 35.0 | 35.0 | 36.8 | 19.5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 17.0 | 36.8 | 20.5 | 280.5 |
| 115 | 123 | 148 | 80 | 127 | 136 | 60 | 149 | 65 | 1003 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violenc

| 85.0 | 54.8 | 65.1 | 47.8 | 78.9 | 73.2 | 89.5 | 67.4 | 83.3 | 70.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91.4 | 62.1 | 82.5 | 62.8 | 86.2 | 82.5 | 91. 2 | 75.5 | 87.8 | 80.2 |
| N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N |
| 210 | 128 | 176 | 76 | 241 | 289 | 110 | 167 | 94 | 1491 |
| 5.3 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| 6.0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| -- | -- | -- | 0.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 7.3 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 60.0 | 32.5 | 33.8 | 27.5 | 53.5 | 49.3 | 63.3 | 36.9 | 32.3 | 42.9 |
| 62.6 | 37.4 | 39.9 | 25.0 | 67.7 | 54.4 | 61.7 | 36.9 | 50.8 | 48.1 |
| 73.9 | 43.1 | 49.3 | 33.8 | 69.3 | 66.2 | 75.0 | 48.3 | 60.0 | 57.0 |
| 1.6. 5 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 2.5 | 19,7 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 8.8 |
| 6.1 | 3.3 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| 21.7 | 9.1 | 13.5 | 5.0 | 22.8 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 11.6 |
| $-1.04$ | $-1.15$ | $-1.18$ | $+1.10$ | - 1.26 | $-1.10$ | $+1.03$ | 1.00 | - 1.57 | $-1.12$ |
| $+2.71$ | $+1.75$ | $+1.60$ | 1.00 | $+2.27$ | $+1.43$ | 1.00 | $+1.33$ | $+0.00$ | $+1.91$ |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 26: ABC PROGRAMS AIRED 8-9 P.M. EST
SAMPLES $(100 \%)$
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence RATE

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N |
| 24 | 27 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 20 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 162 |
| 18.5 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 12.5 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 14.5 | 8.0 | 127.8 |
| 67 | 82 | 68 | 45 | 63 | 60 | 22 | 61 | 29 | 497 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 79.2 | 44.4 | 63.2 | 58.3 | 73.7 | 60.0 | 87.5 | 66.7 | 83.3 | 66.0 |
| 86.5 | 55.8 | 79.4 | 72.0 | 80.6 | 73.3 | 90.0 | 75.9 | 87.5 | 76.1 |
| N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N |
| 139 | 64 | 81 | 59 | 100 | 76 | 35 | 65 | 27 | 646 |
| 5.8 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 |
| 7.5 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.1 |
| - | -- | -- | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.6 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 58.2 | 31.7 | 36.8 | 26.7 | 47.6 | 33.3 | 68.2 | 29.5 | 34.5 | 39.2 |
| 65.7 | 34.1 | 42.6 | 26.7 | 63.5 | 38.3 | 72.7 | 36.1 | 51.7 | 46.1 |
| 70.1 | 40.2 | 50.0 | 35.6 | 63.5 | 51.7 | 86.4 | 44.3 | 62.1 | 53.3 |
| 17.9 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 7.6 |
| 6.0 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 23.9 | B. 5 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 9.9 |
| $-1.13$ | $-1.08$ | - 1.16 | 1.00 | - 1.33 | $-1.15$ | $-1.07$ | $-1.22$ | $-1.50$ | $-1.17$ |
| $+3.00$ | +2.50 | 1.00 | $-2.00$ | $+3.25$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $+0.00$ | $+2.38$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program S | Score: | PS | ) +2 | /P) | $2(R / H)$ | 105.8 | 55.8 | 81.2 | 77.6 | 95.3 | 77.7 | 110.3 | 81.8 | 94.6 | 84.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characte | r V-Sc | e: | $C S=$ | (\%V) | $+(\% \mathrm{~K})$ | 94.0 | 48.8 | 58.8 | 42.2 | 85.7 | 51.7 | 86.4 | 44.3 | 72.4 | 63.2 |
| Vialence | Index | $V$ | $=P S$ | $+\mathrm{CS}$ |  | 199.8 | 10.4 .6 | 140.0 | 119.8 | 181.0 | 129.4 | 196.6 | 126.1 | 167.0 | 147.3 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 27: ABC PROGRAMS AIRED 9-11 P.M. EST


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs

TABLE 28: ABC WEEKEND MORNING PRQGRAMS

SAMPLES (100\%)
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program nours containing violence
RATE

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
(\%V)
Victims (subjected to violence)
Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 17 | 38 | 21 | 13 | 26 | 36 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 191 |
| 4.5 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 64.7 |
| 34 | 80 | 44 | 46 | 73 | 89 | 37 | 48 | 27 | 478 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 100.0 | 97.4 | 90.5 | 92.3 | 88.5 | 86.1 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 93.2 |
| 100.0 | 97.1 | 89.7 | 92.7 | 86.4 | 82.5 | 100.0 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 91.8 |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ |
| 96 | 213 | 142 | 85 | 119 | 137 | 79 | 86 | 105 | 1062 |
| 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 5.6 |
| 21.3 | 24.6 | , 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 26.3 | 16.4 |
| $\cdots$ | -- | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.1 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 58.8 | 61.2 | 36.4 | 45.7 | 30.1 | 43.8 | 59.5 | 54.2 | 70.4 | 49.0 |
| 76.5 | 70.0 | 52.3 | 67.4 | 53.4 | 66.3 | 70.3 | 70.8 | 81.5 | 66.1 |
| 82.4 | 81.3 | 56.8 | 76.1 | 56.2 | 78.7 | 78.4 | 79.2 | 81.5 | 73.8 |
| 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| 2,9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1. 0 |
| 5.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} -1.30 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.14 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | -1.44 1.00 | -1.48 +0.00 | -1.77 1.00 | -1.51 -0.00 | -1.18 +2.00 | $\begin{array}{r} -\quad 1.31 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | -1.16 0.00 | 1.1 .35 +1.20 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%) Programs containing violence
$\quad$ Program hours containing violence

RATE

## (P) Number of violent episodes <br> (R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)

(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N |
| 17 | . 38 | 15 | 12 | 24 | 34 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 173 |
| 4.5 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 56.6 |
| 34 | 80 | 24 | 43 | 69 | 83 | 23 | 38 | 27 | $\therefore 421$ |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |


| 100.0 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 87.5 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 93.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100.0 | 97.1 | 100.0 | 92.1 | 85.0 | 80.7 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 92.3 |
| $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ |
| 96 | 213 | 117 | 82 | 116 | 132 | 61 | 76 | 105 | 998 |
| 5.6 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 9.5 | 5.8 |
| 21.3 | 24.6 | 17.5 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 21.5 | 18.5 | 26.3 | 17.6 |
| -- | -" | -- | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.8 |

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
(\%V)
Viotents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)

| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58.8 | 61.2 | 37.5 | 44.2 | 31.9 | 44.6 | 65.2 | 55.3 | 70.4 | 50.1 |
| 76.5 | 70.0 | 66.7 | 67.4 | 52.2 | 68.7 | 73.9 | 76.3 | 81.5 | 68.4 |
| 82.4 | 81.3 | 70.8 | 74.4 | 55.1 | 79.5 | 82.6 | 76.3 | 81.5 | 75.1 |
| 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.30 | 1.14 | -1.78 | -1.53 | -1.64 | -1.54 | -1.13 | -1.38 | -1.16 | -1.36 |
| 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | +0.00 | 1.00 | -0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
* The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekerid morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES (100\%)

> Programs (plays) analyzed
> Program Hours Analyzed
> Leading characters analyzed

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 41 | 47 | 28 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 14 | 27 | 14 | 271 |
| 30.8 | 23.5 | 26.4 | 17.8 | 35.0 | 35.2 | 11.5 | 19.4 | 9.5 | 209.1 |
| 110 | 124 | 91 | 72 | 115 | 140 | 49 | 94 | 41 | 836 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 100.0 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 90.0 | 94.3 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 95.2 |
| 100.0 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 86.0 | 97.1 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 95.8 |
| $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N |
| 269 | 277 | 230 | 147 | 271 | 330 | 134 | 175 | 112 | 1945 |
| 6.6 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 7.2 |
| 8.7 | 11.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 9.3 |
| - - | $\cdots$ | -- | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 8.0 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 70.0 | 62.9 | 56.0 | 50.0 | 65.2 | 60.7 | 81.6 | 62.8 | 56.1 | 62.7 |
| 78.2 | 72.6 | 62.6 | 56.9 | 79.1 | 62.9 | 83.7 | 67.0 | 80.5 | 70.6 |
| 86.4 | 61.5 | 74.7 | 68.1 | 81.7 | 77.9 | 93.9 | 78.7 | 82.9 | 80.1 |
| 17.3 | 5.6 | 17.6 | 4.2 | 21.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 10.9 |
| 6.4 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| 23.6 | 8.1 | 19.8 | 6.9 | 25.2 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 14.1 |
| $-1.12$ | $-1.15$ | - 1.12 | $-1.14$ | $-1.21$ | $-1.04$ | $-1.02$ | $-1.07$ | $-1.43$ | - 1.13 |
| + 2.71 | +1.75 | $+2.00$ | $+1.50$ | $+2.27$ | $+1.67$ | $+1.33$ | $+1.33$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.07$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fali 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primetime and one weekend monning network dramatic programs.


## TABLE 31: ALL CBS PROGRAMS

SAMPLES (100\%)
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence

## RATE

Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%V) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence)
Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K)

> Violents : Victims Ratio
> Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N |
| 67 | 85 | 78 | 29 | 66 | 80 | 41 | 80 | 48 | 574 |
| 39.5 | 48.0 | 49.0 | 23.1 | 50.0 | 50.2 | 24.0 | 54.2 | 26.7 | 364.7 |
| 152 | 198 | 189 | 107 | 229 | 232 | 101 | 224 | 122 | 1554 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 71.6 | 77.6 | 74.4 | 75.9 | 74.2 | 67.5 | 82.9 | 70.0 | 85.4 | 74.6 |
| 75.3 | 79.9 | 79.7 | 82.7 | 80.0 | 72.1 | 83.3 | 75.2 | 83.2 | 78.3 |
| $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N |
| 248 | 384 | 376 | 181 | 358 | 320 | 199 | 403 | 263 | 2732 |
| 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 7.5 |
| -- | -- | -- | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 7.9 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 40.1 | 51.0 | 42.9 | 33.6 | 41.5 | 39.2 | 50.5 | 48.7 | 41.8 | 43.5 |
| 49.3 | 56.6 | 51.9 | 52.3 | 50.2 | 47.8 | 56.4 | 47.3 | 56.6 | 51.4 |
| 56.6 | 63.6 | 60.3 | 57.0 | 61.6 | 55.6 | 67.3 | 58.0 | 63.9 | 60.0 |
| 7.9 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 6.5 |
| 5.3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 3.4 |
| 11.2 | 6.6 | 10.1 | 13.1 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 8.6 |
| $-1.23$ | $-1.11$ | - 1.21 | $-1.56$ | - 1.21 | $-1.22$ | - 1.12 | $+1.03$ | - 1.35 | $-1.18$ |
| $+1.50$ | $+1.33$ | $+3.60$ | $+1.25$ | +1.58 | $+1.40$ | $+5.00$ | + 6.00 | +3.00 | $+1.91$ |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
* The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TAQLE 33: CBS PROGRAMS AIRED B-9 F.M. EST

SAMPLES (100\%)
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
$(R / H)$ Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (mrs)

## ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)

Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
(\%V) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Violents : Victims Ratio } \\
& \text { Killers : Killed Ratio }
\end{aligned}
$$

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program | Score: | $P S=$ | ) +2 | /P) |  | R/H) | 84.8 | 72.7 | 81.5 | 70.5 | 69.3 | 27.8 | 69.6 | 75.4 | 59.8 | 69.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Character | r V-Sc | e: | CS $=$ | (\%V) | $+$ | (\%K) | 71.9 | 50.0 | 51.0 | 56.7 | 42.9 | 17.7 | 31.8 | 47.4 | 33.3 | 46.1 |
| Violence | Index | V | $=\mathrm{PS}$ | $+\mathrm{CS}$ |  |  | 156.7 | 122.7 | 132.5 | 127.2 | 112.1 | 45.5 | 101.5 | 122.8 | 93.2 | 115.4 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic prognams.

TABLE 34: CBS PROGRAMS AIRED 9-11 P.M. EST


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.
table 35: CBS WEEKEND MORNING PROGRAMS

SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence $\begin{aligned} & \text { Program hours containing violence }\end{aligned}$
RATE

Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
( $R / H$ ) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)

Killers (committing fatal violence)
Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Katio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 23 | 39 | 36 | 8 | 24 | 32 | 17 | 21 | 26 | 226 |
| 5.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 4.1 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 75.3 |
| 39 | 76 | 66 | 32 | 85 | 79 | 40 | 52 | 57 | 526 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 95.7 | 94.9 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 95.8 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 93.4 |
| 95.0 | 94.2 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 95.8 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 100.0 | 93.7 |
| N | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N |
| 121 | 260 | 200 | 73 | 143 | 152 | 115 | 95 | 174 | 1333 |
| 5.3 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 5.9 |
| 24.2 | 22.6 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 19.2 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 17.7 |
| -- | -- | -- | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.7 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 76.9 | 78.9 | 53.0 | 40.6 | 47.1 | 55.7 | 62.5 | 63.5 | 50.9 | 58.7 |
| 87.2 | 85.5 | 69.7 | 78.1 | 61.2 | 69.6 | 85.0 | 67.3 | 78.9 | 74.3 |
| 89.7 | 93.4 | 80.3 | 84.4 | 80.0 | 81.0 | 87.5 | 80.8 | 86.0 | 84.4 |
| 5.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 7.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 12.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1. 7 |
| $-1.13$ | - 1.08 | $-1.31$ | $-1.92$ | $-1.30$ | - 1.25 | $-1.36$ | $-1.06$ | $-1.55$ | $-1.27$ |
| - 1.50 | 1.00 | $\because 0.00$ | 0.00 | $+0.00$ | $-0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program | Scone: |  | $+$ | R/P) |  |  | 154.6 | 153.4 | 129.3 | 153.7 | 131.6 | 127.7 | 151.9 | 125.1 | 166.9 | 140.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characte | $V-S c$ | e: | $C S=$ | (\%V) |  | (\%K) | 102.6 | 96.1 | 80.3 | 84.4 | 81.2 | 82.3 | 87.5 | 80.8 | 86.0 | 86.1 |
| Violence | Index | V | $=P S$ | $+\mathrm{CS}$ |  |  | 257.1 | 249.5 | 209.6 | 238.1 | 212.8 | 210.0 | 239.4 | 205.9 | 252.9 | 226.7 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 36: CBS CARTOON PROGRAMS

|  |  | 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLES (100\%) |  | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N |
|  | Programs (plays) analyzed | 23 | 37 | 33 | 8 | 20 | 23 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 199 |
|  | Program Hours Analyzed | 5.0 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 4. 1 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 59.4 |
|  | Leading characters analyzed | 39 | 70 | 61 | 32 | 69 | 53 | 26 | 51 | 50 | 451 |
| PREVALENCE |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 95.7 | 94.6 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 |
|  | Program hours containing violence | 95.0 | 93.7 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 100.0 | 95.6 |
| RATE |  | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & (R / P) \\ & (R / H) \end{aligned}$ | Number of violent episodes | 121 | 252 | 186 | 73 | 128 | 138 | 89 | 131 | 160 | 1278 |
|  | Rate per all programs (plays) | 5.3 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
|  | Rate per all hours | 24.2 | 24.0 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 14.2 | 19.9 | 29.7 | 21.5 | 30.2 | 21.5 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (nrs) | -- | -- | -- | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (committing violence) | 76.9 | 77.1 | 54.1 | 40.6 | 43.5 | 64.2 | 76.9 | 76.5 | 50.0 | 61.6 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 87.2 | 84.3 | 72.1 | 78.1 | 66.7 | 77.4 | 84.6 | 78.4 | 80.0 | 77.8 |
| (\%V) | Any involvement in violence | 89.7 | 92.9 | 83.6 | 84.4 | 81.2 | 86.8 | 88.5 | 92.2 | 86.0 | 87.1 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 7.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 12.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,0 |
|  | Violents : V.ctims Patio | $-1.13$ | -1.09 | $-1.33$ | $-1.92$ | - 1.53 | - 1.21 | $-1.10$ | $-1.03$ | $-1.60$ | $-1.26$ |
|  | Killers : Killed Ratio | - 1.50 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $+0.00$ | -0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program Score: $P S=(\% P)+2(R / P)+2(R / H)$ | 154.6 | 156.2 | 135.4 | 153.7 | 136.2 | 151.8 | 174.2 | 151.1 | 174.3 | 150.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Character $V-S c o r e: ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS $=(\% V)+(\% K)$ | 102.6 | 95.7 | 83.6 | 84.4 | 82.6 | 88.7 | 88.5 | 92.2 | 86.0 | 89.1 |
| Violence Index: $V I=P S+C S$ | 257.1 | 251.9 | 219.0 | 238.1 | 218.9 | 240.5 | 262.6 | 243.2 | 260.3 | 240.0 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 37: CBS ACTION PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES (100\%) <br> Programs (plays) analyzed <br> Program Hours Analyzed

 Leading characters analyzedPREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
(R/P) Ramber of violent episodes
$(R / H)$ Rate per all programs (plays)

Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
(\%v) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : V.ctims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-69 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | rotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | N |
| 36 | 36 | 37 | 12 | - 34 | 38 | 13 | 27 | 16 | 249 |
| 19.0 | 20.2 | 27.5 | 12.5 | 31.0 | 28.0 | 11.5 | 25.3 | 13.3 | 188.2 |
| 75 | 96 | 88 | 55 | 128 | 105 | 40 | 88 | 52 | 727 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 94.4 | 97.2 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 97.4 | 92.3 | 96.3 | 93.8 | 95.2 |
| 96.1 | 98.3 | 95.6 | 100:0 | 96.8 | 98.2 | 91.3 | 99.3 | 92.5 | 96.8 |
| $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 206 | 253 | 281 | 134 | 274 | 220 | 86 | 240 | 99 | 1793 |
| 5.7 | 7.0 | $\cdots 7.6$ | 11.2 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 7.2 |
| 10.8 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 9.5 |
| -- | -" | -- | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 6.1 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 66.7 | 72.9 | 67.0 | 40.0 | 58.6 | 59.0 | 60.0 | 72.7 | 46.2 | 61.9 |
| 80.0 | 82.3 | 79.5 | 69.1 | 69.5 | 69.5 | 55.0 | 72.7 | 55.8 | 72.1 |
| 85.3 | 89.6 | 86.4 | 69.1 | 82.8 | 79.0 | 72.5 | 83.0 | 65.4 | 81.0 |
| 16.0 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 18. 2 | 14.8 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 5.8 | 13.6 |
| 9.3 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 14.5 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| 21.3 | 12.5 | 19.3 | 25.5 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 12.5 | 14.8 | 5.8 | 17.6 |
| $-1.20$ | $-1.13$ | - 1.19 | $-1.73$ | $-1.19$ | $-1.18$ | $+1.09$ | . 1.00 | $-1.21$ | -1.16 |
| $+1.71$ | $+1.60$ | $+3.20$ | $+1.25$ | + 1.58 | $+1.40$ | $+5.00$ | $+6.00$ | $+0.00$ | +1.98 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

|  |  | 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLES (100\%) |  | N | N | N | N | N | $N$ | N | N | N | $N$ |
|  | Programs (plays) analyzed | 59 | 67 | 61 | 34 | 62 | 69 | 37 | 53 | 28 | 470 |
|  | Program Hours Analyzed : | 41.5 | 47.2 | 46.9 | 25.7 | 49.5 | 52.3 | 26.4 | 47.4 | 26.1 | 363.1 |
|  | Leading characters analyzed | 154 | 172 | 171 | 126 | 199 | 207 | 92 | 164 | 84 | 1369 |
| PREVALENCE |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 84.7 | 91.0 | 93.4 | 79.4 | 85.5 | 87.0 | 91.9 | 84.9 | 78.6 | 87.0 |
|  | Program hour: containing violence | 87.3 | 92.6 | 93.6 | 86.4 | 86.9 | 90.4 | 92.4 | 86.3 | 84.0 | 89.1 |
| RATE |  | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & (R / P) \\ & (R / H) \end{aligned}$ | Number of violent episodes | 318 | 403 | 328 | 182 | 320 | 439 | 292 | 303 | 181 | 2766 |
|  | Rate per all programs (plays) | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 5.9 |
|  | Rate per all hours | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.6 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs) | -- | -- | -- | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 9.9 |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (cominitting violence) | 58.4 | 55.2 | 50.9 | 35.7 | 43.7 | 45.4 | 70.7 | 52.4 | 41.7 | 50.0 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 66.2 | 69.2 | 56.7 | 52.4 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 73.9 | 59.1 | 56.0 | 60.0 |
| (\%V) | Any involvement in violence | 76.0 | 75.0 | 69.0 | 61.1 | 68.3 | 66.2 | 81.5 | 70.7 | 64.3 | 70.1 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 13.6 | 7.0 | $\therefore 5.8$ | 6.3 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 8.4 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 5.8 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 4.1 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 16.9 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 10.6 |
|  | Violents : Victims hatio | $-1.13$ | -1.25 | $-1.19$ | $-1.47$ | $-1.26$ | - 1.22 | $-1.05$ | $-1.13$ | $-1.34$ | - 1.20 |
|  | Killers : Killed Ratio | $+2.33$ | $+1.71$ | $+1.67$ | $+4.00$ | $+1.80$ | + 2.71 | $+2.00$ | $+2.80$ | $-1.67$ | $+2.05$ |
| INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
|  | Program Score: PS $=(\% \mathrm{P})+2(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{P})+2(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{H})$ | 110.9 | 120.1 | 118.2 | 104.3 | 108.7 | 116.5 | 129.8 | 109.1 | 105.4 | 114.0 |
|  | Character V-Score: $\quad \mathrm{CS}=(\% \mathrm{~V})+(\% \mathrm{~K})$ | 92.9 | 83.7 | 77.2 | 67.5 | 79.9 | 77.3 | 94.6 | 80.5 | 73.8 | 80.6 |
|  | Violence Index: $V I=P S+C S$ | 203.7 | 203.9 | 195.4 | 171.7 | 188.6 | 193.8 | 224.4 | 189.6 | 179.2 | 194.7 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 39: NBC PRIME-TIME PROGRAMS

```
SAMPLES (100%)
    Programs (plays) analyzed
    Program Hours Analyzed
    Leading characters analyzed
```

PREVALENCE
(\%) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing vioience
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)
ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence)
Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\% ) Any invalvement in killing.
Violents : Victims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio
INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program | Score: | $P S=$ | $+2$ | /H) | 106.9 | 102.7 | 111.3 | 87.3 | 97.1 | 108.2 | 113.5 | 103.9 | 91.8 | 103.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charact | $V-S c$ |  | CS | (\%K) | 93.7 | 73.3 | 75.7 | 59.3 | 80.6 | 73.9 | 98.0 | 84.0 | 67.2 | 78.8 |
| Violence | Index | V | $=P S$ |  | 200.6 | 176.0 | 187.0 | 146.7 | 177.7 | 182.1 | 211.6 | 188.0 | 159.0 | 182.6 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning netwonk dramatic programs.

TABLE 40: NBC PROGRAMS AIRED 8-9 P.M. EST

## SAMPLES (100\%)

> Programs (plays) analyzed
> Program Hours Analyzed Leading characters analyzed

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 26 | 21 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 17 | 7 | 148 |
| 21.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 18.5 | 7.0 | 133.3 |
| 74 | 60 | 59 | 35 | 49 | 62 | 25 | 47 | 29 | 440 |

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE

$\quad$| Number of violent episodes |
| :--- |
| $(R / P)$ |
| $(R / H)$ Rate per all programs ( $p$ lays) |

Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 84.6 | 81.0 | 94.7 | 60.0 |
| 90.5 | 88.9 | 97.2 | 77.8 |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58.8 | 72.7 | 66.7 | 82.4 | 28.6 | 75.0 |
| 72.2 | 74.7 | 80.0 | 89.2 | 42.9 | 82.7 |


| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 132 | 82 | $B 8$ | 44 | 39 | 64 | 48 | 99 | 39 | 635 |
| 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 4.3 |
| 6.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 |
| - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 |

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)

| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51.4 | 43.3 | 44.1 | 40.0 | 26.5 | 25.8 | 40.0 | 48.9 | 10.3 | 38.4 |
| 59.5 | 48.3 | 40.7 | 37.1 | 24.5 | 27.4 | 32.0 | 66.0 | 20.7 | 41.8 |
| 73.0 | 56.7 | 57.6 | 45.7 | 38.8 | 41.9 | 48.0 | 76.6 | 20.7 | 53.9 |
| 16.2 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 11.4 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
| 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| 20.3 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 8.4 |
| -1.16 | -1.12 | +1.08 | $+1.08+1.08$ | -1.06 | +1.25 | -1.35 | -2.00 | -1.09 |  |
| +2.40 | +4.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +1.33 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +3.00 | 0.00 | +3.20 |

## INDICATOPS OF VIOLENCE

| Program Score: $P S=(\% P)+2(R / P)+2(R / H)$ | 107.3 | 97.9 | 113.8 | 78.6 | 67.7 | 87.8 | 86.9 | 104.7 | 50.9 | 93.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Character $V$-Score: $\quad C S=(\% V)+(\% K)$ | 93.2 | 63.3 | 61.0 | 57.1 | 51.0 | 45.2 | 52.0 | 83.0 | 20.7 | 62.3 |
| Violence Index: $V I=P S+C S$ | 200.6 | 161.2 | 174.8 | 135.7 | 118.8 | 133.0 | 138.9 | 187.7 | 71.5 | 155.4 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES (100\%)

> Programs (plays) analyzed
> Program Hours Analyzed
> Leading characters analyzed

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 | $1977 * *$ | 1978 | TOTAL |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 11 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 18 | 23 | 9 | 20 | 10 | 133 |  |
| 15.5 | 22.3 | 19.5 | 9.0 | 22.0 | 28.5 | 11.5 | 24.0 | 15.3 | 167.5 |  |
| 38 | 45 | 56 | 24 | 75 | 80 | 26 | 72 | 32 | 448 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE

Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all progranis (plays)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 81.8 | 87.5 | 88.9 | 75.0 |
| 83.9 | 93.3 | 89.7 | 88.9 |


| 94.4 | 95.7 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 95.5 | 98.2 | 100.0 |


| 80.0 | 100.0 | 89.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 83.3 | 100.0 | 92.5 |

Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs)
N

| 80 | 100 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 7.3 | 6.3 | 5 |
| 5.2 | 4.5 | 4 |

$N$
49
$N$
100
N

N
( $R / H$ ) Rate per all hours
$\%$

Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)

| 63.2 | 46.7 | 51.8 | 29.2 | 61.3 | 53.7 | 88.5 | 59.7 | 56.3 | 56.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 68.4 | 62.2 | 58.9 | 45.3 | 61.3 | 61.2 | 100.0 | 54.2 | 68.8 | 62.5 |
| 76.3 | 68.9 | 71.4 | 45.8 | 78.7 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 84.4 | 73.0 |
| 18.4 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 21.2 | 34.6 | 15.3 | 9.4 | 17.6 |
| 5.3 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 19.2 | 5.6 | 15.6 | 8.9 |
| 18.4 | 17.8 | 19.6 | 16.7 | 21.3 | 26.2 | 42.3 | 18.1 | 25.0 | 22.1 |

$-1.08-1.33-1.14-1.571 .00-1.14-1.13$
$+3.50+1.50+1.60+2.00+2.33+2.43+1.80$
$+1.10$
$-1.22$
$-1.10$

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 42: NBC WEEKEND MORNING PROGRAMS

```
SAMPLES (100\%)
Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed
```

PREVALENCE
(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
Number of violent episodes
(R/P) Rate per all programs (plays)
(R/H) Rate per all hours
Duration of violent Episodes (hrs)

ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)
Violents (committing violence)
Victims (subjected to violence)
invoivement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence)
Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%K) Any involvement in killing
Violents : Victims Ratio Killers : Killed Ratio
67-68 69-70 71-72 1973 74-75* 75-76*

| $67-68$ | $69-70$ | $71-72$ | 1973 | $74-75 *$ | $75-76 *$ | 1976 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| 22 | 30 | 24 | 16 | 27 | 24 | 19 |
| 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 4.9 |
| 42 | 67 | 56 | 67 | 75 | 65 | 41 |

1977**
1978
total
$N$
\%
$\%$
$100.0 \quad 89.8$
$95.7 \quad 94$
93.7

N
1177
6.2
18.9
2.6
\%

| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66.7 | 71.6 | 57.1 | 35.8 | 37.3 | 53.8 | 78.0 | 44.4 | 60.9 | 54.3 |
| 76.2 | 92.5 | 71.4 | 62.7 | 69.3 | 75.4 | 82.9 | 60.0 | 82.6 | 74.2 |
| 81.0 | 95.5 | 78.6 | 74.6 | 77.3 | 84.6 | 90.2 | 71.1 | 91.3 | 82.1 |
| 4.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 4.8 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 9.5 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| -1.14 | -1.29 | -1.25 | -1.75 | -1.86 | -1.40 | -1.06 | -1.35 | -1.36 | -1.37 |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | -0.00 | 0.00 | -0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.50 |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primetime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 43: NBC CARTOON PROGRAMS

## SAMPLES ( $100 \%$ )

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed
67-68 69-70 71-72
1973 74-75* 75-76* 1976

| 1977** | 1978 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | N | $N$ |
| 15 | 11 | 158 |
| 4.1 | 3.8 | 46.3 |
| 42 | 23 | 395 |
| \% | \% | \% |
| 93.3 | 90.9 | 96.8 |
| 87.7 | 95.7 | 96.0 |
| $N$ | N | N |
| 76 | 79 | 1057 |
| 5.1 | 7.2 | 6.7 |
| 18.7 | 20.6 | 22.8 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| \% | \% | \% |
| 47.6 | 60.9 | 58.7 |
| 66.7 | 82.6 | 79.0 |
| 78.6 | 91.3 | 85.8 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1.40 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 1.36 0.00 | -1.34 -1.50 |


| PREVA | ENCE | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%P) | Programs containing violence | 88.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 90.9 | 96.8 |
|  | Program hours containing violence | 84.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.7 | 95.7 | 96.0 |
| RATE |  | $N$ | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N |
|  | Number of violent episodes | 96 | 201 | 144 | 75 | 118 | 155 | 113 | 76 | 79 | 1057 |
| (R/P) | Rate per all programs (plays) | 5.6 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 6.7 |
| (R/H) | Rate per all hours | 21.7 | 32.6 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 19.7 | 24.5 | 59.5 | 18.7 | 20.6 | 22.8 |
|  | Duration of Violent Episodes (hrs) | -- | -- | -- | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| ROLES | (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS) | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | Violents (committing violence) | 72.2 | 76.3 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 43.4 | 54.3 | 89.3 | 47.6 | 60.9 | 58.7 |
|  | Victims (subjected to violence) | 77.8 | 91.5 | 72.2 | 66.7 | 81.1 | 87.0 | 89.3 | 66.7 | 82.6 | 79.0 |
| (\%V) | Any involvement in violence | 83.3 | 94.9 | 81.5 | 74.1 | 84.9 | 93.5 | 96.4 | 78.6 | 91.3 | 85.8 |
|  | Killers (committing fatal violence) | 5.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Killed (victims of lethal violence) | 5.6 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| (\%K) | Any involvement in killing | 11.1 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
|  | Violents : Victims Ratio Killers : Killed Ratio | $\begin{array}{r} 1.08 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ | 1.20 -1.00 | -1.15 -0.00 | -1.80 0.00 | -1.87 $-\quad 0.00$ | 1.60 -0.00 | 1.00 0.00 | -1.40 -0.00 | 1.36 0.00 | -1.34 -1.50 |

## INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE

| Program Score: $P S=(\% P)+2(R / P)+2(R / H)$ | 143.0 | 179.5 | 147.4 | 141.2 | 146.1 | 164.4 | 236.3 | 140.8 | 146.5 | 155.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cnaracter $V$-Score: $C S=(\% V)+(\% K)$ | 94.4 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 74.1 | 86.8 | 93.5 | 96.4 | 78.6 | 91.3 | 88.1 |
| Violence Index: $V I=P S+C S$ | 237.4 | 279.5 | 230.7 | 215.2 | 232.9 | 257.9 | 332.8 | 219.4 | 237.8 | 243.9 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primewime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## SAMPLES (100\%)

Programs (plays) analyzed
Program Hours Analyzed
Leading characters analyzed

## PREVALENCE

(\%P) Programs containing violence
Program hours containing violence
RATE
$(R / P)$ Ramber of violent episodes
$(R / H)$ Rate per all programs (plays)
Duration of Violent Episodes (nrs)
ROLES (\% OF LEADING CHARACTERS)

Violents (committing violence)
(\%) Any involvement in violence
Killers (committing fatal violence) Killed (victims of lethal violence)
(\%k) Any involvement in killing
Violents : V.ctims Ratio
Killers : Killed Ratio

| 67-68 | 69-70 | 71-72 | 1973 | 74-75* | 75-76* | 1976 | 1977** | 1978 | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | N | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | N |
| 41 | 42 | - 40 | 19 | 42 | 39 | 15 | 30 | 14 | 282 |
| 33.7 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 15.7 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 14.7 | 30.6 | 9.3 | 244.4 |
| 114 | 108 | 117 | 81 | 145 | 130 | 44 | 100 | 40 | 879 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 95.1 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 92.3 | 93.3 | 86.7 | 92.9 | 94.3 |
| 93.6 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 94.8 | 93.2 | 88.6 | 89.2 | 94.2 |
| N | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ | N | N | $N$ | $N$ |
| 285 | 289 | 246 | 137 | 245 | 281 | 127 | 218 | 94 | 1922 |
| 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| ; 8.5 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 10.2 | 7.9 |
| -- | -- | -- | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 7.3 |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 71.1 | 62.0 | 55.6 | 39.5 | 49.7 | 48.5 | 70.5 | 61.0 | 42.5 | 55.6 |
| 74.6 | 76.9 | 60.7 | 65.4 | 59.3 | 59.2 | 75.0 | 63.0 | 60.0 | 65.4 |
| 86.0 | 84.3 | 73.5 | 70.4 | 76.6 | 69.2 | 86.4 | 73.0 | 70.0 | 76.5 |
| 18.4 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 18.2 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 11.6 |
| 7.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| 22.8 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 20.5 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 13.9 |
| $-1.05$ | - 1.24 | -1.09 | - 1.66 | $-1.19$ | $-1.22$ | $-1.06$ | $-1.03$ | $-1.41$ | $-1.18$ |
| $+2.33$ | + 2.33 | $+2.33$ | $+3.50$ | $+2.00$ | $+3.00$ | $+2.67$ | $+2.80$ | $+0.00$ | $+2.55$ |

INDICATORS OF VIOLENCE


* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  |  | 1973 | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | .. \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% |
| ALL CHARACTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Total | 573 | 100.0 | 552 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 628 | 100.0 | 664 | $100: 0$ | 290 | 100.0 | 585 | 100.0 | 298 | 100.0 | 3949 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 285 | 49.7 | 234 | 42.4 | 124 | 34.5 | 272 | 43.3 | 291 | 43.8 | 176 | 60.7 | 276 | 47. 2 | 126 | 42.3 | 1784 | 45.2 |
| Victims | 333 | 58.1 | 277 | 50.2 | 173 | 48.2 | 350 | 55.7 | 359 | 54.1 | 188 | 64.8 | 292. | 49.9 | 171 | 57.4 | 2143 | 54.3 |
| Involved In Violence | 373 | 65.1 | 330 | 59.8 | 200 | 55.7 | 406 | 64.6 | 426 | 64.2 | 217 | 74.8 | 356 | 60.9 | 193 | 64.8 | 2501 | 63.3 |
| Killers | 27 | 4.7 | 45 | 8.2 | 21 | 5.8 | 63 | 10.0 | 43 | 6.5 | 19 | 6.6 | 30 | 5.1 | 9 | 3.0 | 257 | 6.5 |
| Killed | 17 | 3.0 | 22. | 4. C | 12 | 3.3 | 34 | 5.4 | 25 | 3.8 | 9 | 3.1 | 10 | 1.7 | 6 | 2.0 | 135 | 3.4 |
| Involved In killing | 38 | 6.6 | 54 | 9.8 |  | 7.5 | 81 | 12.9 |  | 9.2 | 24 | 8.3 | 34 | 5.8 | 15 | 5.0 | 334 | 8.5 |
| Character V-Score |  | 71.7 |  | 69.6 |  | 63.2 |  | 77.5 |  | 73.3 |  | 83.1 |  | 65.7 |  | 69.8 |  | 71.8 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.17 |  | $-1.18$ |  | -1.40 |  | $-1.29$ |  | $-1.23$ |  | $-1.07$ |  | - 1.06 |  | $-1.36$ |  | $-1.20$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | +1.59 |  | $+2.05$ |  | $+1.75$ |  | $+1.85$ |  | $+1.72$ |  | +2.11 |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 244 | 55.3 | 200 | 49.4 | 101 | 38.8 | 233 | 48.4 | 248 | 47.5 | 141 | 64.7 | 217 | 52.5 | 98 | 49.5 | 1482 | 50.4 |
| Victims | 281 | 63.7 | 227 | 56.0 | 139 | 53.5 | 292 | 60.7 | 309 | 59.2 | 152 | 69.7 | 227 | 55.0 | 119 | 60.1 | 1746 | 59.4 |
| Involved In Violence | 311 | 70.5 | 268 | 66.2 | 157 | 60.4 | 335 | 69.6 | 358 | 68.6 | 174 | 79.8 | 274 | 56.3 | 133 | 67.2 | 2010 | 68.4 |
| Killers | 26 | 5.9 | 43 | 10.6 | 21 | 8.1 | 54 | 11.2 | 39 | 7.5 | 15 | 6.9 | 28 | 6.8 | 6 | 3.0 | 232 | 7.9 |
| Killed | 13 | 2.9 | 20 | 4.9 | 9 | 3.5 | 30 | 6.2 | 23 | 4.4 | 6 | 2.8 | 10. | 2.4 | 4 | 2.0 | 115 | 3.9 |
| Involved In Killing | 34 | 7.7 | 50 | 12.3 | 24 | 9.2 | 69 | 14.3 | 55 | . 10.5 | 17 | 7.8 | 32 | 7.7 | 10 | 5.1 | 291 | 9.9 |
| Chanacter V-Scone |  | 78.2 |  | 78.5 |  | 69.6 |  | 84.0 |  | 79.1 |  | 87.6 |  | 74.1 |  | 72.2 |  | 78.3 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.15$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $-1.38$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | $-1.08$ |  | - 1.05 |  | - 1.21 |  | $1.18$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.00$ |  | + 2.15 |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+1.80$ |  | $+1.70$ |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+2.80$ |  | + 1.50 |  | $+2.02$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 123 | 100.0 | 138 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 | 143 | 100.0 | 129 | 100.0 | 67 | 100.0 | 168 | 100.0 | 91 | 100.0 | 956 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 35 | 28.5 | 27 | 19.6 | 21 | 21.6 | 38 | 26.6 | 33 | 25.6 | 30 | 44.8 | 56 | 33.3 | 21 | 23.1 | 261 | 27.3 |
| Victims | 44 | 35.8 | 42 | 30.4 | 32 | 33.0 | 55 | 38.5 | 39 | 30.2 | 31 | 46.3 | 63 | 37.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 351 | 36.7 |
| Invalved In Violence | 54 | 43.9 | 54 | 39.1 | 41 | 42.3 | 68 | 47.6 | 56 | 43.4 | 38 | 56.7 | 79 | 47.0 | 51 | 56.0 | 441 | 46.1 |
| Killers |  | 0.8 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 6.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 3 | 3.3 | 24 | 2.5 |
| Killed | 4 | 3.3 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | 3.1 | 4 | 2.8 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.2 | 20. | 2.1 |
| Involved In Killing | 4 | 3.3 | 3 | 2.2 | 3 | 3.1 | 12 | 8.4 | 6 | 4.7 | 7 | 10.4 | 2 | 1. 2 | 5 | 5.5 | 42 | 4.4 |
| Character V-Score |  | 47.2 |  | 41.3 |  | 45.4 |  | 55.9 |  | 48.1 |  | 67.2 |  | 48.2 |  | 61.5 |  | 50.5 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.26$ |  | - 1.56 |  | $-1.52$ | - | -1.45 |  | $-1.18$ |  | $-1.03$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $-2.14$ |  | $-1.34$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-4.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $+2.25$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | +1.33 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | $+1.20$ |

* These figures ane based upon two samples - one from the fali and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two woeks of prime-time and one weekend morning netwo
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatio programs.

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% |
| ALL CHARACTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 128 | 36.6 | 151 | 39.1 | 66 | 30.8 | 182 | 46.1 | 173 | 40.1 | 97 | 56.4 | 197 | 44.8 | 64 | 33.5 | 1058 | 41.0 |
| Victims | 150 | 42.9 | 168 | 43.5 | 75 | 35.0 | 207 | 52.4 | 196 | 45.5 | 94 | 54.7 | 196 | 44.5 | 85 | 44.5 | 1171 | 45.4 |
| Involved In violence | 173 | 49.4 | 208 | 53.9 | 88 | 41.1 | 239 | 60.5 | 237 | 55.0 | 116 | 67.4 | 244 | 55.5 | 101 | 52.9 | 1406 | 54.5 |
| Killers | 24 | 6.9 | 44 | 11.4 | 20 | 9.3 | 61 | 15.4 | 43 | 10.0 | 17 | 9.9 | 30 | 6.8 | 9 | 4.7 | 248 | 9.6 |
| Killed | 14 | 4.0 | 20 | 5.2 | 12 | 5.6 | 32 | 8.1 | 23 | 5.3 | 8 | 4.7 | 10 | 2.3 | 6 | 3.1 | 125 | 4.8 |
| Involved In kiliting | 33 | 9.4 | 52 | 13.5 | 26 | 12.1 | 77 | 19.5 | 59 | 13.7 | 21 | 12.2 | 34 | 7.7 | 15 | 7.9 | 317 | 12.3 |
| Character V-Score |  | 58.9 |  | 67.4 |  | 53.3 |  | 80.0 |  | 68.7 |  | 79.7 |  | 63.2 |  | 60.7 |  | 66.8 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.17$ |  | $-1.11$ |  | $-1.14$ |  | $-1.14$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $+1.03$ |  | $+1.01$ |  | $-1.33$ |  | 1.11 |
| Killers : Kılled |  | $+1.71$ |  | $+2.20$ |  | $+1.67$ |  | $+1.91$ |  | $+1.87$ |  | $+2.13$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | +1.50 |  | 1.98 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 249 | 100.0 | 276 | 100.0 | 150 | 100.0 | 291 | 100.0 | 324 | 100.0 | 119 | 100.0 | 299 | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 1828 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 107 | 43.0 | 130 | 47.1 | 57 | 39.0 | 154 | 52.9 | 151 | 46.6 | 72 | 60.5 | 151 | 50.5 | 48 | 40.0 | 870 | 47.6 |
| victims | 122 | 49.0 | 138 | 50.0 | 63 | 42.0 | 172 | 59.1 | 168 | 51.9 | 71 | 59.7 | 144 | 48.2 | 54 | 45.0 | 932 | 51.0 |
| Involved In Violence | 139 | 55.8 | 168 | 60.9 | 72 | 48.0 | 195 | 67.0 | 199 | 61.4 | 86 | 72.3 | 180 | 60.2 | 66 | 55.0 | 1105 | 60.4 |
| Killers | 23 | 9.2 | 42 | 15.2 | 20 | 13.3 | 52 | 17.9 | 39 | 12.0 | 15 | 12.6 | 28 | 9.4 | 6 | 5.0 | 225 | 12.3 |
| Killed | 10 | 4.0 | 18 | 6.5 | 9 | 6.0 | 28 | 9.6 | 22. | 6.8 | 6 | 5.0 | 10 | 3.3 | 4 | 3.3 | 107 | 5.9 |
| Involved In Killing | 29 | 11.6 | 48 | 17.4 | 23 | 15.3 | 65 | 22.3 | 54 | 16.7 | 17 | 14.3 | 32 | 10.7 | 10 | 8.3 | 278 | 15.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 67.5 |  | 78.3 |  | 63.3 |  | 89.3 |  | 78.1 |  | 86.6 |  | 70.9 |  | 63.3 |  | 75.7 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $\sim 1.14$ |  | $-1,06$ |  | $-1.11$ |  | $-1.12$ |  | - 1.11 |  | $+1.01$ |  | $+1.05$ |  | -1.13 |  | 1.07 |
| Killers : Killed |  | +2.30 |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+2.22$ |  | $+1.86$ |  | $+1.77$ |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+2.80$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 2.10 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Total | 101 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 64 | 100.0 | 104 | 100.0 | 107 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 140 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 749 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 21 | 20.8 | 20 | 18.3 | 9 | 14.1 | 28 | 26.9 | 22 | 20.6 | 25 | 47.2 | 45 | 32.1 | 16 | 22.5 | 186 | 24.8 |
| Victims | 28 | 27.7 | 29 | 26.6 | 12 | 18.8 | 35 | 33.7 | 28 | 26.2 | 23 | 43.4 | 51 | 36.4 | 31 | 43.7 | 237 | 31.6 |
| Involved In violence | 34 | 33.7 | 39 | 35.8 | 16 | 25.0 | 44 | 42.3 | 38 | 35.5 | 30 | 56.6 | 63 | 45.0 | 35 | 49.3 | 299 | 39.9 |
| Killers | , | 1.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 8.7 | 4 | 3.7 | 2 | 3.8 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | 4.2 | 22 | 2.9 |
| Killed | 4 | 4.0 | 2 | 1.8 | 3 | 4.7 | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 2 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.8 | 18 | 2.4 |
| . Involved In killing | 4 | 4.0 | 3 | 2.8 | 3 | 4.7 | 12 | 11.5 | 5 | 4.7 . | 4 | 7.5 | 2 | 1.4 | 5 | 7.0 | 38 | 5.1 |
| Character V-Scone |  | 37.6 |  | 38.5 |  | 29.7 |  | 53.8 |  | 40.2 |  | 64.2 |  | 46.4 |  | 56.3 |  | 45.0 |
| Violent"s : Victims |  | $-1.33$ |  | $-1.45$ |  | - 1.33 |  | $-1.25$ |  | $-1.27$ |  | $+1.09$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $-1.94$ |  | 1.27 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-4.00$ |  | -2.00 |  | -0.00 |  | $+2.25$ |  | $+4.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.22 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dranatic programs.

TABLE 47: RISK RATIOS - CHARACTERS IN WEEKEND MORNING PROGRAMS

ALL CHARACTERS
Total
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence
Killers
Killed
Involved In Killing
Involved In Killing
Character $V$-Score
Violents : Victims
Killers : Killed

MEN
Total:
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence


WOMEN
Total
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence
22100.0
9100.0

| 14 | 63.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 16 | 72.7 |
| 20 | 90.9 |


| 7 | 24.1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13 | 44.8 | 20 |
| 15 | 51.7 |  |


| 12 | 36.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 60.6 |
| 25 | 75.8 |


| 10 | 25.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 51.3 |

22 100:0.
14100.0
28100.0
$20 \quad 100.0$
207100.0

| 5 | 25.0 | 75 | 36.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14 | 70.0 | 114 | 55.1 |


| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.5 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Character V-Score |  | 90.9 |  | 51.7 |  | 75.8 |  | 61.5 |  | 86.4 |  | 78.6 |  | 57.1 |  | 80.0 |  | 70.5 |
| Violents : Victims |  | 1.14 |  | 1.86 | - | 1.67 |  | 2.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.60 |  | 1.09 |  | 2.80 |  | 1.52 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |

* These flgures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primettime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 48: RISK RATIOS - SOCIAL AGE - CHARACTERS IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% |
| CHILD-ADOLESCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 44 | 100.0 | 49 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 | 79 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 79 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 415 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 15 | 34.1 | 16 | 32.7 | 14 | 23.7 | 17 | 21.5 | 18 | 30.5 | 9 | 52.9 | 33 | 41.8 | 9 | 31.0 | 131 | 31.6 |
| Victims | 20 | 45.5 | 19 | 38.8 | 34 | 57.6 | 37 | 46.8 | 35 | 59.3 | 9 | 52.9 | 39 | 49.4 | 16 | 55.2 | 209 | 50.4 |
| Involved In Violence | 23 | 52.3 | 25 | 51.0 | 42 | 71.2 | 41 | 51.9 | 40 | 67.8 | 13 | 76.5 | 49 | 62.0 | 18 | 62.1 | 251 | 60.5 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 1. | 3.4 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 52.3 |  | 53.1 |  | 71.2 |  | 51.9 |  | 67.8 |  | 76.5 |  | 64.6 |  | 65.5 |  | 61.4 |
| * Violents : Victims |  | $-1.33$ |  | - 1.1 .19 |  | $-2.43$ |  | - 2.18 |  | - 1.94 |  | 1.00 |  | - 1.18 |  | $-1.78$ |  | $-1.60$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | - 0.00 |  | $+3.00$ |
| VOUNG ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 142 | 100.0 | 110 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 138 | 100.0 | 139 | 100.0 | 30. | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 813 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 63 | 44.4 | 55 | 50.0 | 23 | 27.4 | 50 | 36.2 | 62 | 44.6 | 11 | 36.7 | 63 | 55.3 | 16 | 28.6 | 343 | 42.2 |
| Victims | 84 | 59.2 | 64 | 58.2 | 38 | 45.2 | 79 | 57.2 | 85 | 61.2 | 17 | 56.7 | 69 | 60.5 | 30 | 53.6 | 466 | 57.3 |
| Involved In Violence | 95 | 66.9 | 73 | 66.4 | 41 | 48.8 | 88 | 63.8 | 99 | 71.2 | 18 | 60.0 | 78. | 68,4 | 32 | 57.1 | 524 | 64.5 |
| Killers | 5 | 3.5 | 10 | 9.1 | 6 | 7.1 | 12 | 8.7 | 13 | 9.4 | 4 | 13.3 | 8 | 7.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 58 | 7.1 |
| Killed | 4 | 2.8 | 3 | 2.7 | 3 | 3.6 | 4 | 2.9 | 11 | 7.9 | 2 | 6.7 | 2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Involved In Killing | 8 | 5.6 | 13 | 11.8 | 8 | 9.5 | 14 | 10.1 | 19 | 13.7 | 5 | 16.7 | 8 | 7.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 75 | 9.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 72.5 |  | 78.2 |  | 58.3 |  | 73.9 |  | 84.9 |  | 76.7 |  | 75.4 |  | 57.1 |  | $73 \cdot 7$ |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.33$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-1.65$ |  | $-1.58$ |  | $-1.37$ |  | $-1.55$ |  | $-1.10$ |  | $-1.88$ |  | $-1.36$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+1.25$ |  | $+3.33$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+1.18$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+4.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |
| SETTLED ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 266 | 100.0 | 299 | 100.0 | 178 | 100.0 | 346 | 100.0 | 396 | 100.0 | 200 | 100.0 | 345 | 100.0 | 182 | 100.0 | 2212 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 119 | 44.7 | 117 | 39.1 | 64 | 36.0 | 167 | 48.3 | 171 | 43.2 | 121 | 60.5 | 150 | 43.5 | 81 | 44.5 | 990 | 44.8 |
| Victims | 130 | 48.9 | 138 | 46.2 | 77 | 43.3 | 194 | 56.1 | 191 | 48.2 | 126 | 63.0 | 154 | 44.6 | 100 | 54.9 | 1110 | 50.2 |
| Involved In Violence | 148 | 55.6 | 169 | 56.5 | 90 | 50.6 | 228 | 65.9 | 234 | 59.1 | 145 | 72.5 | 194 | 56.2 | 114 | 62.6 | 1322 | 59.8 |
| Killers | 19 | 7.1 | 28 | 9.4 | 14 | 7.9 | 50 | 14.5 | 30 | 7.6 | 14 | 7.0 | 18 | 5.2 | 7 | 3.8 | 180 | 8.1 |
| Killed | 11 | 4.1 | 12 | 4.0 | 8 | 4.5 | 26 | 7.5 | 12 | 3.0 | 7 | 3.5 | E | 2.3 | 3 | 1.6 | 87 | 3.9 |
| Involved in killing | 26 | 9.8 | 31 | 10.4 | 17 | 9.6 | 62 | 17.9 | 40 | 10.1 | 18 | 9.0 | 22 | 6.4 | 10 | 5.5 | 226 | 10.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 65.4 |  | 66.9 |  | 60.1 |  | 83.8 |  | -69.2 |  | 81.5 |  | 62.6 |  | 68.1 |  | 70.0 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.09$ |  | $-1.18$ |  | $-1.20$ |  | - 1.16 |  | $-1.12$ |  | $-1.04$ |  | - 1.03 |  | $-1.23$ |  | $-1.12$ |
| Kilters : Killed |  | $+1.73$ |  | $+2.33$ |  | + 1.75 |  | + 1.92 |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+2.25$ |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+2.07$ |


|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% |
| OLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 7 | 41.2 | 6 | 23.1 | 2 | 25.0 | 6 | 31.6 | 5 | 29.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 41.7 | 3 | 42.9 | 34. | 32.1 |
| Victims | 10 | 58.8 | 6 | 23.1 | 2 | 25.0 | 9 | 47.4 | 4 | 23.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 41.7 | 3 | 42.9 | 39 | 36.8 |
| Involved In Violence | 11 | 64.7 | 9 | 34.6 | 3 | 37.5 | 11 | 57.9 | 5 | 29.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 50.0 | 5 | 71.4 | 50 | 47.2 |
| Killers | 1 | 5.9 | 1. | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2 B .6 | 4 | 3.8 |
| Killed | 1 | 5.9 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 6.6 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 11.8 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 10 | 9.4 |
| Character V-Score |  | 76.5 |  | 42.3 |  | 37.5 |  | 68.4 |  | 35.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 50.0 |  | 114.3 |  | 56.6 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.43$ |  | . 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | -1.50 |  | $+1.25$ |  | $0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | $1.15$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $1.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | -0.00 |  | -0.00 |  | $0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | $1.75$ |
| CANNOT CODE SOCIAL AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 104 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 403 | 100.0 |
| Violents |  | 77.9 | 40 | 58.8 | 21 | 70.0 | 32 | 69.6 | 35 | 66.0 | 35 | 81.4 | 25 | 71.4 | 17 | 70.8 | 286 | 71.0 |
| Victims | 89 | 85.6 | 50 | 73.5 | 22 | 73.3 | 31 | 67.4 | 44 | 83.0 | 36 | 83.7 | 25 | 71.4 | 22 | 91.7 | 319 | 79.2 |
| Involved In violence | 96 | 92.3 | 54 | 79.4 | 24 | 80.0 | 38 | 82.6 | 48 | 90.6 | 41 | 95.3 | 29 | 82.9 |  | 100.0 | 354 | 87.8 |
| Killers | 2 | 1.9 | 5 | 7.4 | 1 | 3.3 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 12. | 3.0 |
| Killed | 1 | 1.0 | 5 | 7.4 | 1 | 3.3 | 2 | 4.3 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 1.9 | 7 | 10.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 3 | 6.5 | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | 5.7 | 1 | 4.2 | 19 | 4.7 |
| Character V-Score |  | 94.2 |  | 89.7 |  | 86.7 |  | 89.1 |  | 92.5 |  | 97.7 |  | 88.6 |  | 104.2 |  | 92.6 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.10$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | 1.05 |  | + 1.03 |  | -1.26 |  | 1.03 |  | 1.00 |  | -1.29 |  | 1.12 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | $-2.00$ |  | -0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | +0.00 |  | - 0.00 |  | 1.09 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
* The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| CHILD-ADOLESCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 32 | 100.0 | 34 | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 44 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 297 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 12 | 37.5 | 12 | 35.3 | 8 | 21.1 | 13 | 22.4 | 15 | 34.1 | 6 | 46.2 | 26 | 45.6 | 8 | 38.1 | 100 | 33.7 |
| Victims | 17 | 53.1 | 16 | 47.1 | 23 | 60.5 | 30 | 51.7 | 28 | 63.6 | 8 | 61.5 | 34 | 59.6 | 13 | 61.9 | 169 | 56.9 |
| Involved in violence | 19 | 59.4 | 19 | 55.9 | 27 | 71.1 | 33 | 56.9 | 31 | 70.5 | 10 | 76.9 | 40 | 70.2 | 14 | 66.7 | 193 | 65.0 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 | $t$ | 0.3 |
| Involved In killing | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.5 | 1 | $\therefore 4.8$ | 4 | 1.3 |
| $\therefore$ Character V-Score |  | 59.4 |  | 58.8 |  | 71.1 |  | 56.9 |  | 70.5 |  | 76.9 |  | 73.7 |  | 71.4 |  | 66.3 |
| Violents : Victims <br> Killers : Killed |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1.42 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.33 \\ +\quad 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.88 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | 2.31 0.00 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.87 \\ -0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1.33 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.31 \\ +\quad 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.63 \\ -\quad 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.69 \\ +\quad 3.00 \end{array}$ |
| YOUNG ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 89 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 99 | 100.0 | 102 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 | 539 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 47 | 52.8 | 45 | 61.6 | 17 | 32.1 | 42 | 42.4 | 51 | 50.0 | 10 | 47.6 | 47 | 62.7 | 11 | 40.7 | 270 | 50.1 |
| Victims | 60 | 67.4 | 48 | 65.8 | 24 | 45.3 | 59 | 59.6 | 65 | 63.7 | 12 | 57.1 | 50 | 66.7 | 15 | 55.6 | 333 | 61.8 |
| Involved In violence | 66 | 74.2 | 55 | 75.3 | 26 | 49.1 | 67 | 67.7 | 77 | 75.5 | 13 | 61.9 | 55 | 73.3 | 16 | 59.3 | 375 | 69.6 |
| Killers | 5 | 5.6 | 9 | 12.3 | 6 | 11.3 | 9 | 9.1 | 11. | 10.8 | 4 | 19.0 | 6 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 9.3 |
| Killed | 2 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.7 | 1 | 1.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 10 | 9.8 | 2 | 9.5 | 2 | 2. 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 4.3 |
| Involved in killing | 6 | 6.7 | 11 | 15.1 | 6 | 11.3 | 11 | 11.1 | 16 | 15.7 | 5 | 23.8 | 6 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 61 | 11.3 |
| Character V-Scone |  | 80.9 |  | 90.4 |  | 60.4 |  | 78.8 |  | 91.2 |  | 85.7 |  | 81.3 |  | 59.3 |  | 80.9 |
| Violents : Victims |  | -1.28 |  | -1.07 |  | $-1.41$ |  | $-1.40$ |  | $-1.27$ |  | $-1.20$ |  | $-1.06$ |  | $-1.36$ |  | - 1.23 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+4.50$ |  | $+6.00$ |  | + 2.25 |  | $+1.10$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | + 2.17 |
| SETtEED ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 219 | 100.0 | 229 | 100.0 | 138 | 100.0 | 271 | 100.0 | 323 | 100:0 | 146 | 100.0 | 242 | 100.0 | 130 | 100.0 | 1698 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 110 | $50: 2$ | 106 | 46.3 | 59 | 42.8 | 144 | 53.1 | 153 | 47.4 | 95 | 65.1 | 120 | 49.6 | 65 | 50.0 | 852 | 50.2 |
| Victims | 120 | 54.8 | 121 | 52.8 | 74 | 53.6 | 168 | 62.0 | 178 | 55.1 | 101 | 69.2 | 118 | 48.8 | 76 | 58.5 | 956 | 56.3 |
| Involved In Violence | 135 | 61.6 | 145 | 63.3 | 84 | 60.9 | 193 | 71.2 | 209 | 64.7 | 115 | 78.8 | 150 | 62.0 | 85 | 65.4 | 1116 | 65.7 |
| Killers | 18 | 8.2 | 28 | 12.2 | 14 | 10.1 | 44 | 16.2 | 28 | 8.7 | 10 | 6.8 | 18 | 7.4 | 4 | 3.1 | 164 | 9.7 |
| Killed | 9 | 4.1 | 12 | 5.2 | 8 | 5.8 | 23 | 8.5 | 11 | 3.4 | 4 | 2.7 | 8 | - 3.3 | 2 | 1.5 | 77 | 4.5 |
| Involved In Killing | 24 | 11.0 | 31 | 13.5 | 17 | 12.3 | 54 | 19.9 | 37 | 11.5 | 11 | 7.5 | 22 | 9.1 | 6 | 4.6 | 202 | 11.9 |
| Character V-Score |  | 72.6 |  | 76.9 |  | 73.2 |  | 91.1 |  | 76.2 |  | 86.3 |  | 71.1 |  | 70.0 |  | 77.6 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.09 | - | $-1.14$ |  | - 1.25 |  | $-1.17$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-1.06$ |  | $+1.02$ |  | $-1.17$ |  | $-1.12$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.00$ |  | +2.33 |  | $+1.75$ |  | $+1.91$ |  | $+2.55$ |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+2.25$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | +2.13 |


|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% |
| OLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 6 | 46.2 | 6 | 33.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 5 | 35.7 | 5 | 41.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 45.5 | 3 | 50.0 | 31 | 38.7 |
| Victims | 8 | 61.5 | 4 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 4 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 45.5 | 2 | 33.3 | 29 | 36.2 |
| Involved In Violence | 9 | 69.2 | 7 | 38.9 | 1 | 16.7 | 8 | 57.1 | 5 | 41.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 54.5 | 4 | 66.7 | 40 | 50.0 |
| Killers | 1 | 7.7 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 4 | 5.0 |
| Killed | 1 | 7.7 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.0 |
| Involved In killing | 2 | 15.4 | 1. | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 7. | 8.7 |
| Character V-Score |  | 84.6 |  | 44.4 |  | 16.7 |  | 64.3 |  | 50.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 54.5 |  | 100.0 |  | 58.7 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.33$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | $0.00$ |  | - 1.20 |  | +1.25 |  | $0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.50$ |  | $+1.07$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $1.00$ |  | $1.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | - 0.00 |  | -0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $1.00$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 69 | 78.4 | 31 | 60.8 | 16 | 64.0 | 29 | 74.4 | 24 | 58.5 | 30 | 78.9 | 19 | 67.9 | 11 | 78.6 | 229 | 70.7 |
| Victims | 76 | 86.4 | 38 | 74.5 | 18. | 72.0 | 29 | 74.4 | 34 | 82.9 | 31 | 81.6 | 20 | 71.4 | 13 | 92.9 | 259 | 79.9 |
| Involved In Violence | 82 | 93.2 | 42 | 82.4 | 19 | 76.0 | 34 | 87.2 | 36 | 87.8 | 36 | 94.7 | 23 | 82.1 | 14 | 100.0 | 286 | 88.3 |
| Killers | 2 | 2.3 | 4 | 7.8 | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 2 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 3.4 |
| Killed | 1 | 1.1 | 5 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.1 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 10 | 3.1 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 2.3 | 6 | 11.8 | 1. | 4.0 | 3 | 7.7 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 2.6 | 2 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 17 | 5.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 95.5 |  | 94.1 |  | 80.0 |  | 94.9 |  | 90.2 |  | 97.4 |  | 89.3 |  | 107.1 |  | 93.5 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.10 |  | -1.2? |  | $-1.13$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-1.42$ | - | 1.03 |  | - 1.05 |  | - 1.18 |  | $-1.13$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.00$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | - 2.00 |  | $-0.00$ |  | +0.00 |  | +0.00 |  | - 0.00 |  | +1.10 |

* These figures are tased upon two samples u- one from the Fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 50: RISK RATIOS - SOCIAL AGE - WOMEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| CHILD-ADOLESCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 12 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 3 | 25.0 | 4 | 26.7 | 6 | 28.6 | 4 | 19.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 3 | 75.0 | 7 | 31.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 25.9 |
| Victims | 3 | 25.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 11 | 52.4 | 7 | 33.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 5 | 22.7 | 3 | 42.9 | 40 | 34.5 |
| Involved In Violence | 4 | 33.3 | 6 | 40.0 | 15 | 71.4 | 8 | 38.1 | 9 | 64.3 | 3 | 75.0 | 9 | 40.9 | 3 | 42.9 | 57 | 49.1 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 33.3 |  | 40.0 |  | 71.4 |  | 38.1 |  | 64.3 |  | 75.0 |  | 40.9 |  | 42.9 |  | 49.1 |
| Violents : Victims |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.33$ |  | $-1.83$ |  | $-1.75$ |  | $-2.33$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+1.40$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-1.33$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| YOUNG ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 53 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 39 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 270 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 16 | 30.2 | 9 | 25.0 | 6 | 19.4 | 8 | 21.1 | 10 | 28.6 | 1 | 11.1 | 16 | 41.0 | 5 | 17.2 | 71 | 26.3 |
| Victims | 24 | 45.3 | 15 | 41.7 | 14 | 45.2 | 19 | 50.0 | 18 | 51.4 | 5 | 55.6 | 19 | 48.7 | 15 | 51.7 | 129 | 47.8 |
| Involved In Violence | 29 | 54.7 | 17 | 47.2 | 15 | 48.4 | 20 | 52.6 | 20 | 57.1 | 5 | 55.6 | 23 | 59.0 | 16 | 55.2 | 145 | 53.7 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.9 | 2 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 3.0 |
| Killed | 2 | 3.8 | 1 | 2.8 | 2 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 2.2 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 3.8 | 2 | 5.6 | 2 | 6.5 |  | 7.9 | 3 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 5.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 58.5 |  | 52.8 |  | 54.8 |  | 60.5 |  | 65.7 |  | 55.6 |  | 64.1 |  | 55.2 |  | 58.9 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.50$ |  | $-1.67$ |  | $2.33$ |  | $-2.38$ |  | $-1.80$ |  | $-5.00$ |  | $-1.19$ |  | - 3.00 |  | $-1.82$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | -0.00 |  | 1.00 |  | - 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+1.33$ |
| SETTLED ADULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Total | 47 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 103 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 513 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 9 | 19.1 | 11 | 15.7 | 5 | 12.5 | 23 | 30.7 | 18 | 24.7 | 26 | 48.11 | 30 | 29.1 | 15 | 29.4 | 137 | 26.7 |
| Victims | 10 | 21.3 | 17 | 24.3 | 3 | 7.5 | 26 | 34.7 | 13 | 17.8 | 25 | 46.3 | 36 | 35.0 | 23 | 45.1 | 153 | 29.8 |
| Involved In Violence | 13 | 27.7 |  | 34.3 | 6 | 15.0 |  | 46.7 | 25 | 34.2 | 30 | 55.6 | 44 | 42.7 | 28 | 54.9 | 205 | 40.0 |
| Killers | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 8.0 | 2 | 2.7 | 4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 5.9. | 16 | 3.1 |
| Killed | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 3 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 10 | 1.9 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 10.7 | 3 | 4.1. | 7 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 7.8 | 24 | 4.7 |
| Character V-Score |  | 31.9 |  | 34.3 |  | 15.0 |  | 57.3 |  | 38.4 |  | 68.5 |  | 42.7 |  | 62.7 |  | 44.6 |
| Violent's : Victims |  | $-1.11$ |  | $-1.55$ |  | $+1.67$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $+1.38$ |  | $+1.04$ |  | $-1.20$ |  | $-1.53$ |  | $-1.12$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+1.33$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+1.60$ |

TABLE 50: RISK RATIOS - SOCIAL AGE - WOMEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

## CONTINUED

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 69-70 \\ & N \end{aligned}$ |  | 71-72 |  |  | 1973 | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| OLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 11.5 |
| Victims | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 10 | 38.5 |
| Involved In Violence | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 10 | 38.5 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 1. | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 11.5 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 11.5 |
| Character V-Score |  | 50.0 |  | 37.5 |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 200.0 |  | 50.0 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-3.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $3.33$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $0.00$ |  | - 0.00 |  | $0.00$ |  | -0.00 |  | $0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $0.00$ |
| CANNOT CODE SOCIAL AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 6 | 85.7 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 20 | 64.5 |
| Victims | 5 | 71.4 | 5 | 55.6 | 2 | 66.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 19 | 61.3 |
| Involved In Violence | 6 | 85.7 | 5 | 55.6 | 3 | 100.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 24 | 77.4 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.2 |
| Involved In Killing |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.2 |
| Character V-Score |  | 85.7 |  | 55.6 |  | 133.3 |  | 50.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 80.6 |
| Violents : Victims <br> Killers : Killed |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.67 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | +1.50 +0.00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.05 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |

* These figures are based upc.i two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


UNMARRIED, UNKNOWN Total
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence

| 191 | 52.3 | 148 | 47.3 | 38 | 30.6 | 109 | 39.5 | 96 | 40.9 | 85 | 59.4 | 133 | 48.9 | 57 | 39.3 | 857 | 45.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | 60.3 | 169 | 54.0 | 61 | 49.2 | 154 | 55.8 | 134 | 57.0 | 94 | 65.7 | 141 | 51.8 | 79 | 54.5 | 1052 | 56.2 |
| 251 | 68.8 | 202 | 64.5 | 73 | 58.9 | 174. | 63.0 | 156 | 66.4 | 108 | 75.5 | 173 | 63.6 | 92 | 63.4 | 1229 | 65.6 |
| 19 | 5.2 | 25 | 8.0 | 4 | 3.2 | 26 | 9.4 | 16 | 6.8 | 10 | 7.0 | 13 | 4.8 | 3 | 2.1 | 116 | 6.2 |
| 14 | 3.8 | 10 | 3.2 | 4 | 3.2 | 10 | 3.6 | 9 | 3.8 | 7 | 4.9 | 5 | 1. B | 2 | 1.4 | 61 | 3.3 |
| 30 | 8.2 | 29 | 9.3 | 7 | 5.6 | 30 | 10.9 | 21 | 8.9 | 14 | 9.8 | 15 | 5.5 | 5 | 3.4 | 151 | 8.1 |
|  | 77.0 |  | 73.8 |  | 64.5 |  | 73.9 |  | 75.3 |  | 85.3 |  | 69.1 |  | 66.9 |  | 73.7 |
|  | 1.15 |  | 1.14 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.41 |  | 1.40 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.39 |  | 1.23 |
|  | 1.36 |  | 2.50 |  | 1.00 |  | 2.60 |  | 1.78 |  | 1.43 |  | 2.60 |  | 1.50 |  | 1.90 |

## MARRIED

Total
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence

| 149 | 100.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 47 | 31.5 |
| 62 | 41.6 |
| 68 | 45.6 |


| 165 | 100.0 | 106 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 41 | 24.8 | 22 |
| 59 | 35.8 | 32 |
| 71 | 43.0 | 38 |

$152 \quad 100.0 \quad 1$

Killers
Killed
Involved In Killing
Involved In Killin
Character V-Scone

| 8 | 5.4 | 12 | 7.3 | 4 | 3.8 | 17 | 11.2 | 11 | 7.0 | 6 | 9.5 | 8 | 6.5 | 4 | 5.6 | 70 | 7.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2.0 | 7 | 4.2 | 3 | 2.8 | 14 | 9.2 | 10 | 6.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 5.6 | 42 | 4.3 |
| $B$ | 5.4 | 16 | 9.7 | 6 | 5.7 | 26 | 17.1 | 18 | 11.5 | 6 | 9.5 | 9 | 7.3 | 8 | 11.1 | 97 | 9.8 |
|  | 51.0 |  | 52.7 |  | 41.5 |  | 72.4 |  | 52.2 |  | 68.3 |  | 49.0 |  | 62.5 |  | 55.3 |
|  | 1.32 |  | 1.44 | - | 1.45 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.23 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.65 | - | 1.27 |
| + | 2.67 |  | 1.71 | + | 1.33 |  | 1.21 |  | 1.10 | $+$ | 0.00 |  | 8.00 |  | 1.00 | $+$ | 1.67 |

CANNOT CODE MARITAL STATUS Total
$59 \quad 100.0$
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence

| 47 | 79.7 | 45 | 60.8 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 51 | 86.4 | 49 | 66.2 | 8 |
| 54 | 91.5 | 57 | 77.0 | 89 |



* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programis.

TABLE 52: RISK RATIOS - MARITAL STATUS - MEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

| 69-70 | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

UNMARRIED, UNKNOWN
Total
Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence
Killers
Killed
Involved In Killing
Character V-score
Vialents : Victims
Killers : Killed

298 100.0. 242 100.0

| 170 | 57.0 | 129 | 53.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 192 | 64.4 | 138 | 57.0 |
| 216 | 72.5 | 165 | 68.2 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 6.4 | 24 | 9.9 |
| 11 | 3.7 | 8 | 3.3 |
| 27 | 9.1 | 26 | 10.7 |
|  | 81.5 |  | 78.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.13 |  |  |
| +.1 .73 |  | +3.00 |  |


| 26 | 31.3 | 90 | 44.1 | 82 | 46.6 | 63 | 63.0 | 99 | 55.0 | 44 | 48.4 | 703 | 51.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 53.0 | 121 | 59.3 | 108 | 61.4 | 69 | 69.0 | 106 | 58.9 | 54 | 59.3 | 832 | 60.6 |
| 49 | 59.0 | 136 | 66.7 | 125 | 71.0 | 79 | 79.0 | 126 | 70.0 | 62 | 68.1 | 958 | 69.7 |
| 4 | 4.8 | 22 | 10.8 | 13 | 7.4 | 7 | 7.0 | 12 | 6.7 | 2 | 2.2 | 103 | 7.5 |
| 2 | 2.4 | 9 | 4.4 | 9 | 5.1 | 5 | 5.0 | 5 | 2.日 | 2 | 2.2 | 51 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 6.0 | 26 | 12.7 | 18 | 10.2 | 9 | 9.0 | 14 | 7.8 | 4 | 4.4 | 129 | 9.4 |
|  | 65.1 |  | 79.4 |  | 8.1 .2 |  | 88.0 |  | 77.8 |  | 72.5 |  | 79.1 |
| - | 1.69 |  | 1.34 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.10 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.23 |  | 1.18 |
| + | 2.00 |  | 2.44 | + | 1.44 | + | 1.40 |  | 2.40 |  | 1.00 |  | 2.02 |

## MARRIED

## Total

Violents
Victims
Involved In Violence
$95 \quad 100.0 \quad 104 \quad 100.0$

$$
72 \quad 100.0
$$

| 35 | 36.8 | 33 | 31.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47 | 49.5 | 48 | 46.2 |
| 50 | 52.6 | 55 | 52.9 |

Killers
Killed
Involved In Killing

| 7 | 7.4 | 12 | 11.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2.1 | 7 | 6.7 |
| 7 | 7.4 | 16 | 15.4 |


| Character V-Scone | 60.0 | 68.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Violents : Victims | -1.34 | -1.45 |
| Killers $:$ Killed | +3.50 | +1.71 |

$65 \quad 100.0$. 9

| 17 | 26.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 36.9 |
| 28 | 43.1 |

$98 \quad 100.0 \quad 106 \quad 100.0$
100.0
$47 \cdot 48.0$

| $106 \quad 100.0 \quad 42 \quad 100.0$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | 32.1 |


| 4 | 6.2 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3.1 | 1 |
| 5 | 7.7 | 18 |

$\begin{array}{ccc}50.8 & 81.6 & 16\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-1.29 \\
+1.38
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-1.19 \\
+0.00
\end{array}
$$

| 25 | 34.7 | 15 | 34.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$626 \quad 100.0$
22736.3
$\begin{array}{ll}227 & 36.3 \\ 288 & 46.0\end{array}$
$60 \quad 9.6$
$\begin{array}{ll}60 & 9.6 \\ 33 & 5.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}33 \\ 79 & 12.6\end{array}$ 65.5

## CANNOT CODE MARITAL STATUS

 TotalViolents
Victims
Involved In Violence
Killens
Killed
Involved In Killing
Character V-Score
Violents : Victims
Killers : Killed
$48 \quad 100.0 \quad 59 \quad 100.0 \quad 1$

| 48 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | 81.3 | 38 | 64.4 |


|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 21 | 31.8 | 18 | 25.7 | 12 | 29.3 | 19 | 26.8 | 12 | 21.4 | 21 | 50.0 | 34. | 37.0 | 12 | 22.6 | 149 | 30.3 |
| Victims | 27 | 40.9 | 30 | 42.9 | 17 | 41.5 | 32 | 45.1 | 24 | 42.9 | 24 | 57.1 | 35 | 38.0 | 25 | 47.2 | 214 | 43.6 |
| Involved In violence | 34 | 51.5 | 36 | 51.4 | 24 | 58.5 | 37 | 52.1 | 29 | 51.8 | 28 | 66.7 | 47 | 51.1 | 29 | 54.7 | 264 | 53.8 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.6 | 3 | $\therefore 5.4$ | 3 | 7.1 | 1 | 1. 1 | 1 | 1.9 | 13 | 2.6 |
| Killed | 3 | 4.5 | 2 | 2.9 | 2 | 4.9 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 2.0 |
| Involved in killing | 3 | 4.5 | 3 | 4.3 | 2 | 4.9 | 4 | 5.6 | 3 | 5.4 | 5 | 11.9 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.9 | 22 | 4.5 |
| Character V-Score |  | 56.1 |  | 55.7 |  | 63.4 |  | 57.7 |  | 57.1 |  | 78.6 |  | 52.2 |  | 56.6 |  | 58.2 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.29$ |  | $-1.67$ |  | $-1.42$ |  | $-1.68$ |  | -2.00 |  | $-1.14$ |  | -1.03 |  | -2.08 |  | 1.44 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $+4.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.30 |
| MARRIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 54 | 100.0 | 61 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 2 B | 100.0 | 361 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 12 | 22.2 | 8 | 13.1 | 5 | 12.2 | 14 | 25.9 | 9 | 17.6 | 7 | 33.3 | 11 | 21.6 | 5 | 17.9 | 71 | 19.7 |
| Victims | 15 | 27.8 | 11 | 18.0 | 8 | 19.5 | 15 | 27.8 | 9 | 17.6 | 5 | 23.8 | 13 | 25.5 | 13 | 46.4 | 89 | 24.7 |
| Involved In violence | 18 | 33.3 | 16 | 26.2 | 10 | 24.4 | 22 | 40.7 | 14 | 27.5 | 8 | 38.1 | 16 | 31.4 | 14 | 50.0 | 118 | 32.7 |
| Killers | 1. | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 7.1 | 10 | 2.8 |
| Killed | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 5.6 | 2 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7. 1 | 9 | 2.5 |
| Involved in killing | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.4 | 8 | 1.4 .8 |  | $\bigcirc 3.9$ | 1. | 4.8 | 1 | 2.0 | 4 | 14.3 | 18 | 5.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 35.2 |  | 26.2 |  | 26.8 |  | 55.6 |  | 31.4. |  | 42.9 |  | 33.3 |  | 64.3 |  | 37.7 |
| Violents : Victims |  | -1.25 |  | $-1.38$ |  | $-1.60$ |  | $-1.07$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.40$ |  | $-1.18$ |  | - 2.60 |  | 1.25 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | -0.00 |  | $+1.67$ |  | - 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 1.11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 4 | 26.7 | 5 | 27.8 | 12 | 54.5 | 2 | 50.0 | 11 | 44.0 | 4 | 40.0 | 41 | 39.4 |
| Victims | 2 | 68.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 46.7 | 8 | 44.4 | 6 | 27.3 | 2 | 50.0 | 15 | 60.0 | 7 | 70.0 | 48 | 46.2 |
| Involved In Violence | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 28.6 | 7 | 46.7 | 9 | 50.0 | 13 | 59.1 | 2 | 50.0 | 16 | 64.0 | 8 | 80.0 | 59 | 56.7 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.9 |
| Character V-Score |  | 66.7 |  | 28.6 |  | 46.7 |  | 50.0 |  | 63.6 |  | 75.0 |  | 64.0 |  | 80.0 |  | 58.7 |
| Violents : Victims |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | - 1.75 |  | $-1.60$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-1.36$ |  | $-1.75$ |  | 1.17 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fali and one from the spring:
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network drainatic programs.

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the spring:
** Ine fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dranatic programs.

|  |  |  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| UPPER CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 16 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 |
|  | Violents |  | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 14.3 | 5 | 26.3 | 4 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 18.4 |
|  | Victims |  | 6 | 37.5 | 4 | 33.3 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 36.8 | 5 | 41.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 4 | 40.0 | 3 | 37.5 | 32 | 36.8 |
|  | Involved | In Violence | 6 | 37.5 | 5 | 41.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 10 | 52.6 | 6 | 50.0 . | 2 | 66.7 | 4 | 40.0 | 3 | 37.5 | 37 | 42.5 |
|  | Killers |  | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 5.7 |
|  | Killed |  | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4.6 |
|  | Involved | In killing | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 15.8 | 1. | 8.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 9.2 |
|  | Character | V-Score |  | 50.0 |  | 50.0 |  | 14.3 |  | 68.4 |  | 58.3 |  | 100.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 37.5 |  | 51.7 |
|  | Violents | : Victims |  | $-3.00$ |  | $-4.00$ |  | $1.00$ |  | $-1.40$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $2.00$ |
|  | Killers | : Killed |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $2.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $0.00$ |  | $1.25$ |
| MIXED CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 105 | 100.0 | 122 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 115 | 100.0 | 113 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 154 | 100.0 | 82 | 100.0 | 844 | 100.0 |
|  | Violents |  | 33 | 31.4 | 25 | 20.5 | 20 | 22.2 | 31 | 27.0 | 28 | 24.8 | 29 | 46.0 | 51 | 33.1 | 21 | 25.6 | 238 | 28.2 |
|  | Victims |  | 37 | 35.2 | 35 | 28.7 | 31 | 34.4 | 45 | 39.1 | 32 | 28.3 | 29 | 46.0 | 56 | 36.4 | 42 | 51.2 | 307 | 36.4 |
|  | Involved | In Violence | 47 | 44.8 | 46 | 37.7 | 40 | 44.4 | 55 | 47.8 | 47 | 41.6 | 36 | 57.1 | 72 | 46.8 | 48 | 58.5 | 391 | 46.3 |
|  | Killers |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 6.1 | 3 | 2.7 | 3 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 3 | 3.7 | 18 | 2.1 |
|  | Killed |  | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 3 | 3.3 | 3 | 2.6 | 2 | 1.8 | 3 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 15 | 1.8 |
|  | Involved | In Killing | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 9 | 7.8 | 5 | 4.4 | 6 | 9.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 5 | 6.1 | 32 | 3.8 |
|  | Character | $V-S c o r e$ |  | 45.7 |  | 39.3 |  | 47.8 |  | 55.7 |  | 46.0 |  | 66.7 |  | 47.4 |  | 64.6 |  | 50.1 |
|  | Violents | : Victims |  | $-1.12$ |  | $-1.40$ |  | 1.55 |  | -1.45 |  | $-1.14$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-1.10$ |  | -2.00 |  | 1.29 |
|  | Killers | : Killed |  | - 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | . 1.20 |
| LOWER CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 2 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 4 | 100:0 | 1 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 |
|  | Violents |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 28.0 |
|  | Victims |  | 1 | 50.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 48.0 |
|  | Involved | In Violence | 1 | 50.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 52.0 |
|  | Killers |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | Killed |  | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | Involved | In Killing | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | B. 0 |
|  | Character | V-Score |  | 100.0 |  | 75.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 33.3 |  | 75.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 60.0 |
|  | violerits | : Victims |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-3.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $-1.50$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.71 |
|  | Killers | : Killed |  | - 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fali and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 57: RISK RATIOS - RACE - ALL CHARACTERS IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  |  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ |  | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| WHITE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 428 | 100.0 | 451 | 100.0 | 294. | 100.0 | 506 | 100.0 | 494 | 100.0 | 210 | 100.0 | 463 | 100.0 | 241 | 100.0 | 3087 | 100.0 |
|  | Violents | 188 | 43.9 | 177 | 39.2 | 94 | 32.0 | 210 | 41.5 | 208 | 42.1 | 120 | 57.1 | 220 | 47.5 | 95 | 39.4 | 1312 | 42.5 |
|  | Victims | 219 | 51.2 | 207 | 45.9 | 133 | 45.2 | 274 | 54.2 | 250 | 50.6 | 124 | 59.0 | 229 | 49.5 | 128 | 53.1 | 1564 | 50.7 |
| I | Involved In Violence | 252 | 58.9 | 252 | 55.9 | 156 | 53.1 | 322 | 63.6 | 302 | 61.1 | 146 | 69.5 | 279 | 60.3 | 147 | 61.0 | 1856 | 60.1 |
|  | Killers | 23 | 5.4 | 37 | 8.2 | 16 | 5.4 | 59 | 11.7 | 41 | 8.3 | 17 | 8.1 | 27 | 5.8 | 9 | 3.7 | 229 | 7.4 |
| K | Killed | 16 | 3.7 | 15 | 3.3 | 11 | 3.7 | 32 | 6.3 | 22 | 4.5 | 5 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.2 | 5 | 2.1 | 116 | 3.8 |
|  | Involved In Killing | 34 | 7.9 | 43 | 9.5 | 22 | 7.5 | 75 | 14.8 | 57 | 11.5 | 20 | 9.5 | 31 | 6.7 | 14 | 5.8 | 296 | 9.6 |
|  | Character V-Score |  | 66.8 |  | 65.4 |  | 60.5 |  | 78.5 |  | 72.7 |  | 79.0 |  | 67.0 |  | 66.8 |  | 69.7 |
|  | Violents : Victims |  | -1.16 |  | -1.17 |  | $-1.41$ |  | $-1.30$ |  | $-1.20$ |  | $-1.03$ |  | $-1.04$ |  | $-1.35$ |  | -1.19 |
|  | Killers : Killed |  | $+1.44$ |  | + 2.47 |  | $+1.45$ |  | $+1.84$ |  | $+1.86$ |  | $+3.40$ |  | + 2.70 |  | $+1.80$ |  | 1.97 |
| OTHER RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 65 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | . 18 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 360 | 100.0 |
|  | violents | 33 | 50.8 | 18 | 43.9 | 11 | 31.4 | 23 | 38.3 | 17 | 27.4 | 7 | 38.9 | 21 | 33.9 | 4 | 23.5 | 134 | 37.2 |
|  | Victims | 46 | 70.8 | 21 | 51.2 | 21 | 60.0 | 28 | 46.7 | 26 | 41.9 | 11 | 61.1 | 19 | 30.6 | 6 | 35.3 | 178 | 49.4 |
|  | Involved In Violence | 46 | 70.8 | 26. | 63.4 | 22 | 62.9 | 30 | 50.0 | 27 | 43.5 | 12 | 66.7 | 28 | 45.2 | 7 | 41.2 | 198 | 55.0 |
|  | Killers | 2 | 3.1 | 7 | 17.1 | 3 | 8.6 | 3 | 5.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 11.1 | 3 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 6.1 |
|  | Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 17.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 3 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.9 | 13 | 3.6 |
|  | Involved In Killing | 2 | 3.1 | 10 | 24.4 | 3 | 8.6 | 3 | 5.0 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 16.7 | 3 | 4.8 | 1 | 5.9 | 28 | 7.8 |
|  | Character V-Score |  | 73.8 |  | 87.8 |  | 71.4 |  | 55.0 |  | 48.4 |  | 83.3 |  | 50.0 |  | 47.1 |  | 62.8 |
|  | Violents : Victims |  | $-1.39$ |  | $-1.17$ |  | $-1.91$ |  | $-1.22$ |  | $-1.53$ |  | $-1.57$ |  | $+1.11$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | 1.33 |
|  | Killers : Killed |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-1.50$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | - 0.00 |  | +1.69 |
| CANNOT CODE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 80 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 108 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 502 | 100.0 |
|  | Violents | 64 | B0. 0 | 39 | 65.0 | 19 | 63.3 | 39 | 62.9 | 66 | 61.1 | 49 | 79.0 | 35 | 58.3 | 27 | 67.5 | 338 | 67.3 |
|  | Victims | 68 | 85.0 | 49 | 81.7 | 19 | 63.3 | 48 | 77.4 | 83 | 76.9 | 53 | 85.5 | 44 | 73.3 | 37 | 92.5 | 401 | 19.9 |
|  | Involved In Violence | 75 | 93.7 | 52 | 86.7 | 22 | 73.3 | 54 | 87.1 | 97 | 89.8 | 59 | 95.2 | 49 | 81.7 | 39 | 97.5 | 447 | 89.0 |
|  | Killers | 2 | 2.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 6.7 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.2 |
|  | Killed | 1. | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.3 | 2 | 3.2 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.2 |
|  | Involved in killing | 2 | 2.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 6.7 | 3 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 2.0 |
|  | Character V-Score |  | 96.2 |  | 88.3 |  | 80.0 |  | 91.9 |  | 90.7 |  | 96.8 |  | B1. 7 |  | 97.5 |  | 91.0 |
|  | Violents : Victims Killers : Killed |  | 1.06 $+\quad 2.00$ |  | 1.26 $+\quad 0.00$ |  | 1.00 +2.00 |  | 1.23 -2.00 |  | 1.26 $-\quad 0.00$ |  | -1.08 -0.00 |  | 1.26 0.00 |  | 1.37 0.00 |  | 1.19 1.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -w one from the fall and ane from the spring.
** The fali 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primetime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 58: RISK RATIOS - RACE - MEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | iN | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| WHITE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 320 | 100.0 | 321 | 100.0 | 201 | 100.0 | 382 | 100.0 | 385 | 100.0 | 147 | 100.0 | 322 | 100.0 | 157 | 100.0 | 2235 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 160 | 50.0 | 151 | 47.0 | 74 | 36.8 | 174 | 45.5 | 176 | 45.7 | 91 | 61.9 | 172 | 53.4 | 75 | 47.8 | 1073 | 48.0 |
| Victims | 183 | 57.2 | 168 | 52.3 | 103 | 51.2 | 224 | 58.6 | 214 | 55.6 | 95 | 64.6 | 176 | 54.7 | 87 | 55.4 | 1250 | 55.9 |
| Involved In Violence | 207 | 64.7 | 201 | 62.6 | 117. | 58.2 | 259 | 67.8 | 250 | 64.9 | 110 | 74.8 | 21.2 | 65.8 | 100 | 63.7 | 1456 | 65.1 |
| Killers | 22 | 6.9 | 36 | 11.2 | 16 | 8.0 | 50 | 13.1 | 37 | 9.6 | 13 | 8.8 | 25 | 7.8 | 6 | $\therefore 3.8$ | 205 | 9.2 |
| Killed | 12 | 3.7 | 13 | 4.0 | 8 | 4.0 | 28 | 7.3 | 20 | 5.2 | 3 | 2.0 | 10 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.9 | 97 | 4.3 |
| Involved In killing | 30 | 9.4 | 40 | 12.5 | 19 | 9.5 | 63 | 16.5 | 51 | 13.2 | 14 | 9.5 | 29 | 9.0 | 9 | 5.7 | 255 | 11.4 |
| Character V-Score |  | 74.1 |  | 75.1 |  | 67.7 |  | 84.3 |  | 78.2 |  | 84.4 |  | 74.8 |  | 69.4 |  | 76.6 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.14$ |  | $-1.11$ |  | 1.39 |  | $-1.29$ |  | $-1.22$ |  | $-1.04$ |  | - 1.02 |  | - 1.16 |  | 1.16 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+1.83$ |  | +2.77 |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+1.79$ |  | $+1.85$ |  | $+4.33$ |  | $+2.50$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | 2.11 |
| QTHER RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 52 | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 280 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 28 | 53.8 | 18 | 47.4 | 10 | 31.3 | 21 | 48.8 | 17 | 37.8 | 7 | 41.2 | 16 | 39.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 120 | 42.9 |
| Victims | 38 | 73.1 | 20 | 52.6 | 19 | 59.4 | 23 | 53.5 | 24 | 53.3 | 11 | 64.7 | 14 | 34.1 | 4 | 33.3 | 153 | 54.6 |
| Involved In Violence | 38 | 73.1 | 25 | 65.8 | 20 | 62.5 | 25 | 58.1 | 25 | 55.6 | 12 | 70.6 | 21. | 51.2 | 5 | 41.7 | 171 | 61.1 |
| Killers | 2 | 3.8 | 7 | 18.4 | 3 | 9.4 | 3 | 7.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 11.8 | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 7.9 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 3 | 17.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 13 | 4.6 |
| Involved In killing | 2 | 3.8 | 10 | 26.3 | 3 | 9.4 | 3 | 7.0 | 3 | 6.7 | 3 | 17.6 | 3 | 7.3 | 1 | B. 3 | 28 | 10.0 |
| Character V-Scone |  | 76.9 |  | 92.1 |  | 71.9 |  | 65.1 |  | 62.2 |  | 88.2 |  | 58.5 |  | 50.0 |  | 71.1 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.36$ |  | $-1.11$ |  | $-1.90$ |  | -1.10 |  | $-1.41$ |  | $-1.57$ |  | $+1.14$ |  | 1.33 -0.00 |  | 1.27 1.69 |
| Killers : Ktlled |  | $+0.00$ |  | $1.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $1.00$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | -0.00 |  | 1.69 |
| CANNOT CODE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 69 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 92 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 423 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 56 | 81.2 | 31 | 67.4 | 17 | 63.0 | 38 | 67.9 | 55 | 59.8 | 43 | 79.6 | 29 | 58.0 | 20 | 69.0 | 289 | 68.3 |
| Victims | 60 | 87.0 | 39 | 84.8 | 17 | 63.0 | 45 | 80.4 | 71. | 77.2 | 46 | 85.2 | 37 | 74.0 | 28 | 96.6 | 343 | 81.1 |
| Involved In Violence | 66 | 95.7 | 42 | 91.3 | 20 | 74.1 | 51 | 91.1 | 83 | 90.2 | 52 | 96.3 | 41 | E2.0 | 28 | 96.6 | 383 | 90.5 |
| Killers | 2 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.4 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Killed | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 | 2 | 3.6 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Involved In Killing | 2 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.4 | 3 | 5.4 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Character V -Score |  | 98.6 |  | 91.3 |  | 81.5 |  | 96.4 |  | 91.3 |  | 96.3 |  | 82.0 |  | 96.6 |  | 92.4 |
| Violent's : Victims |  | $-1.07$ |  | $-1.26$ |  | 1.00 |  | - 1.18 |  | $-1.29$ |  | - 1.07 |  | -1.28 | - | $-1.40$ |  | 1.19 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | -2.00 |  | $-0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -m one from the fall and one from the Spring:
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primettime and one weekend morning network dranatic programs.

TABLE 59: RISK RATIOS - RACE - WOMEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| WHITE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 108 | 100.0 | 130 | 100.0 | 93 | 100.0 | 124 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 141 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 852 | 100.0 |
| Viotents | 28 | 25.9 | 26 | 20.0 | 20 | 21.5 | 36 | 29.0 | 32 | 29.4 | 29 | 46.0 | 48 | 34.0 | 20 | 23.8 | 239 | 28.1 |
| Victims | 36 | 33.3 | 39 | 30.0 | 30 | 32.3 | 50 | 40.3 | 36 | 33.0 | 29 | 46.0 | 53 | 37.6 | 41 | 48.8 | 314 | 36.9 |
| Involved In Violence | 45 | 41.7 | 51 | 39.2 | 39 | 41.9 | 63 | 50.8 | 52 | 47.7 | 36 | 57.1 | 67 | 47.5 | 47 | 56.0 | 400 | 46.9 |
| Killers | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | . 7.3 | 4 | 3.7 | 4 | 6.3 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | 3.6 | 24 | 2.8 |
| Killed | 4 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 3.2 | 4 | 3.2 | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 19 | 2.2 |
| Involved In killing | 4 | 3.7 | 3 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.2 | 12 | 9.7 | 6 | 5.5 | 6 | 9.5 | 2 | 1.4 | 5 | 6.0 | 41 | 4.8 |
| Character V-Scone |  | 45.4 |  | 41.5 |  | 45.2 |  | 60.5 |  | 53.2 |  | 66.7 |  | 48.9 |  | 61.9 |  | 51.8 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.29$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | -1.50 |  | 1.39 |  | $-1.13$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-1.10$ |  | - 2.05 |  | 1.31 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-4.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | - 2.25 |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.26 |
| OTHER RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 77 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 3 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 23.8 | 1 | 20.0 | 12 | 15.6 |
| Victims | 5 | 50.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 66.7 | 5 | 29.4 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 23.8 | 2 | 40.0 | 22 | 28.6 |
| Involved In violence | 5 | 50.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 2. | 66.7 | 5 | 29.4 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | . 0.0 | 7 | 33.3 | 2 | 40.0 | 24 | 31.2 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killed. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 50.0 |  | 33.3 |  | 66.7 |  | 29.4 |  | 11.8 |  | 0.0 |  | 33.3 |  | 40.0 |  | 31.2 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.67$ |  | $-0.00$ | - | -2.00 |  | 2.50 |  | $-0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  | $-2.00$ |  | 1.83 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| CANNOT CODE RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 3 | 100:0 | 3 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 37.0 |
| Victims | 3 | 60.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 66.7 | 5 | 83.3 | 2 | 100.0 | 15 | 55.6 |
| Involved In Violence | 4 | 80.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | . 66.7 | 5 | 83.3 | 2 | 100.0 | 17 | 63.0 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | . 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killea | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Involved in killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Character V-Score |  | 80.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 66.7. |  | 100.0 |  | 83.3 |  | 100.0 |  | 66.7 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $+1.33$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-1.67$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | 1.50 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | - 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample comsists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE GO: RISK RATIOS - TYPE - ALL CHARACTERS IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 'GOOD' (HEROES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 323 | 100.0 | 305 | 100.0 | 223 | 100.0 | 375 | 100.0 | 360 | 100.0 | 172 | 100.0 | 371 | 100.0 | 175 | 100.0 | 2304 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 136 | 42.1 | 114 | 37.4 | 67 | 30.0 | 137 | 36.5 | 136 | 37.8 | 91 | 52.9 | 147 | 39.6 | 61 | 34.9 | 889 | 38.6 |
| Victims | 168 | 52.0 | 146 | 47.9 | 102 | 45.7 | 189 | 50.4 | 172 | 47.8 | 96 | 55.8 | 171 | 46.1 | 102 | 58.3 | 1146 | 49.7 |
| Involved In violence | 192 | 59.4 | 176 | 57.7 | 117 | 52.5 | 222 | 59.2 | 212 | 58.9 | 116 | 67.4 | 202 | 54.4 | 108 | 61.7 | 1345 | 58.4 |
| Killers | 9 | 2.8 | 11 | 3.6 | 9 | 4.0 | 27 | 7.2 | 10 | 2.8 | 6 | 3.5 | 7 | - 1.9 | 3 | 1.7 | 82 | 3.6 |
| Killed | 2 | 0.6 | 5 | 1.6 | 5 | 2.2 | 7 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 2.3 | 28 | 1.2 |
| Involved In killing | 10 | 3.1 | 16 | 5.2 | 11 | 4.9 | 32 | 8.5 | 12 | 3.3 | 8 | 4.7 | 8 | 2.2 | 7 | 4.0 | 104 | 4.5 |
| Character V-Score |  | 62.5 |  | 63.0 |  | 57.4 |  | 67.7 |  | 62.2 |  | 72.1 |  | 56.6 |  | 65.7 |  | 62.9 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.24 |  | $-1.28$ |  | $-1.52$ |  | $-1.38$ |  | $-1.26$ |  | $-1.05$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-1.67$ |  | 1.29 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+4.50$ |  | +2.20 |  | $+1.80$ |  | $+3.86$ |  | $+5.00$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+7.00$ |  | $-1.33$ |  | 2.93 |
| MIXED TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 163 | 100.0 | 157 | 100.0 | 67 | 100.0 | 168 | 100.0 | 222 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 151 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 1093 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 72 | 44.2 | 55 | 35.0 | 14 | 20.9 | 78 | 46.4 | 86 | 38.7 | 51 | 68.0 | 76 | 50.3 | 38 | 42.2 | 470 | 43.0 |
| Victims | 94 | 57.7 | 64 | 40.8 | 25 | 37.3 | 96 | 57.1 | 119 | 53.6 | 59 | 78.7 | 68 | 45.0 | 48 | 53.3 | 573 | 52.4 |
| Involved In Violence | 103 | 63.2 | 78 | 49.7 | 29 | 43.3 | 111 | 66.1 | 137 | 61.7 | 63 | 84.0 | 93 | 61.6 | 57 | 63.3 | 671 | 61.4 |
| Killers | 8 | 4.9 | 12 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 6.0 | 8 | 3.6 | 6 | 8.0 | 10 | 6.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 57 | 5.2 |
| Killed | 6 | 3.7 | 8 | 5.1 | 1 | 1.5 | 11 | 6.5 | 11 | 5.0 | 2 | 2.7 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 2.2 | 43 | 3.9 |
| Involved In Killing | 12 | 7.4 | 15 | 9.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 18 | 10.7 | 16 | 7.2 | 7 | 9.3 | 11 | 7.3 | 5 | 5.6 | 85 | 7.8 |
| Character V-Score |  | 70.6 |  | 59.2 |  | 44.8 |  | 76.8 |  | 68.9 |  | 93.3 |  | 68.9 |  | 68.9 |  | 69.2 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.31 |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-1.79$ |  | $-1.23$ |  | $-1.38$ |  | - 1.16 |  | $+1.12$ |  | $-1.26$ |  | 1.22 |
| Killers : Killed |  | +1.33 |  | +1.50 |  | - 0.00 |  | $-1.10$ |  | $-1.38$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+5.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.33 |
| 'BAD' (VILLAINS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 87 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 82 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 550 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 77 | 88.5 | 65 | 72.2 | 43 | 63.2 | 56 | 66.7 | 69 | 84.1 | 34 | 79.1 | 53 | 84.1 | 27 | 81.8 | 42.4 | 77.1 |
| Victims | 71 | 81.6 | 67 | 74.4 | 46 | 67.6 | 65 | 77.4 | 68 | 82.9 | 33 | 76.7 | 53 | 84.1 | 21 | 63.6 | 424 | 77.1 |
| Involved In Violence | 78 | 89.7 | 76 | 84.4 | 54 | 79.4 | 72 | 85.7 | 77 | 93.9 | 38 | 88.4 | 61 | 96.8 | 28 | 84.8 | 484 | 88.0 |
| Killers | 10 | 11.5 | 22 | 24.4 | 12 | 17.6 | 26 | 31.0 | 25 | 30.5 | 7 | 16.3 | 13 | 20.6 | 3 | 9.1 | 118 | 21.5 |
| Killed | 9 | 10.3 | 9 | 10.0 | 6 | 8.8 | 16 | 19.0 | 12 | 14.6 | 5 | 11.6 | 7 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 64 | 11.6 |
| Involved In Killing | 16 | 18.4 | 23 | 25.6 | 15 | 22.1 | 31 | 36.9 | 33 | 40.2 | 9 | 20.9 | 15 | 23.8 | 3 | 9.1 | 145 | 26.4 |
| Character Vi-Score |  | 108.0 |  | 110.0 |  | 101.5 |  | 122.6 |  | 134.1 |  | 109.3 |  | 120.6 |  | 93.9 |  | 114.4 |
| Violent"s : Victims |  | $+1.08$ |  | $-1.03$ |  | $-1.07$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | $+1.01$ |  | $+1.03$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.29$ |  | 1.00 |
| Killers : Killed |  | +1.11 |  | $+2.44$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+1.63$ |  | $+2.08$ |  | $+1.40$ |  | $+1.86$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.84 |



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 'GOOD' (HEROES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 240 | 100.0 | 216 | 100.0 | 160 | 100.0 | 280 | 100.0 | 282 | 100.0 | 131 | 100.0 | 244 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 | 1659 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 115 | 47.9 | 97 | 44.9 | 55 | 34.4 | 120 | 42.9 | 118 | 41.8 | 74 | 56.5 | 109 | 44.7 | 44 | 41.5 | 732 | 44.1 |
| Victims | 139 | 57.9 | 115 | 53.2 | 77 | 48.1 | 157 | 56.1 | 153 | 54.3 | 81 | 61.8 | 126 | 51.6 | 63 | 59.4 | 911 | 54.9 |
| Involved In violence | 156 | 65.0 | 139 | 64.4 | 88 | 55.0 | 183 | 65.4 | 181 | 64.2 | 98 | 74.8 | 145 | 59.4 | 67 | 63.2 | 1057 | 63.7 |
| Killers | 9 | 3.7 | 11 | 5.1 | 9 | 5.6 | 26. | 9.3 | 10 | 3.5 | 3 | 2.3 | 7 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 77 | 4.6 |
| Killed | 1 | 0.4 | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.9 | 6 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.4 | 2 | 1.9 | 20 | 1. 2 |
| Involved In Killing | 9 | 3.7 | 14 | 6.5 | - 9 | 5.6 | 30 | 10.7 | 12 | 4.3 | 5 | 3.8 | 8 | 3.3 | 4 | 3.8 | 91 | 5.5 |
| Character V-Score |  | 68.7 |  | 70.8 |  | 60.6 |  | 76.1 |  | 68.4 |  | 78.6 |  | 62.7 |  | 67.0 |  | 69.2 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.21$ |  | - 1.19 |  | $-1.40$ |  | - 1.31 |  | $-1.30$ |  | - 1.09 |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-1.43$ |  | $-1.24$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+9.00$ |  | $+3.67$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | $+4.33$ |  | $+5.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | $+7.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | + 3.85 |
| MIXED TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 121 | 100.0 | 110 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 | 130 | 100.0 | 169 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 115 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 807 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 59 | 48.8 | 45 | 40.9 | 9 | 19.1 | 65 | 50.0 | 70 | 41.4 | 38 | 73.1 | 63 | 54.8 | 30 | 47.6 | 379 | 47.0 |
| Victims | 77 | 63.6 | 52 | 47.3 | 21 | 44.7 | 79 | 60.8 | 95 | 56.2 | 43 | 82.7 | 56 | 48.7 | 37 | 58.7 | 460 | 57.0 |
| Involved In Violence | 84 | 69.4 | 62 | 56.4 | 22 | 46.8 | 91 | 70.0 | 109 | 64.5 | 45 | 86.5 | 77 | 67.0 | 41 | 65.1 | 531 | 65.8 |
| Killers | 7 | 5.8 | 11 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 6.2 | 5 | 3.0 | 5 | 9.6 | 10 | 8.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 47 | 5.8 |
| Killed | 3 | 2.5 | 8 | 7.3 | 1 | 2.1 | 10 | 7.7 | 10 | - 5.9 | 1 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.2 | 37 | 4.6 |
| Involved In Killing | 9 | 7.4 | 14 | 12.7 | 1 | 2.1 | 15 | 11.5 | 12 | 7.1 | 5 | 9.6 | 11 | 9.6 | 3 | 4.8 | 70 | 8.7 |
| Character V-Score |  | 76.9 |  | 69.1 |  | 48.9 |  | 81.5 |  | 71.6 |  | 96.2 |  | 76.5 |  | 69.8 |  | 74.5 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.31$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | $-2.33$ |  | $-1.22$ |  | $-1.36$ |  | $-1.13$ |  | $+1.13$ |  | $-1.23$ |  | $-1.21$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+1.38$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | -2.00 |  | $+5.00$ |  | $+5.00$ |  | $-2.00$ |  | + 1.27 |
| 'BAD' (VILLAINS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | BO | 100.0 | 79 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 471 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 70 | 87.5 | 5 A | 73.4 | 37 | 69.8 | 47 | 67.1 | 60 | 84.5 | 29 | 82.9 | 45 | 83.3 | 24 | 82.8 | 370 | 78.6 |
| victims | 65 | B1. 2 | 60 | 75.9 | 4.1 | 77.4 | 56 | 80.0 | 61 | 85.9 | 28 | 80.0 | 45 | 83.3 | 19 | 65.5 | 375 | 79.6 |
| Involved In Violence | 71 | 88.7 | 67 | 84.8 | 47 | 88.7 | 60 | 85.7 | 68 | 95.8 | 31 | 88.6 | 52 | 96.3 | 25 | 86.2 | 421 | 89.4 |
| Killers | 10 | 12.5 | 21 | 26.6 | 12 | 22.6 | 20 | 28.6 | 24 | 33.8 | 7 | 20.0 | 11 | 20.4 | 3 | 10.3 | 108 | 22.9 |
| Killed | 9 | 11.2 | 9 | 11.4 | 5 | 9.4 | 14 | 20.0 | 11 | 15.5 | 3 | 8.6 | 7 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 58 | 12.3 |
| Involved In Killing | 16 | 20.0 | 22 | 27.8 | 14 | 26.4 | 24 | 34.3 | 31 | 43.7 | 7 | 20.0 | 13 | 24.1 | 3 | 10.3 | 130 | 27.6 |
| Character V-Score |  | 108.7 |  | 112.7 |  | 115.1 |  | 120.0 |  | 139.4 |  | 108.6 |  | 120.4 |  | 96.6 |  | 117.0 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $+1.08$ | - | $-1.03$ | $\cdots$ | $-1.11$ |  | $-1.19$ | - | - 1.02 |  | $+1.04$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.26$ |  | $-1.01$ |
| Killers: Killed |  | $+1.11$ |  | $+2.33$ |  | $+2.40$ |  | $+1.43$ |  | +2.18 |  | $+2.33$ |  | + 1.57 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.86$ |


| TABLE |  | ISK RA | S | TYPE | ME | IN |  | ROGRAMS |  |  |  |  |  | CON | NUE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | : 973 |  | total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| CANNOT CODE TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1. | 100.0 |
| Victims | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved In Violence | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Violents : Victims |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |
| Killers : Killed. |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


## TABLE 62: RISK RATIOS - TYPE - WOMEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 'GOOD' (HEROES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 79 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 92 | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 124 | 100.0 | 65 | 100.0 | 622 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 20 | 25.3 | 14 | 16.5 | 11 | 17.7 | 16 | 17.4 | 16 | 21.6 | 17 | 41.5 | 36 | 29.0 | 14 | 21.5 | 144 | 23.2 |
| Victims | 26 | 32.9 | 28 | 32.9 | 24 | 38.7 | 29 | 31.5 | 16 | 21.6 | 15 | 36.6 | 44 | 35. 5 | 36 | 55.4 | 218 | 35.0 |
| Involved In Violence | 33 | 41.8 | 34 | 40.0 | 28 | 45,2 | 36 | 39.1 | 28 | 37.8 | 18 | 43.9 | 55 | 44.4 | 37 | 56.9 | 269 | 43.2 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1. | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.8 |
| Killed | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 2.4 | 2 | 3.2 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.1 | 8 | 1.3 |
| Involved In Killing | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 2.4 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.6 | 13 | 2.1 |
| Character V-Score |  | 43.0 |  | 42.4 |  | 48.4 |  | 41.3 |  | 37.8 |  | 51.2 |  | 44.4 |  | 61.5 |  | 45.3 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.30$ |  | -2.00 |  | - 2.18 |  | - 1.81 |  | 1.00 |  | $+1.13$ |  | $-1.22$ |  | $-2.57$ |  | $-1.51$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | - 0.00 |  | - 0.00 |  | $-0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | - 2.00 |  | $-1.60$ |
| MIXED TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 262 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 9 | 23.7 | 8 | 17.8 | 5 | 25.0 | 13 | 35.1 | 9 | 20.0 | 9 | 47.4 | 12 | 34.3 | 5 | 21.7 | 70 | 26.7 |
| Victims | 13 | 34.2 | 10 | 22.2 | 4 | 20.0 | 17 | 45.9 | 17 | 37.8 | 12 | 63.2 | 11 | 31.4 | 8 | 34.8 | 92 | 35.1 |
| Involved In Violence | 15 | 39.5 | 14 | 31.1 | 7 | 35.0 | 20 | 54.1 | 20 | 44.4 | 14 | 73.7 | 15 | 42.9 | 12 | 52.2 | 117 | 44.7 |
| Killers | , | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.4 | 3 | 6.7 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 9 | 3.4 |
| Killed | 3 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1. | 2.7 | 1 | 2.2 | 1. | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 2.3 |
| Involved In Killing | 3 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 8.1 | 4 | 8.9 | 2 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 14 | 5.3 |
| Character V-Score |  | 47.4 |  | 31.1 |  | 35.0 |  | 62.2 |  | 53.3 |  | 84.2 |  | 42.9 |  | 60.9 |  | 50.0 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.44$ |  | $-1.25$ |  | $+1.25$ |  | $-1.31$ |  | $-1.89$ |  | $-1.33$ |  | $+1.09$ |  | $-1.60$ |  | $-1.31$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $-3.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+3.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |
| 'BAD' (VILLAINS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 |
| violents | 6 | 100.0 | 5 | 62.5 | 5 | 35.7 | 9 | 64.3 | 8 | 80.0 | 4 | 57.1 | 8 | 88.9 | 2 | 66.7 | 47 | 66:2 |
| Victims | 5 | 83.3 | 4 | 50.0 | 4 | 28.6 | 9 | 64.3 | 6 | 60.0 | 4 | 57.1 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 33.3 | 41 | 57.7 |
| Involved In Violence | 6 | 100.0 | 6 | 75.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 12 | 85.7 | 8 | 80.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 9 | 100.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 55 | 77.5 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 14.1 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | $7 \cdot 1$ | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 10.0 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 8.5 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 7 | 50.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 2 | 28.6 | 2 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 21.1 |
| Character V-Score |  | 100.0 |  | 87.5 |  | 50.0 |  | 135.7 |  | 100.0 |  | 114.3 |  | 122.2 |  | 66.7 |  | 98.6 |
| Violents : Victims Killers : Killed |  | 1.20 +0.00 |  | +1.25 +0.00 |  | 1.25 +0.00 |  | 1.00 +3.00 |  | 1.33 +1.00 |  | 1.00 -0.00 |  | 1.00 +0.00 |  | 2.00 +0.00 |  | + 1.15 +1.67 |



* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primewtime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 69-70 \\ & N \end{aligned}$ |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| U.S. NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Violents | 158 | 40.4 | 169 | 39.5 | 88 | 29.7 | 221 | 41.6 | 222 | 42.0 | 114 | 54.5 | 206 | 44.4 | 94 | 37.3 | 1272 | 41.0 |
|  | Victims | 186 | 47.6 | 195. | 45.6 | 129 | 43.6 | 291 | 54.8 | 265 | 50.1 | 118 | 56.5 | 209 | 45.0 | 134 | 53.2 | 1527 | 49.3 |
|  | Involved In Violence | 215 | 55.0 | 236 | 55.1 | 148 | 50.0 | 333 | 62.7 | 315 | 59.5 | 141 | 67.5 | 262 | 56.5 | 152 | 60.3 | 1802 | 58.1 |
|  | Killers | 18 | 4.6 | 35 | 8.2 | 19 | 6.4 | 58 | 10.9 | 43 | 8.1 | 17 | 8.1 | 27 | 5.8 | 6 | 2.4 | 223 | 7.2 |
|  | Killed | 10 | 2.6 | 13 | 3.0 | 10 | 3.4 | 30 | 5.6 | 24 | 4.5 | 5 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.2 | 6 | 2.4 | 108 | 3.5 |
|  | Involved In Killing | 26 | 6.6 | 41 | 9.6 | 24 | 8.1 | 73 | 13.7 | 60 | 11.3 | 20 | 9.6 | 31 | 6.7 | 12 | 4.8 | 287 | 9.3 |
|  | Character V-score |  | 61.6 |  | 64.7 |  | 58.1 |  | 76.5 |  | 70.9 |  | 77.0 |  | 63.1 |  | 65.1 |  | 67.4 |
|  | Violents : Victims |  | 1.18 |  | -1.15 |  | -1.47 |  | - 1.32 |  | -1.19 |  | $-1.04$ |  | -1.01 |  | -1.43 |  | $-1.20$ |
|  | Killers : Killed |  | 1.80 |  | $+2.69$ |  | +1.90 |  | 1.93 |  | $+1.79$ |  | $+3.40$ |  | $+2.70$ |  | 1.00 |  | 2.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Violents | 56 | 60.9 | 24 | 41.4 | 7 | 53.8 | 18 | 48.6 | 11 | 33.3 | 6 | 54.5 | 9 | 45.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 131 | 49.6 |
|  | Victims | 72 | 78.3 | 33 | 56.9 | 12 | 92.3 | 17 | 45.9 | 19 | 57.6 | 10 | 90.9 | 9 | 45.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 172 | 65.2 |
|  | Involved in violence | 75 | 81.5 | 39 | 67.2 | 12 | 92.3 | 24 | 64.9 | 22 | 66.7 | 10 | 90.9 | 12 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 194 | 73.5 |
|  | Killers | 7 | 7.6 | 7 | 12.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 8.0 |
|  | Killed | 5 | 5.4 | 7 | 12.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 27.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 6.1 |
|  | Involved In Killing | 9 | 9.8 | 10 | 17.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 27.3 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 10.6 |
|  | Character V-score |  | 91.3 |  | 84.5 |  | 92.3 |  | 75.7 |  | 66.7 |  | 118.2 |  | 70.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 84.1 |
|  | Violents : Victims |  | 1.29 |  | -1.38 |  | $-1.71$ |  | $+1.06$ |  | 1.73 |  | $-1.67$ |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 1.31 |
|  | Killers : Killed |  | 1.40 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+3.00$ |  | 0,00 |  | 1.50 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.31 |
| CANNOT CODE NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Violents | 71 | 78.9 |  | 62.1 | 29 | 58.0 | 33 | 55.0 | 58 | 56.9 | 56 | 80.0 | 61 | 60.4 | 32 | 69.6 | 381 | 65.1 |
|  | Victims. | 75 | 83.3 | 49 | 74.2 | 32 | 64.0 | 42 | 70.0 | 75 | 73.5 | 60 | 85.7 | 74 | 73.3 | 37 | 80.4 | 444 | 75.9 |
|  | Involved In Violence | 83 | 92.2 | 55 | 83.3 | 40 | 80.0 | 49 | 81.7 | 89 | 87.3 | 66 | 94.3 | 82 | 81.2 | 41 | 89.1 | 505 | ถิ์. 3 |
|  | Killers | 2 | 2.2 | 3 | 4.5 | 2 | 4.0 | 2 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 6.5 | 13 | 2.2 |
|  | Killed | 2 | 2.2 | 2 | 3.0 | 2 | 4.0 | 3 | 5.0 | $i$ | 1.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 1.9 |
|  | Involved In Killing | 3 | 3.3 | 3 | - 4.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 6.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 6.5 | 19 | 3.2 |
|  | Character V-Score |  | 95.6 |  | 87.9 |  | 86.0 |  | 88.3 |  | 88.2 |  | 95.7 |  | 82.2 |  | 95.7 |  | 89.6 |
|  | Violent's : Victims <br> Killers : Killed |  | 1.06 1.00 |  | 1.20 +1.50 |  | 1.10 -1.00 |  | 1.27 -1.50 |  | 1.29 $-\quad 0.00$ |  | 1.07 -0.00 |  | 1.21 +0.00 |  | 1.16 +0.00 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.17 \\ +\quad 1.18 \end{array}$ |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

TABLE 64: RISK RATIOS - NATIONALITY - MEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | toral |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| U.S. NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 293 | 100.0 | 309 | 100.0 | 210 | 100.0 | 406 | 100.0 | 413 | 100.0 | 148 | 100.0 | 319 | 100.0 | 165 | 100.0 | 2263 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 135 | 46.1 | 146 | 47.2 | 74 | 35.2 | 188 | 46.3 | 195 | 47.2 | 86 | 58.1 | 159 | 49.8 | 74 | 44.8 | 1057 | 46.7 |
| Victims | 155 | 52.9 | 160 | 51.8 | 103 | 49.0 | 239 | 58.9 | 229 | 55.4 | 91 | 61.5 | 160 | 50.2 | 93 | 56.4 | 1230 | 54.4 |
| Involved In Violence | 177 | 60.4 | 190 | 61.5 | 116 | 55.2 | 272 | 67.0 | 267 | 64.6 | 107 | 72.3 | 197 | 61.8 | 105 | 63.6 | 1431 | 63.2 |
| Killers | 18 | 6.1 | 34 | 11.0 | 19 | 9.0 | 50 | 12.3 | 39 | 9.4. | 13 | 8.8 | 26 | 8.2 | 4 | 2.4 | 203 | 9.0 |
| Killed | 7 | 2.4 | 11 | 3.6 | 8 | 3.8 | 26 | 6.4 | 22 | 5.3 | 3 | 2.0 | 10 | 3.1 | 4 | 2.4 | 91 | 4.0 |
| Involved In killing | 23 | 7.8 | 38 | 12.3 | 22 | 10.5 | 62 | 15.3 | 54 | 13.1 | 14 | 9.5 | 30 | 9.4 | 8 | 4.8 | 251 | 11.1 |
| Character V-Score |  | 68.3 |  | 73.8 |  | 65.7 |  | 82.3 |  | 77.7 |  | 81.8 |  | 71.2 |  | 68.5 |  | 74.3 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.15$ |  | $-1.10$ |  | $-1.39$ |  | $-1.27$ |  | $-1.17$ |  | - 1.06 |  | $-1.01$ |  | $-1.26$ |  | $-1.16$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+2.57$ |  | + 3.09 |  | $+2.38$ |  | $+1.92$ |  | $+1.77$ |  | $+4.33$ |  | $+2.60$ |  | 1.00 |  | 2.23 |
| QTHER NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 72 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 203 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 48 | 66.7 | 22 | 46.8 | 6 | 66.7 | 15 | 53.6 | 8 | 33.3 | 6 | 66.7 | 9 | 64.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 114 | 56.2 |
| Victims | 60 | 83.3 | 30 | 63.8 | 9 | 100.0 | 15 | 53.6 | 16 | 66.7 | 9 | 100.0 | 8 | 57.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 147 | 72.4 |
| Involved In violence | 62 | 86.1 | 35 | 74.5 | 9 | 100.0 | 20 | 71.4 | 18 | 75.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 11 | 78.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 164 | 80.8 |
| Kiluers | 6 | 8.3 | 7 | 14.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 9.4 |
| Killed | 4 | 5.6 | 7 | 14.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 7.4 |
| Involved In Killing | 8 | 11.1 | 10 | 21.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 10.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 12.8 |
| Character V-Score |  | 97.2 |  | 95.7 |  | 100.0 |  | 82.1 |  | 75.0 |  | 133.3 |  | 92.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 93.6 |
| Violents : Victims |  | - 1.25 |  | $-1.36$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | $+1.13$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.29 |
| Killers : Killed |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | $-1.50$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | + 1.27 |
| CANNOT CODE NATIUNALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 76 | 100.0 | 49 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 | 85 | 100:0 | 61 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 472 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 61 | 80.3 | 32 | 65.3 | 21 | 51.2 | 30 | 63.8 | 45 | 52.9 | 49 | 80.3 | 49 | 61.2 | 24 | 72.7 | 311 | 65.9 |
| Victims | 66 | 86.8 | 37 | 75.5 | 27 | 65.9 | 38 | 80.9 | 64 | 75.3 | 52 | 85.2 | 59 | 73.7 | 26 | 78.8 | 369 | 78.2 |
| Involved In Violence | 72 | 94.7 | 43 | 87.8 | 32 | 78.0 | 43 | 91.5 | 73 | 85.9 | 58 | 95.1 | 66 | 82.5 | 28 | 84.8 | 415 | 87.9 |
| Killers | 2 | 2.6 | 2 | 4.1 | 2 | 4.9 | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.1 | 10 | 2.1 |
| Killed | 2 | 2.6 | 2 | 4.1 | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 6.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 1.9 |
| Involved In killing | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | 4.1 | 2 | 4.9 | 4 | 8.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.1 | 14 | 3.0 |
| Character V-Score |  | 98.7 |  | 91.8 |  | 82.9 |  | 100.0 |  | 87.1 |  | 95.1 |  | 82.5 |  | 90.9 |  | 90.9 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.08$ |  | $-1.16$ |  | - 1.29 |  | $-1.27$ |  | $-1.42$ |  | $-1.06$ |  | $-1.20$ |  | $-1.08$ |  | $-1.19$ |
| Killers : Killed |  | $1.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | $+2.00$ |  | -1.50 |  | -0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | +1.11 |

* These figures are based upon two samples - one from the fall and one from tha spring.
** The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morntng network dramatic programs.

TABLE 65: RISK RATIOS - NATIONALITY - WOMEN IN ALL PROGRAMS

|  | 69-70 |  | 71-72 |  | 1973 |  | 74-75* |  | 75-76* |  | 1976 |  | 1977** |  | 1978 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| U.S. NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 95 | 100.0 | 118 | 100.0 | 86 | 100.0 | 124 | 100.0 | 111 | 100.0 | 61 | 100.0 | 145 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 | 827 | 100.0 |
| Violents | 21 | 22.1 | 22 | 18.6 | 14 | 16.3 | 33 | 26.6 | 24 | 21.6 | 28 | 45.9 | 47 | 32.4 | 20 | 23.0 | 209 | 25.3 |
| Victims | 28 | 29.5 | 34 | 28.8 | 26 | 30.2 | 52 | 41.9 | 32 | 28.8 | 27 | 44.3 | 49 | 33.8 | 41 | 47.1 | 289 | 34.9 |
| Involved In Violence | 35 | 36.8 | 45 | 38.1 | 32 | 37.2 | 61 | 49.2 | 44 | 39.6 | 34 | 55.7 | 65 | 44.8 | 47 | 54.0 | 363 | 43.9 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 6.5 | 4 | 3.6 | 4 | 6.6 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.3 | 20 | 2.4 |
| Killed | 3 | 3.2 | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 2.3 | 4 | 3.2 | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.3 | 17 | 2.1 |
| Involved In killing | 3 | 3.2 | 3 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.3 | 11 | 8.9 | 6 | 5.4 | 6 | 9.8 | 1 | 0.7 | 4 | 4.6 | 36 | 4.4 |
| Character V-Score |  | 40.0 |  | 40.7 |  | 39.5 |  | 58.1 |  | 45.0 |  | 65.6 |  | 45.5 |  | 58.6 |  | 48.2 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.33$ |  | $-1.55$ |  | $-1.86$ |  | $-1.58$ |  | $-1.33$ |  | $+1.04$ |  | $-1.04$ |  | $-2.05$ |  | 1.38 |
| Killers : Killed |  | - 0.00 |  | $-2.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+2.00$ |  | $+0.00$ |  | 1.00 |  | 1.18 |
| QTHER NATIONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 61. | 100.0 |
| Violents | 8 | 40.0 | 2 | 18.2 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 27.9 |
| Victims | 12 | 60.0 | 3 | 27.3 | 3 | 75.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 3 | 33.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 41.0 |
| Involved In Violence | 13 | 65.0 | 4 | 36.4 | 3 | 75.0 | 4 | 44.4 | 4 | 44.4 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 49.2 |
| Killers | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| Killed | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.6 |
| Involved In killing | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| Character V-Score |  | 70.0 |  | 36.4 |  | 75.0 |  | 55.6 |  | 44.4 |  | 50.0 |  | 16.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 52.5 |
| Violents : Victims |  | $-1.50$ |  | $-1.50$ |  | $-3.00$ |  | $+1.50$ |  | 1.00 |  | $-0.00$ |  | $-0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 1.47 |
| Killers : Killed |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | $+0.00$ |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Violents | 6 | 75.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 6 | 85.7 | 2 | 20.0 | 6 | 66.7 | 2 | 50.0 | 9 | 52.9 | 1 | 25.0 | 35 | 51.5 |
| Victims | 4 | 50.0 | 5 | 55.6 | 3 | 42.9 | 1 | 10.0 | 4 | 44.4 | 3 | 75.0 | 13 | 76.5 | 4 | 100.0 | 37 | 54.4 |
| Involved In Violence | 6 | 75.0 | 5 | 55.6 | 6 | 85.7 | 3 | 30.0 | 8 | 88.9 | 3 | 75.0 | 13 | 76.5 | 4 | 100.0 | 48 | 70.6 |
| Killers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.9 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 2.9 |
| Killed | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.9 |
| Involved In Killing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 5.9 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 5.9 |
| Character V-Scone |  | 75.0 |  | 55.6 |  | 100.0 |  | 30.0 |  | $\therefore 88.9$ |  | 100.0 |  | 82.4 |  | 125.0 |  | 76.5 |
| Violents : Victims Killens : Killed |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.50 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | -1.67 0.00 |  | 2.00 $+\quad 0.00$ |  | 2.00 +0.00 |  | 1.50 +0.00 |  | -1.50 -0.00 |  | 1.44 $+\quad 0.00$ |  | 4.00 +0.00 |  | 1.06 1.00 |

* These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.


[^0]:    * The formula for the Index is: percent of programs containing any violence plus twice the rate of violent incidents per program plus twice the rate of violent incidents per hour plus the percent of characters involved in any violence plus the percent of characters involved in killing.

[^1]:    1 These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years.
    2 These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.
    3 The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekendmorning sample of network dramatic programs.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning sample of network dramatic programs.

[^3]:    Risk Ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of these two yoles by the less numerous within each group. A plus sign indicates that there are more violents or killers than victims or killed and a mintis siga indicates that there are more victims or killed than violents or killers. A ratio of 0.00 means that there were no victins or killers or violents or killed. A to. 00 ratio means that there were some violents or killers but no victims or killed; a -0.00 ratifemen that there were victims or killed but no violents or killers.

[^4]:    1 Risk Ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of these two roles by the less numerous within each group. A plus sign indicates that there are more violents or killers than victims or killed and a minus si gn indicates that there are more victims or killed than

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Risk Ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of these two roles by the less numerous within each group. A plus sign indicates that there are more violents or killers than victims or killed and a minus sign indicates that there are more victims or killed than

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thirty percent of all prime-time television characters (1969-76) were involved in violence; in real life, .41 violent crimes occur per hundred people (Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1974).

    2 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV - including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

    3
    Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    $* * p \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New Jersey School
    Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Ouestionnaire
    Question (CHCSVI3B): "Think about the number of people who are involved in some kind of violence each week. Do you think that one person out of eyery 100 is involved in some kind of violence in any given week, or is it closer to 10 people out of every 100 ?"

[^7]:    1
    In prime-time television programs (1969-1978), 17.5 percent of all major characters counnitted a criminal act (a crime is an action recognized as such in the program or a gross criminal act such as murder, rape and kidnapping); in real life, about one percent of the population commits serious crimes (like murder, rape, burglary, etc.) (Statistical Abstracts of the United states, 1974).

    2 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV. -including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

    3
    Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tav)
    $\stackrel{*}{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New Jersey School
    Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question CRINLHA): "About what percent of all people commit serious crimes - is it closer to 3 percent or 12 percent?"

[^8]:    I "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afterncon, and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

    2
    Those who perceive themselves as members of a special group of Americans -- sucin as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
    ${ }^{*}{ }_{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New Jersey School
    Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
    Method: Seif-Administertd Questionnaire
    Ouestion (FEARWK3B): "Tould you be afraid to walk alone in a city at night?"

[^9]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?"

    Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours

    2 A median split measured by the Hollingshead scale of occupational and educational status for the father
    ${ }^{*}{ }_{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    $* \mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New York School
    Interview Date: June 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Ouestionnaire
    Question (FEARTALK): Would you be afraid to walk alone in a city at night?"

[^10]:    I "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours

    2 A medin split measured by the Hollingshead scale of ocoupational and educational status for the father
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    $\stackrel{f}{n} p \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New York School
    Interview Date: June 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (FEARNBHD): "Are you afraid to walk alone in your own neighborhood at night?"

[^11]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV ... including morning, afternoon, and evening?" light: less than 4 hours Heavy: 4 hours and more

    2 Those who perceive thenselves as members of a special group of Amaricans -- such as Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americens, etc.
    ${ }^{*} p \leq .05$ (tau)
    ** $\mathrm{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New Jersey School
    Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (CAREFT3B): "Can most people be trusted, or do you think that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?"

[^12]:    I "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: four hours and more

    2
    Those who perceive themselves as members of'a special group of Americans -- such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Anericans, etc.

    * $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

    Data Source: New Jersey School
    Interview Date: December 1976; May 1977
    Method; Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (HETPYU3B): ${ }^{1 W}$ Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?"

[^13]:    *See, for example, Albert Bandura, Dorothea Ross and Sheila Ross, "Transmission of Aggression through Imitation of Aggressive Models," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1967, 63, pp. 575-582; Albert Bandura, Dorothea Ross and Sheila Ross, "Imitation of Film-Mediated Aggression Models," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1963, 66, pp. 3-11; Glenn Thomas Ellis and Francis Sekura III, "The Effect of Aggressive Cartoons on the Behavior of First Grade Children," Journal of Psychology, 1972, 81, pp. 7-43; O.I. Lovas, "Effect of Exposure to Symbolic Aggression on Aggressive Behavior," Child Development, 1961, 32, pp. 37-44.

[^14]:    *George Gerbner, Larry Gross, Michael Eleey, Marilyn Jackson-Beeck, Suzanne Jeffries-Fox, and Nancy Signorielli, "The Gerbner Violence Profile -- An Analysis of the CBS Report," Journal of Broadcasting, Summer 1977, pp. 280-286.

[^15]:    * In 1967 and 1968, the hours included were 7:30 to 10 p.m. Monday through Saturday, 7 to 10 p.m. Sunday, and children's programs 8 a.m. to noon Saturday. Beginning in 1969, these hours were expanded to 11 p.m. each evening and from $8 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to $2: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Saturday and Sunday. As of 1971 , however, network evening programming has been reduced by the FCC's primetime access rule. The effective evening parameters since 1971 are therefore 8 to 11 p.m. Monday through Saturday and 7 to 11 p.m. Sunday.
    $* *$ Programs broadcast during one week in the spring of 1975 and 1976 were videotaped and analyzed as part of our on-going research on sampling.
    *** Eleey, Michael F., "Variations in Generalizability Resulting from Sampling Characteristics of Content Analysis Data: A Case Study," The Annenberg School of Communications, University of Pennsylvania, 1969.

[^16]:    * George Gerbner, Larry Gross, Michael F. Eleey, Marilyn Jackson-Beeck, Suzanne Jeffries-Fox, and Nancy Signorielli, "The Gerbner Violence Profile -An Analysis of the CBS Report," Journal of Broadcasting, Fall 1977, 21:3, pp. 280-286.

[^17]:    *Tor a formal discussion of part of this family of coefficients, see Klaus Krippendorff, "Bivariate Agreement Coefficients for the Reliability of Data," in E.F. Borgatta and G.W. Bohrnstedt (eds.), Sociological Methodology, 1970, (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, Inc.).
    **Klaus Krippendorff, "A Computer Program for Agreement Analysis of Reliability Data, Version 4," Philadelphia: The Annenberg School of Communications, July 1973 (mimeo).

[^18]:    1 These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years.
    2. These figures are based upon two samples - - one from the fall and one from the spring.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning sample of network dramatic programs.

[^19]:    1 These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years.
    2 These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.
    3
    The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning of network dramatic programs.

[^20]:    I These figures are based upon two samples collected in the fall of each of these years. 2 These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the spring.

    3 The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend-morning of network dramatic programs.

[^21]:    1 Risk ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of thece two roles by the less numerous within each group A plus sign indicates
    that there are more violents or killers than victims or killec, and a minus sign indicates that there are moze victims or killed than that there are more violents or killers than victims or killea; and a minus sign indicates that there are moze victims or killed than violents or killers. A ratio of 0.00 means that thece were no victims or killers or violents or killed. A to. 00 ratio means that there were some violents or killers but not victims or killed; a - 0.00 ratio means there were victims or killed but no violents or killers.

[^22]:    1 Risk ratios are obtained by dividing the more numerous of these two roles by the less numerous within each group. A plus sign indicates that there are more violents or killers than victims or killed and a minus sign indicates that there are more victims or killed than violents or killers. A ratio of 0.00 means that there were no victims or killers or violents or killed. A to. 0 ratio means that there were some violents or killers but no victims or killed; a 0.00 ratio meane there were victims or killed but no violents or killers.

[^23]:    *Jackson-Beeck, Marilyn. "The Nonviewers: Who Are They?" Journal of
    Communication, 48, 1977, pp 65-72.

[^24]:    *This report presents findings for major characters only.
    ** Additional data on personal violent crime victimization range from .41 per 100 (based on 1973 Police reported figures which include homicide) to 3.3 per 100 persons over 12 (based on 1974 probability sample which doesn't include homicide).
    ***Although these are regional variations in real-world victimization, the television rates are certainly greater than one finds in any reasonably large geographic area.

[^25]:    *A full description of these samples may be found in the technical report of Violence Profile No. 9; Gerbner et al., "Cultural Indicators: Violence Profile No. 9, Journal of Communication, Summer, 1978.

[^26]:    ${ }^{\text {Rosenberg, Morris. Occupations and Values (Glencoe, Lllinois: Free }}$ Press, 1967), pp. 25-35.
    **Gerbner, George, Larry Gross, Marilyn Jackson-Beeck, Suzanne JeffriesFox and Nancy Signorielli. "Cultural Indicators: Violence Profile No. 9," Journal of Communication, 1978, 28, 3, 176-207, and Gerbner, George, and Larry Gross. "Living with Television," Journal of Communication, 1976, 26.2, 172-199.

[^27]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV .- including morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours

    2 A median split measured by the Hollingshead scale of occupational and educational status for the father
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    ${ }^{*} p \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New York School
    Interview Date: June 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (FEARWAIX): "Would you be afraid to walk alone in a city at night?"

[^28]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- inciuding morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours
    ${ }^{2}$ A median split measured by the hollingshead scale of occupational and educational status for the father

    * $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)
    $*_{p} \leq .01$ (tau)

    Data Source: New York School
    Interview Date: June 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (FEARNBHD): "Are you afraid to walk alone in your own neighborhood at night?"

[^29]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching iy -inciuding morning, afternoon, and evening?" Light: 2 hours or less Heavy: more than 2 hours

    2 A median split measured by the Hollingshead scale of occupational and educational status for the father.
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

    Data Source: New York School
    Interview Date: June 1977
    Method: Self-Administered Questionnaire
    Question (PULLGUN): "Cn an average day, how many times does a policeman usually pull out his gun -- less than once a day or more than five times a day?"

[^30]:    1 "Altogether, about how many hours a day do you usually spend watching TV -- including morning, afternoon and evening?" Light: less than 4 hours Heavy: four hours and more
    ${ }^{2}$ Those vho perceive themselves as merubers of a special group of Americans - - such as, Italian-Americans, Chinese-Americans, Afro-Americans, etc.
    ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq .05$ (tau)

[^31]:    * These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
    ** The fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of primentime and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

[^32]:    * These figures are based upon two samples -- one from the fall and one from the Spring.
    * The Fall 1977 sample consists of two weeks of prime-time and one weekend morning network dramatic programs.

